

Exploring multilevel social determinants of depressive symptoms for Tanzanian adolescents: evidence from a cross-sectional study

Leah Prencipe , ¹ Tanja AJ Houweling , ¹ Frank J van Lenthe , ¹ Tia M Palermo , ² Lusajo Kajula , ³ On Behalf of the Adolescent Cash Plus Evaluation Team

¹Department of Public Health, Erasmus MC, University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Netherlands

²Department of Epidemiology
and Environmental Health,
University at Buffalo, Buffalo,
New York, USA

³UNICEF Office of Research Innocenti, Florence, Italy

Correspondence to

Leah Prencipe, Public Health, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam 3000 CA, The Netherlands; l.prencipe@erasmusmc.nl

Received 14 December 2020 Revised 19 February 2021 Accepted 4 March 2021

ABSTRACT

Background Depression has substantial and enduring impacts for adolescents, particularly those living in poverty. Yet, evidence on its determinants in low-income countries remains scarce. We examined the social determinants of depressive symptoms for Tanzanian adolescents.

Methods We used cross-sectional data for 2458 adolescents (aged 14–19), to describe associations with depressive symptoms within and across five domains—demographic, economic, neighbourhood, environmental and social-cultural—using linear mixed models. We estimated depressive symptoms using the 10-item Centre for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale, which ranges from 0 to 30 and increases with additional symptoms.

Results Factors associated with depressive symptoms in the fully adjusted models included experiencing five or more household economic shocks (β =2.40; 95% CI 1.48 to 3.32), experiencing droughts/floods (β =0.76; 95% CI 0.36 to 1.17), being in a relationship (β =1.82; 95% CI 0.80 to 1.71) or low (β =2.27; 95% CI 1.81 to 2.74) social support. Exclusive schooling was protective compared with being engaged in both school and paid work (β =1.07; 95% CI 0.05 to 2.61) and not engaged in either (β =0.73; 95% CI 0.24 to 1.22). Household size and relationship status were more important factors for girls, while employment status, and extreme precipitation were more important for boys.

Conclusion Mental health is associated with determinants from multiple domains. Results suggest that environmental shocks related to climate change contribute to poor mental health in adolescents, highlighting an important area for intervention and research.



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Prencipe L, Houweling TAJ, van Lenthe FJ, et al. J Epidemiol Community Health Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/jech-2020-216200

INTRODUCTION

Poor mental health causes the highest disease burden for youth. Depression in adolescence contributes to diminished educational achievement, substance use, delinquency and suicide, one of the leading causes of mortality for adolescents. Adolescent-onset mental health disorders often persist through adulthood, are associated with other poor outcomes later in life, and perpetuate into future generations. Poor populations are acutely vulnerable, as social and economic conditions of poverty

increase the likelihood of having depressive disorders, and depressive orders themselves decrease overall economic well-being.⁶

Mental health is influenced by numerous interwoven biological and social mechanisms that exist in various domains. While identifying the social determinants of poor mental health is crucial to inform interventions which target these mechanisms, research gaps on the influence of environmental events, macroeconomic determinants, and household economics remain. Recent studies have explored determinants of poor mental health among African youth, although the evidence has largely been focused on specific subgroups, such as youth living with HIV, school-going children, ary pregnant women, and orphans or adults. Sent With the majority of the population younger than last years, understanding the determinants of adolescent mental health in Tanzania is crucial.

Using the conceptual framework developed by Lund *et al*, we categorise potential determinants of mental health into five domains (demographic, economic, neighbourhood, environmental, and social and cultural). Lund's conceptual framework follows Bronfenbrenner's ecological approach to childhood, 19 wherein proximal, intermediate, and distal factors are conceptualised to capture the complex multidimensional ways in which social determinants influence child health. Despite increased understanding that factors within cultures, families, communities, and environments work together to influence outcomes, ecological approaches to child health are still largely theoretical in studies of African populations. ²⁰ The evidence remains fixed on attributes and behaviours of individuals and largely ignores the environmental and contextual factors which help shape those individualistic characteristics. Few studies have evaluated such a comprehensive set of social determinants on adolescent mental health in Africa.

METHODS Study population

The cross-sectional data come from the evaluation of an adolescent-focused intervention designed to complement the Productive Social Safety Net (PSSN), a governmental social protection programme. Information on the study design and intervention are provided in the evaluation

report.²¹ Data were collected in 130 villages from four districts within Iringa and Mbeya regions of mainland Tanzania. All adolescents who (1) were living in a PSSN household and (2) were 14–19 years of age, were eligible for inclusion, resulting in a sample of 2458 youth. While data used in this study come from baseline (April to June 2017), select indicators were only available at the first follow-up (May to June 2018) and included 2104 adolescents.

Measures

Data come from village, household, and youth surveys, which were translated to Swahili and pilot tested. Informed consent was obtained from respondents 18 or older and married youth; caregiver/parental consent and youth assent were obtained for unmarried youth under 18 years.

Mental health

The 10-item Centre for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D10) is an internationally validated screening tool. 22 Youth responses to 10 questions regarding feelings and behaviours over the prior 7 days (online supplemental table 1) were summed to create a scale ranging from 0 to 30, with higher scores reflecting more depressive symptoms. The CES-D10 has been validated as a reliable measurement of depression in Tanzania, with full measurement invariance of the scale supported by gender.²³ We further included a binary indicator of ≥10 on the CES-D10 to denote depressive symptomology for descriptive purposes. While a recent validation of the CES-D10 in South Africa recommended cut-offs between 11 and 13, depending on the language of population, the study was unable to estimate the prevalence of depression among adolescents.²⁴ Therefore, we used the recommended cut-off, which is the most commonly used threshold among similar populations.²³

Potential determinants

Indicators were selected based on data availability and overlap with determinants from Lund et al7 online supplemental appendix. Domain definitions from the Lund study and variable construction are provided in online supplemental table 2. The demographic domain included sex, age, female-headed household, household with five or more members, and region. The economic domain included household wealth level (created with an asset-based index), number of household economic shocks experienced during the prior year, and cell phone ownership. The neighbourhood domain included access to basic services level (created with a summary index of resources available within the village). The environmental domain included household affected by drought or flood and household affected by livestock or crop disease, both during the prior year. Finally, the social and cultural domain included education/employment status, relationship status, religious attendance, number of adverse child experiences (ACE) (based on a subset of the ACE International Questionnaire²⁵), and level of social support (based on a modified version of the Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support).²⁶

Psychosocial indicators

Although psychosocial factors are important pathways between determinants and health, we explored these indicators descriptively as they may overlap with other predictors.²⁷ Subjective quality of life (QOL), self-esteem", and locus of control (LOC), the extent of feeling internal control over outcomes (eg, a person has control over one's own life) versus external (ie, life is

controlled by outside factors), ²⁸ have all been strongly associated with mental health. ^{29 30} We created levels of self-esteem based on two items from Rosenberg's self-esteem scale, ³¹ poor QOL using a 10-point 'Cantril's Ladder of Life Scale', ³² and level of LOC based on five items from Levenson's multidimensional LOC scale, ³³ wherein a higher level indicates more internal control. Details on variable construction of psychosocial indicators can be found in online supplemental table 3.

Analysis

First, we summarised the potential determinants and psychosocial indicators and reported the unadjusted percent depressed by characteristic, including χ^2 p values to detect differences in distributions among depressed adolescents. Second, we calculated bivariate associations between each indicator and depressive symptoms using linear mixed models to account for clustering of CES-D10 within and between villages and adjusting for sample stratification indicators (region and village size). Finally, we calculated multivariate associations within and across domains using four linear mixed models. While all multivariate models adjusted for demographics and sampling stratum, model 1 examined economic indicators; model 2 neighbourhood and environmental indicators; model 3 social and cultural indicators; and model 4 combined all domains. The reported intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) indicates the proportion of the variance on the village level.³⁴ As males and females have been shown to process stressors differently, a particularly important factor considering the hormonal fluctuations experienced during adolescence, 35 results were reported for the full sample and separately by sex. Religious attendance and ACE were not included in multivariate models due to smaller sample size and lack of influential results.

RESULTS

Of the 2458 youth interviewed at baseline, just over half (1322) were boys and 18% reported being in a relationship (table 1). During the year prior to the survey, nearly one-third (32%) were affected by droughts or flooding and 8% lived in households with five or more economic shocks.

While 29% of the sample reported depressive symptomology, the rates varied by characteristic. Higher rates were found for youth affected by droughts or floods (35%) than not (26%), and for youth with low social support (37%) compared with high (22%). Nearly half of youth with five or more economic shocks reported depressive symptomology (48%). Findings were mostly similar by sex, with some notable distinctions: boys in Iringa had higher rates of depression (42%) when compared with those in Mbeya (18%), depressive symptomology was higher among boys who experienced droughts or floods (40%) than boys who did not (26%), and, among girls, cell phone owners had higher depression (36%), compared with non-owners (25%).

Among psychosocial indicators (table 2), youth with low self-esteem exhibited higher rates of depression (33%) than their counterparts (29% moderate and 25% high), while youth with a high internal LOC had lower rates (19%) than those with moderate (32%) or low (38%) levels. While rates by self-perceived QOL were less different overall, the differences were larger for girls: 32% who reported poor QOL had depressive symptoms compared with 20% for girls who did not. For boys with a low internal LOC, nearly half (46%) reported depressive symptoms, compared with 31% and 18% for those with moderate and high levels, respectively.

		Pooled samp	le		Females			Males		
Domain	Variable	n (% in each category)	% Depressed	χ² P value	n (% in each category)	% Depressed	χ² P value	n (% in each category)	% Depressed	χ² P value
emographic	Total	2458 (100)	29		1136 (100)	27		1322 (100)	30	
J ,	Sex	` '		0.088	, ,			` '		
	Female	1136 (46)	27		_	_		_	_	
	Male	1322 (54)	30		_	_		_	_	
	Age in years	1322 (34)	30	<0.001			0.002			0.14
	14	E02 (20)	าา	<0.001	270 (24)	19	0.002	232 (18)	25	0.12
		502 (20)	22		250 (22)			` '	25	
	15	498 (20)	26		` ′	26		248 (19)	27	
	16	456 (19)	30		200 (18)	29		256 (19)	31	
	17	469 (19)	33		190 (17)	32		279 (21)	33	
	18	296 (12)	30		119 (10)	29		177 (13)	31	
	19	237 (10)	38		107 (9)	38		130 (10)	37	
	Five or more household members			0.013			0.073			0.07
	No	1121 (46)	26		505 (44)	25		616 (47)	28	
	Yes	1337 (54)	31		631 (56)	29		706 (53)	32	
	Female-headed household			0.550			0.548			0.18
	No	840 (34)	30		387 (34)	26		453 (34)	33	
	Yes	1618 (66)	28		749 (66)	28		869 (66)	29	
	Region			< 0.001			0.306			< 0.00
	Mbeya	1171 (48)	23		538 (47)	29		633 (48)	18	
	Iringa	1287 (52)	34		598 (53)	26		689 (52)	42	
conomic	Wealth level of household			0.387			0.198			0.38
	Richest	818 (33)	29		383 (34)	25		435 (33)	32	
	Middle	819 (33)	31		397 (35)	30		422 (32)	31	
	Poorest	819 (33)	27		355 (31)	27		464 (35)	28	
	Number of economic shocks (past ye		27	<0.001	204 (25)	27	<0.001	225 (25)	27	0.0
	0 1	626 (25) 666 (27)	27 29		291 (26) 309 (27)	27 27		335 (25) 357 (27)	27 31	
	2	498 (20)	26		226 (20)	21		272 (21)	30	
	3	299 (12)	24		134 (12)	24		165 (12)	25	
	4	163 (7)	29		73 (6)	15		90 (7)	40	
	5+	206 (8)	48		103 (9)	53		103 (8)	42	
	Youth owns a cell phone			0.028			0.001			0.9
	No	1946 (79)	28		938 (83)	25		1008 (76)	30	
	Yes	512 (21)	33		198 (17)	36		314 (24)	31	
eighbourhood/ nvironmental	Access to services level			0.400			0.500			0.5
	High	884 (36)	29		412 (36)	26		472 (36)	31	
	Middle	802 (33)	30		374 (33)	29		428 (32)	31	
	Low	772 (31)	27		350 (31)	26		422 (32)	28	
	Drought/flood (past year)			<0.001			0.296			<0.0
	No	1662 (68)	26		784 (69)	26		878 (66)	26	
	Yes	796 (32)	35		352 (31)	29		444 (34)	40	
	Livestock/crop disease (past year)	(,		0.366	(,		0.607	(2 .,		0.48
	No	1423 (58)	28	0.500	672 (59)	27	0.007	751 (57)	30	0
	Yes	1035 (42)	30		464 (41)	28		571 (43)	31	
ocial and cultural	Education/employment	1033 (42)	50	<0.001	707 (41)	20	<0.001	37 T (43)	31	0.0
aa carturur	Attending school/training	1254 (51)	24	.5.001	662 (58)	21	.5.001	592 (45)	26	5.0
	Engaged in paid work	300 (12)	35%		64 (6)	36		236 (18)	35	
	In school/training and paid work	85 (3)	32		26 (2)	27		59 (4)	34	
	Not in education, employment, or training	819 (33)	34		384 (34)	36		435 (33)	33	
	Has a spouse, boyfriend or girlfriend			< 0.001			< 0.001			0.0

Table 1 Continued

		Pooled sampl	e		Females			Males		
Domain	Variable	n (% in each category)	% Depressed	χ² P value	n (% in each category)	% Depressed	χ² P value	n (% in each category)	% Depressed	χ² P value
	No	2023 (82)	26		834 (73)	22		1189 (90)	29	
	Yes	435 (18)	42		302 (27)	42		133 (10)	42	
	Social support			< 0.001			< 0.001			0.001
	High	951 (39)	22		353 (31)	16		598 (45)	25	
	Moderate	790 (32)	30		368 (32)	27		422 (32)	33	
	Low	717 (29)	37		415 (37)	36		302 (23)	37	
	Attends weekly religious ceremony*			0.338			0.278			0.963
	No	992 (47)	30		374 (39)	29		618 (54)	30	
	Yes	1113 (53)	28		582 (61)	26		531 (46)	30	
	Number of adverse childhood experi	ences*		0.830			0.296			0.981
	0–1	399 (20)	27		161 (19)	22		238 (22)	31	
	2	549 (28)	30		246 (29)	28		303 (28)	31	
	3	402 (21)	28		172 (20)	26		230 (21)	29	
	4	284 (15)	30		135 (16)	30		149 (14)	30	
	5+	314 (16)	31		144 (17)	33		170 (16)	29	

Depression is defined using a cut-off of 10 or higher on the 10-item Centre for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale.

As seen in the pooled sample, all psychosocial indicators were associated with depressive symptoms (table 3). Having a poor self-perceived QOL was associated with nearly one-point higher CES-D10 than the reference category (β =0.81; 95% CI 0.40 to 1.21), and having a low level of internal LOC was associated with a nearly two-point higher LOC than those with a high level (β =1.98; 95% CI 1.52 to 2.44). Lacking internal LOC and self-esteem were more strongly associated with mental health for boys, while poor QOL was more influential for girls.

Social determinants of depressive symptoms

Demographic domain

Increased age was associated with higher CES-D10 in bivariate analyses for the full sample (table 4). This association was partly (and for girls fully) explained by social and cultural characteristics (model 3, online supplemental tables 4 and 5). While living in a household with five or more people had no clear association with CES-D10 in the full sample, girls from large households had higher CES-D10 in all models (online supplemental table 5), including model 4 (β =0.62; 95% CI 0.06 to 1.18). Living in Iringa also had a modest association

with depressive symptoms in bivariate regressions (table 4; β =0.56; 95% CI -0.03 to 0.75), with results driven by boys (table 5; β =1.68; 95% CI 0.79 to 2.57). Boys overall had higher CES-D10 than girls in Model 4 (table 4; β =0.70; 95% CI 0.31 to 1.10) but not in bivariate regressions.

Economic domain

Five or more economic shocks were associated with nearly three points higher CES-D10 in bivariate regressions (table 4; β =2.72; 95% CI 1.95 to 3.49), when compared with no shocks. Controlling for other determinants did not mitigate this relationship, as seen in model 4 (β =2.40; 95% CI 1.48 to 3.32) and when disaggregating by sex (table 5). However, results on fewer than five shocks varied for girls—in bivariate regressions girls who experienced four shocks had a 1.45 points lower CES-D10 (95% CI –2.70 to –0.21), than those that had no shocks. These results were consistent across multivariate models (online supplemental table 5). Household wealth was associated with CES-D10 when adjusting for demographics only (model 1, online supplemental table 4), with no clear associations when

Table 2	Psychosocial characteristics o	f sample population	n for the full sample and separately by sex

	Pooled sample			Females			Males		
Variable	n (% in each category)	% Depressed	χ² P value	n (% in each category)	% Depressed	χ² P value	n (% in each category)	% Depressed	χ² P value
Poor self-perceived quality of life			0.018			<0.001			0.716
No	1130 (46)	27		451 (40)	20		679 (51)	31	
Yes	1328 (54)	31		685 (60)	32		643 (49)	30	
Self-esteem			0.001			< 0.001			0.009
High	775 (32)	25		321 (28)	22		454 (34)	27	
Moderate	906 (37)	29		454 (40)	22		452 (34)	36	
Low	777 (32)	33		361 (32)	38		416 (31)	29	
Locus of control			<0.001			0.001			< 0.001
High	948 (39)	19		420 (37)	21		528 (40)	18	
Moderate	730 (30)	32		358 (32)	33		372 (28)	31	
Low	780 (32)	38		358 (32)	28		422 (32)	46	

Depression is defined using a cut-off of 10 or higher on the 10-item Centre for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale.

^{*}Total N differs due to attrition. Data come from wave 2 for these indicators.

Table 3 Bivariate associations of psychosocial characteristics and depressive symptoms for the full sample and separately by sex Full sample **Females** Males Mean CES-D10 Estimate (95% CI) Mean CES-D10 Estimate (95% CI) Mean CES-D10 Estimate (95% CI) Variable P value P value P value Poor self-perceived quality of life 6.51 6.94 No Reference category 5.86 Reference category Reference category 6.86 0.81 (0.40 to 1.21) < 0.001 7.11 1.39 (0.80 to 1.99) < 0.001 6.59 0.29 (-0.25 to 0.84) 0.292 Yes Self-esteem High 6.21 Reference category 6.30 Reference category 6.15 Reference category 0.65 (0.18 to 1.13) 0.007 5.74 -0.30 (-1.01 to 0.41) 0.405 7.33 1.73 (1.12 to 2.34) < 0.001 Moderate 6.53 Low 7 38 1.43 (0.95 to 1.92) < 0.001 7.99 1.82 (1.09 to 2.56) < 0.001 6.84 1.20 (0.58 to 1.82) < 0.001 Locus of control High 5.57 Reference category 5.91 Reference category 5.31 Reference category Moderate 7.06 1.52 (1.06 to 1.98) < 0.001 7.23 1.31 (0.63 to 2.00) < 0.001 6.90 1.33 (0.72 to 1.93) < 0.001 low 7.73 1.98 (1.52 to 2.44) < 0.001 6.82 0.91 (0.22 to 1.61) 0.010 8.50 2.24 (1.62 to 2.86) < 0.001

Reported CES-D10 means are unadjusted. Bivariate regressions tested associations of psychosocial characteristics with depressive symptoms and adjusted for stratification variables. Linear mixed models were used to account for clustering of CES-D10 within and between villages. Random effects are not shown. CES-D10, 10-item Centre for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale.

adjusting for all domains. Owning a cell phone was associated with more symptoms for girls in bivariate regressions (table 5), however, this relationship was explained by demographics (model 1, online supplemental table 5).

Neighbourhood and environmental domains

Youth living in households affected by droughts or floods had nearly one-point higher CES-D10 than those who did not in bivariate regressions (table 4; β =0.93; 95% CI 0.51 to 1.34). Adjusting for demographics (online supplemental table 4, model 1; β =0.91; 95% CI 0.49 to 1.33) or all domains (model 4; β =0.76; 95% CI 0.36 to 1.17) did not affect this relationship. The association between extreme precipitation and depressive symptoms was driven by boys (online supplemental table 6), as we can see a one-point increase in CES-D10 after adjusting for demographics (model 2; β =1.15; 95% CI 0.61 to 1.69) and in the fully adjusted model for boys (β =1.03; 95% CI 0.51 to 1.90), and no relationship for girls (table 5).

Social and cultural domain

Having a romantic partner was strongly associated with depressive symptoms overall in bivariate regressions (table 4; β =1.47; 95% CI 1.04 to 1.90). Controlling for other domains only increased the strength of this relationship: youth with romantic partners had nearly a two-point higher CES-D10 (β =1.82; 95% CI 1.30 to 2.33) when compared with single youth in model 4. While results were consistent by sex, the association was stronger for girls (table 5). Not having a high social support level was associated with depressive symptoms overall, with model 4 (table 4) showing increased CES-D10 for youth with moderate $(\beta=1.26; 95\% \text{ CI } 0.80 \text{ to } 1.71) \text{ and low } (\beta=2.27; 95\% \text{ CI } 1.81)$ to 2.74) levels. While exclusive school had a protective association in bivariate regressions, when compared with engaged in paid work (β =1.38; 95% CI 0.76 to 1.99); both school and paid work (β =1.28; 95% CI 0.23 to 2.34); and neither $(\beta=1.47; 95\% \text{ CI } 1.04 \text{ to } 1.90)$, the relationship was mitigated when including other domains, resulting in a negligible association for youth in paid work and reduced associations for those in both school and paid work (\(\beta = 1.07; \) 95\% CI 0.05 to 2.09) and neither (β =0.73; 95% CI 0.24 to 1.22), in the final model. Associations with employment status were stronger for boys (table 5). Experiencing five or more adverse childhood experiences was associated with more depressive symptoms for girls only (β =1.17; 95% CI 0.05 to 2.28).

Village variance

The ICC ranges from 6.5% to 7.4% in full sample multivariate models, as seen in the bottom rows of online supplemental table 4. Results by sex indicate that the village-level variance accounted for a much larger proportion of depressive symptoms for boys, with an ICC three times that of girls in the final model (table 5) (ICC 16.8% vs 4.9%, respectively).

DISCUSSION

This paper has examined social determinants of adolescent mental health for a vastly understudied and high-risk group. Consistent with existing evidence on the multifactorial causes of poor mental health, we found that depressive symptoms were associated with social determinants across domains. Depressive symptoms were associated with demographic (increased age, being male), economic (five or more economic shocks), environmental (droughts/floods), and social and cultural (romantic partnerships, education/employment status, social support) determinants, in the fully adjusted model. Although all psychosocial indicators were associated with depressive symptoms, the association was stronger among boys lacking internal LOC and self-esteem, while poor QOL was more influential for girls. Neighbourhood access to services had no association, although multilevel models suggest village variance contributed to individual-level depressive symptoms.

The proportion of variance attributable to the village-level remained stable, accounting for 6.9% of symptoms in the empty model (not shown) and 6.5% in the final model, meaning that our selected characteristics do not explain away the village-level contribution to depressive symptoms. When conducting observational research on neighbourhood-level health effects, it may be preferable to select outcome-specific resources as opposed to proxy indicators with wide-ranging characteristics. The services index that we used may have lacked meaning for our outcome. The unexplained village variance could denote other factors represented within villages, such as school characteristics, which have been found to be more influential on depression among adolescents than neighbourhoods alone. In either case, the adverse effects found at the village level are

Bivariate and multivariate associations of potential determinants and depressive symptoms, full sample Table 4 **Bivariate** Multivariate model 4 Mean CES-D10 Estimate (95% CI) Domain Variable P value Estimate (95% CI) P value Demographic Total 6.70 Sex 6.77 Female Reference category Reference category 0.20 (-0.19 to 0.58) Male 6.61 0.314 0.70 (0.31 to 1.10) < 0.001 Age in years 14 5.75 Reference category Reference category 15 6.39 0.62 (0.02 to 1.22) 0.043 0.60 (0.03 to 1.18) 0.039 16 6.69 0.96 (0.35 to 1.57) 0.002 0.73 (0.14 to 1.32) 0.016 17 1.39 (0.79 to 2.00) 0.95 (0.33 to 1.58) 7.17 < 0.001 0.003 < 0.001 18 7.25 1.53 (0.84 to 2.22) 0.86 (0.10 to 1.62) 0.027 19 7.76 1.87 (1.13 to 2.62) < 0.001 1.09 (0.26 to 1.92) 0.010 Five or more household members No 6.46 Reference category Reference category Yes 6.90 0.36 (-0.03 to 0.75) 0.073 0.37 (-0.01 to 0.76) 0.057 Female-headed household No 6.71 Reference category Reference category Yes 0.04 (-0.37 to 0.45) 0.847 0.16 (-0.24 to 0.56) 6.69 0.426 Region* Mbeya 6.31 Reference category 0.56 (-0.05 to 1.17) 0.074 Iringa 7.06 Economic Wealth level of household Richest 6.54 Reference category Reference category Middle 6.77 0.40 (-0.10 to 0.90) 0.114 0.45 (-0.02 to 0.93) 0.063 Poorest 6.78 0.41 (-0.13 to 0.95) 0.137 0.47 (-0.05 to 0.99) 0.076 Number of economic shocks (past year) 0 6 57 Reference category Reference category 1 6.63 0.03 (-0.50 to 0.56) 0.916 0.01 (-0.51 to 0.54) 0.964 2 6.22 -0.21 (-0.79 to 0.36) 0.466 -0.39 (-1.03 to 0.24) 0.223 3 0.834 6.23 -0.19 (-0.87 to 0.48) 0.573 -0.08 (-0.87 to 0.70) 4 6.76 0.07 (-0.76 to 0.91) 0.35 (-0.58 to 1.28) 0.463 0.860 5+ 9.10 2.72 (1.95 to 3.49) < 0.001 2.40 (1.48 to 3.32) < 0.001 Youth owns a cell phone Reference category No 6.57 Reference category Yes 7.20 0.68 (0.20 to 1.15) 0.005 -0.44 (-1.01 to 0.12) 0.123 Access to services level Neighbourhood/environmental High 6.69 Reference category Reference category Middle 6.83 -0.05 (-0.78 to 0.69) 0.899 -0.03 (-0.72 to 0.65) 0.927 6.57 0.12 (-0.62 to 0.87) 0.747 0.02 (-0.68 to 0.72) 0.947 Low Drought/flood (past year) No 6.35 Reference category Reference category

7.42

6.59

6.84

0.354 Continued

< 0.001

< 0.001

0.075

0.76 (0.36 to 1.17)

Reference category

-0.25 (-0.78 to 0.28)

0.93 (0.51 to 1.34)

Reference category

0.36 (-0.04 to 0.75)

Yes

No

Yes

Livestock/crop disease (past year)

Table 4 Continued

			Bivariate		Multivariate model	4
Domain	Variable	Mean CES-D10	Estimate (95% CI)	P value	Estimate (95% CI)	P value
Social and cultural	Education/employment					
	Attending school/training	6.07	Reference category		Reference category	
	Engaged in paid work	7.22	1.38 (0.76 to 1.99)	< 0.001	0.56 (-0.10 to 1.23)	0.098
	School & paid work	7.20	1.28 (0.23 to 2.34)	0.017	1.07 (0.05 to 2.09)	0.040
	Neither	7.42	1.47 (1.04 to 1.90)	< 0.001	0.73 (0.24 to 1.22)	0.003
	Has a partner					
	No	6.35	Reference category		Reference category	
	Yes	8.34	2.01 (1.52 to 2.51)	< 0.001	1.82 (1.30 to 2.33)	< 0.001
	Social support					
	High	5.69	Reference category		Reference category	
	Moderate	6.76	1.37 (0.91 to 1.83)	< 0.001	1.26 (0.80 to 1.71)	< 0.001
	Low	7.97	2.41 (1.94 to 2.88)	< 0.001	2.27 (1.81 to 2.74)	< 0.001
	Attends weekly religious ceremony†					
	No	6.73	Reference category		_	
	Yes	6.65	-0.23 (-0.64 to 0.19)	0.285	_	
	Number of adverse childhood experiences†					
	0–1	6.77	Reference category		_	
	2	6.52	-0.24 (-0.86 to 0.39)	0.454	_	
	3	6.55	-0.23 (-0.90 to 0.44)	0.503	_	
	4	6.76	-0.05 (-0.79 to 0.70)	0.903	_	
	5+	6.93	0.13 (-0.60 to 0.85)	0.727	_	
Random effects						
Village variance (SE)			-		1.41 (0.325)	
Intraclass correlation coef	ficient %		-		6.5	

Reported CES-D10 means are unadjusted. All regressions adjust for stratification variables. Multivariate model 4 adjusts for all domains within a single regression. Models 1–3, which adjust for domains separately, are shown in the appendix. Linear mixed models were used to account for clustering of CES-D10 within and between villages (random effects are not shown for hivariate regressions)

likely the result of an unobserved mechanism specific to where these youth live. Other studies have found neighbourhood-level ICCs for depression/depressive symptoms, ranging from 0.4% to 2.9% for adults, and 11% for young children, ³⁸ although no studies, to our knowledge, report neighbourhood-level variance of depression in Africa.

One potential explanation for regional disparities among boys relates to inequalities in household economic opportunities. Mbeya households owned more livestock and grew more cash crops, providing more income-generating opportunities within their households. While overall labour-hours were similar, Iringa boys spent eight fewer hours on economic activities and six additional hours on domestic chores. In Tanzania, the division of labour is highly gendered, wherein women are responsible for domestic duties on top of any income generating activities.³⁹ Although less discussed, patriarchal gender stereotypes can also burden men, as fulfilling the masculine role of provider becomes increasingly difficult, particularly in settings with few opportunities. 40 On further investigation, 43% of Iringa boys reported low internal LOC (compared with 20% in Mbeya), but not poorer self-esteem. Our two-item self-esteem measurement may lack the sensitivity needed to capture dimensions of self-worth in relation to societal pressures. Failing to live up to typical 'masculine' cultural expectations may have led to decreased autonomy and poorer mental health.

We hypothesise that factors related to having a romantic partner increased psychological distress, particularly among

girls. Among those in a relationship from our sample, 31% of girls reported ever being pregnant, compared with just 4% of single girls. Qualitative findings from the main evaluation cite pregnancy as a source of major stress for girls. ²¹ Pregnancy in adolescence can lead to disrupted schooling, relationship difficulties, poorer health, and decreased economic stability. ⁴¹

While a recent study in Africa explored associations of school enrolment and income-generating activities with depression among adolescents in Tanzania, with results suggesting incomegenerating activities were associated with depression in fully adjusted models, they did not explore the additional burden of employment on in-school youth, nor did they examine associations of depression among youth neither in education, employment or training (NEET). Similar to our results, a study conducted in Mexico found higher odds of mental health disorders for employed youth, youth who worked and studied, and NEET youth, compared with those who exclusively studied. To our knowledge, this is the first study in Tanzania or neighbouring countries to measure how this state of social and economic exclusion associates with poorer mental health during adolescence, only after NEET youth have reached adulthood.

Climate change has been cited as the largest threat to global health in the 21st century.⁴³ Despite increased recognition of the negative effects that climate change has on mental health, there are remarkably few studies examining this relationship,⁴⁴ particularly for adolescents.⁴⁵ As populations in Africa are disproportionately at risk for the effects of climate change,⁴³ it is critical

^{*}All other bivariate and multivariate regressions adjust for region as part of sample stratification; therefore estimates are not shown elsewhere.

[†]Total N differs due to attrition. Data come from wave 2 for these indicators.

CES-D10, 10-item Centre for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale.

iable 5 Divaliale a	Divaliate and mutivaliate associations of potential determinants	potential det		Sive symb	alla deplessive symptoms, by sex						
		Females					Males				
		Mean	Bivariate		Multivariate model 4		Mean	Bivariate		Multivariate model 4	
Domain	Variable	CES-D10	Estimate (95% CI)	P value	Estimate (95% CI)	P value	CES-D10	Estimate (95% CI)	P value	Estimate (95% CI)	P value
Demographic	Age in years										
	14	5.77	Reference category		Reference category		5.73	Reference category		Reference category	
	15	6.12	0.16 (-0.67 to 1.00)	0.699	0.34 (-0.45 to 1.13)	0.401	99.9	0.92 (0.10 to 1.74)	0.028	0.85 (0.05 to 1.64)	0.037
	16	6.58	0.63 (-0.26 to 1.52)	0.163	0.57 (-0.27 to 1.41)	0.184	6.77	1.08 (0.27 to 1.89)	0.000	0.93 (0.14 to 1.73)	0.022
	17	7.09	1.16 (0.26 to 2.06)	0.011	0.50 (-0.41 to 1.41)	0.278	7.22	1.29 (0.50 to 2.09)	0.001	1.21 (0.38 to 2.04)	0.004
	18	7.95	2.04 (1.00 to 3.09)	<0.001	0.98 (-0.15 to 2.11)	0.089	6.77	1.07 (0.18 to 1.96)	0.018	0.75 (-0.24 to 1.74)	0.138
	19	7.63	1.82 (0.72 to 2.91)	0.001	0.39 (-0.85 to 1.62)	0.539	7.88	1.94 (0.95 to 2.92)	<0.001	1.72 (0.62 to 2.81)	0.002
	Five or more household members	S									
	No	6.21	Reference category		Reference category		6.67	Reference category		Reference category	
	Yes	6.94	0.63 (0.05 to 1.21)	0.033	0.62 (0.06 to 1.18)	0.029	6.87	-0.10 (-0.60 to 0.41)	0.702	-0.10 (-0.60 to 0.41)	0.703
	Female-headed household										
	No	6.39	Reference category		Reference category		66.9	Reference category		Reference category	
	Yes	6.73	0.26 (-0.35 to 0.87)	0.401	0.30 (-0.28 to 0.89)	0.305	99.9	-0.18 (-0.71 to 0.35)	0.514	-0.02 (-0.55 to 0.51)	0.933
	Region*										
	Mbeya	06.90	Reference category		1		5.80	Reference category		ı	
	Iringa	6.35	-0.60 (-1.32 to 0.13)	0.106	1		7.67	1.68 (0.79 to 2.57)	<0.001	I	
Economic	Wealth level of household										
	Richest	92.9	Reference category		Reference category		0.70	Reference category		Reference category	
	Middle	6.79	0.54 (-0.17 to 1.26)	0.137	0.44 (-0.23 to 1.11)	0.193	97.9	0.24 (-0.43 to 0.91)	0.477	0.39 (-0.26 to 1.04)	0.239
	Poorest	69.9	0.26 (-0.52 to 1.03)	0.517	0.27 (-0.46 to 1.01)	0.464	6.84	0.37 (-0.35 to 1.08)	0.311	0.42 (-0.29 to 1.12)	0.245
	Number of economic shocks (past year)	st year)									
	0	9.65	Reference category		Reference category		6.50	Reference category		Reference category	
	-	6.54	-0.16 (-0.93 to 0.62)	0.693	-0.36 (-1.13 to 0.40)	0.353	6.71	0.17 (-0.53 to 0.86)	0.643	0.45 (-0.25 to 1.15)	0.209
	2	5.69	-1.07 (-1.92 to -0.23)	0.013	-1.39 (-2.30 to -0.47)	0.003	29.9	0.40 (-0.34 to 1.15)	0.288	0.57 (-0.27 to 1.41)	0.181
	3	6.49	-0.32 (-1.32 to 0.68)	0.528	-0.46 (-1.61 to 0.69)	0.430	6.01	-0.02 (-0.90 to 0.86)	0.958	0.59 (-0.46 to 1.63)	0.271
	4	5.49	-1.45 (-2.70 to -0.21)	0.022	-1.24 (-2.62 to 0.14)	0.079	7.79	1.37 (0.30 to 2.44)	0.012	1.89 (0.69 to 3.09)	0.002
	5+	9.70	2.70 (1.57 to 3.82)	<0.001	1.96 (0.63 to 3.29)	0.004	8.50	2.10 (1.07 to 3.14)	<0.001	2.43 (1.19 to 3.67)	<0.001
	Youth owns a cell phone										
	No	6.35	Reference category		Reference category		6.77	Reference category		Reference category	
	Yes	7.88	1.54 (0.79 to 2.29)	<0.001	-0.44 (-1.01 to 0.12)	0.123	6.77	0.15 (-0.44 to 0.75)	0.612	-0.61 (-1.32 to 0.10)	0.094
											Continued

Table 5 Continued											
		Females					Males				
		Mean	Bivariate		Multivariate model 4		Mean	Bivariate		Multivariate model 4	
Domain	Variable	CES-D10	Estimate (95% CI)	P value	Estimate (95% CI)	P value	CES-D10	Estimate (95% CI)	P value	Estimate (95% CI)	P value
Neighbourhood/ environmental	Access to services level										
	High	6.41	Reference category		Reference category		6.93	Reference category		Reference category	
	Middle	96.9	0.45 (-0.41 to 1.31)	0.303	0.24 (-0.54 to 1.03)	0.543	6.72	-0.56 (-1.64 to 0.51)	0.305	-0.32 (-1.34 to 0.70)	0.533
	Low	6.48	0.06 (-0.83 to 0.94)	0.902	-0.18 (-1.00 to 0.63)	0.659	9.65	0.18 (-0.92 to 1.27)	0.751	0.22 (-0.82 to 1.26)	0.683
	Drought/flood (past year)										
	No	6.40	Reference category		Reference category		6.31	Reference category		Reference category	
	Yes	7.09	0.57 (-0.06 to 1.20)	0.075	0.42 (-0.19 to 1.02)	0.180	7.68	1.15 (0.62 to 1.69)	<0.001	1.03 (0.51 to 1.56)	<0.001
0 :1–	Livestock/crop disease (past year)										
	No	6.48	Reference category		Reference category		0.70	Reference category		Reference category	
	Yes	6.81	0.11 (-0.48 to 0.71)	0.714	-0.12 (-0.89 to 0.66)	0.762	6.87	0.38 (-0.12 to 0.89)	0.139	-0.60 (-1.30 to 0.09)	0.088
Social and cultural	Education/employment										
	Attending school/training	5.94	Reference category		Reference category		6.21	Reference category		Reference category	
	Engaged in paid work	7.44	1.60 (0.35 to 2.84)	0.012	0.26 (-1.00 to 1.53)	0.683	7.17	1.21 (0.50 to 1.93)	0.001	0.63 (-0.15 to 1.40)	0.113
	School & paid work	6.15	0.29 (-1.60 to 2.19)	0.761	0.24 (-1.55 to 2.04)	0.791	99.7	1.70 (0.47 to 2.93)	0.007	1.41 (0.22 to 2.61)	0.021
	Neither	7.67	1.78 (1.17 to 2.40)	<0.001	0.51 (-0.23 to 1.25)	0.178	7.20	1.08 (0.50 to 1.66)	<0.001	0.66 (0.02 to 1.31)	0.043
	Has a partner										
	No	5.91	Reference category		Reference category		9.65	Reference category		Reference category	
	Yes	8.56	2.79 (2.15 to 3.43)	<0.001	2.23 (1.58 to 2.88)	<0.001	7.84	1.30 (0.48 to 2.13)	0.002	1.07 (0.23 to 1.90)	0.012
	Social support										
	High	5.34	Reference category		Reference category		5.90	Reference category		Reference category	
	Moderate	6.32	1.17 (0.45 to 1.89)	0.002	0.86 (0.17 to 1.56)	0.015	7.14	1.42 (0.83 to 2.00)	<0.001	1.31 (0.74 to 1.88)	<0.001
	Low	7.96	2.53 (1.83 to 3.23)	<0.001	2.20 (1.52 to 2.88)	<0.001	7.98	2.22 (1.59 to 2.86)	<0.001	2.11 (1.47 to 2.74)	<0.001
	Attends weekly religious ceremony†	ŧ									
	No	06.9	Reference category		I		6.63	Reference category		1	
	Yes	6.40	-0.51 (-1.14 to 0.12)	0.116	I		6.92	0.17 (-0.37 to 0.70)	0.542	1	
	Number of adverse childhood experiencest	riencest									
	0-1	6.35	Reference category		1		7.05	Reference category		1	
	2	6.49	0.26 (-0.71 to 1.23)	0.596	I		6.53	-0.40 (-1.17 to 0.38)	0.318	ı	
	3	6.42	0.10 (-0.95 to 1.15)	0.850	I		99'9	-0.04 (-0.88 to 0.80)	0.925	1	
	4	6.58	0.28 (-0.84 to 1.40)	0.625	1		6.93	0.18 (-0.78 to 1.13)	0.716	1	
	2+	7.28	1.17 (0.05 to 2.28)	0.040	1		6.64	0.01 (-0.90 to 0.92)	0.982	1	
Random effects											
Village variance (SE)				ı	1.02 (0.438)				ı	3.63 (0.744)	
Intraclass correlation coefficient %	%			ı	4.9				ı	16.8	

Reported CES-D10 means are unadjusted. All regressions adjust for stratification variables. Multivariate model 4 adjusts for all domains within a single regression. Models 1–3, which adjust for domains separately, are shown in the appendix. Linear mixed models were used to account for dustering of *CES-D10 within and between villages (random effects are not shown for bivariate regressions).

*CES-D10 within and between villages (random effects are not shown for equivariate regressions).

*CES-D10 within and between villages (random effects are not shown elsewhere.

*CES-D10 within and between regressions adjust for Region as part of sample stratification; therefore estimates are not shown elsewhere.

*CES-D10, 10-item Centre for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale.

to include their experiences in the evidence-base. The results here suggest that adolescents affected by extreme precipitation had higher rates of depressive symptoms. Although this result cannot be interpreted as causal, it is an important finding, as severe weather events have been linked to poor mental health outcomes in other populations.⁴⁴

While barriers to care including lack of mental healthcare professionals and services are likely to persist, results from this analysis provide valuable entry points to improve adolescent mental health in low-resource settings. Rather than viewing policies as simple determinants of individual change, community-based interventions can be targeted to areas prone to climate change or economic volatility. Interventions among youth can incorporate components related to sexual and reproductive health, interpersonal relationships, and economic empowerment, and should help youth navigate cultural expectations related to gender norms.

This study has several limitations. First, adolescents in the evaluation lived in households identified as extremely poor using both geographic and village-level targeting. This homogeneity probably led to an underestimation of neighbourhood and economic associations. However, our analysis brings attention to the most at-risk youth within an already vulnerable population, such as the 8% who experienced excessive economic shocks and the 32% affected by extreme precipitation. Second, the use of cross-sectional data does not allow for directional interpretation of results, particularly for time-variant indicators. For example, employment or relationship status may have complex bidirectional relationships with adolescent mental health. However, all determinants were selected based on theory and existing research, and many are persistent and unchanging. By adjusting for a broad range of confounders we believe that these associations are meaningful contributions to the knowledge base.

What is already known on this subject

- Identifying the social determinants of mental health is crucial to reduce the burden of adolescent depression which profoundly affects youth in real time as well as along the life path.
- While the evidence on social determinants of health in African contexts continues to grow, most research focuses on adults, or on specialised subgroups of adolescents, such as HIV-positive, exclusively in-school, and pregnant/recently pregnant populations.

What this study adds

- While our results support that multidimensional factors are associated with poor mental health among Tanzanian adolescents, girls and boys have differing risk and protective factors
- ➤ Our results indicate that extreme precipitation is associated with higher levels of depressive symptoms, with stronger effects for boys, and that being in a romantic relationship may disproportionately affect the mental health of girls due to added stressors. Lack of economic opportunities may also lead to worse mental health, especially among boys who may feel societal pressure to be engaged in income-generating activities.

Finally, the lack of temporal associations limited our ability to look at pathways of effects, such as mediating roles of psychosocial indicators. However, as we found strong bivariate associations, we provide context for future research.

In conclusion, our results reinforce that adolescent mental health is associated with diverse, multilevel factors. As social determinants of poor mental health coexist in various domains, effective interventions to improve mental health require an intersectoral approach. These results also highlight the importance of using a gender-focused lens when examining mental health. Future research should better examine how climate change may impact mental health, particularly for African adolescents who represent a large proportion of the at-risk population.

Twitter Leah Prencipe @LeahPrencipe, Tanja AJ Houweling @TanjaHouweling and Tia M Palermo @tiapalermo

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank members of TASAF and TACAIDS, in particular Ladislaus Mwamanga, Amadeus Kamagenge and Mishael Fariji, for their support in implementing this evaluation. In addition, the UNICEF personnel instrumental to the initial planning stages of this pilot and study include: Beatrice Targa, Patricia Lim Ah Ken, Victoria Chuwa, Naomi Neijhoft and Tulanoga Matwimbi. We would also like to acknowledge the hard-working field teams of EDI Global, who conducted the data collection for this study to the highest professional standards. Special thanks to Daan Nieboer at Erasmus MC for the support on the analysis. The findings, interpretations and conclusions expressed in this paper are those of the authors and are not necessarily the position of UNICEF or the Government of the United Republic of Tanzania.

Collaborators Adolescent Cash Plus Evaluation Team: UNICEF Office of Research:
Tia Palermo (co-Principal Investigator), Lusajo Kajula, Jacobus de Hoop, Leah Prencipe,
Valeria Groppo, Jennifer Waidler; EDI Global: Johanna Choumert Nkolo (co-Principal
Investigator), Respichius Mitti (co-Principal Investigator), Nathan Sivewright, Koen
Leuveld, Bhoke Munanka; Tanzania Social Action Fund: Paul Luchemba, Tumpe
Mnyawami Lukongo; Tanzania Commission for AIDS: Aroldia Mulokozi; UNICEF
Tanzania: Ulrike Gilbert, Paul Quarles van Ufford, Rikke Le Kirkeqaard, Frank Eetaama.

Contributors LP and TAJH conceptualised the article. TMP, LP and LK were responsible for the research design and support in data collection activities. LP and TMP verified the underlying data. LP led the statistical analysis. All authors interpreted findings and contributed to writing the manuscript and approved the final version. Members of the evaluation team further contributed to study design and data collection.

Funding Funding for the Cash Plus pilot and evaluation has been provided by Oak Foundation (#OCAY-16-73) and UNICEF. Additional funding for the evaluation was provided by the UK's Department of International Development (DFID 203529-102) and the Swedish Development Cooperation Agency (Sida G41102), both through a grant to UNICEF Office of Research—Innocenti supporting the Transfer Project. Additional funding for implementation activities was provided by Irish Aid. Additional funding for analysis and write-up of this manuscript was provided to TAJH and LP by the D.P. Hoijer Fonds, Erasmus Trustfonds, Erasmus University Rotterdam, The Netherlands and though a grant awarded by the Norwegian Research Council (project number 288638) to the Centre for Global Health Inequalities Research (CHAIN) at the Norwegian University for Science and Technology (NTNU). The funders had no role in analysis or interpretation of data.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval Ethics approval for the study was granted by the National Institute for Medical Research (NIMR/HQ/R.8a/Vol.IX/2784) and the Tanzania Commission for Science and Technology (COSTECH).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement No data are available. Data analysed for this study are not publicly available but may become available, subject to government approval, after completion of the main Cash Plus evaluation.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Leah Prencipe http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2676-5784
Tanja AJ Houweling http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6090-4376
Frank J van Lenthe http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6402-7075
Tia M Palermo http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0419-2049
Lusajo Kajula http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8375-0701

REFERENCES

- 1 Murray CJL, Barber RM, Foreman KJ, et al. Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 306 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 188 countries, 1990–2013: quantifying the epidemiological transition. *The Lancet* 2015;386:2145–91.
- 2 Thapar A, Collishaw S, Pine DS, et al. Depression in adolescence. The Lancet 2012;379:1056–67.
- 3 Kieling C, Baker-Henningham H, Belfer M, et al. Child and adolescent mental health worldwide: evidence for action. Lancet 2011;378:1515–25.
- 4 Keenan-Miller D, Hammen CL, Brennan PA. Health outcomes related to early adolescent depression. J Adolesc Health 2007;41:256–62.
- 5 Mthembu S, Eyal K. Nurture surpasses nature: The intergenerational transmission of depression from African mothers to their adolescent children. In: 228 SWPN, ED. SALDRU working paper number 228. Cape Town: SALDRU Working Paper Number 228. 2018.
- 6 Lund C, Breen A, Flisher AJ, et al. Poverty and common mental disorders in low and middle income countries: a systematic review. Soc Sci Med 2010;71:517–28.
- 7 Lund C, Brooke-Sumner C, Baingana F, et al. Social determinants of mental disorders and the sustainable development goals: a systematic review of reviews. Lancet Psychiatry 2018:5:357–69.
- 8 Rose-Clarke K, Gurung D, Brooke-Sumner C, et al. Rethinking research on the social determinants of global mental health. Lancet Psychiatry 2020;7:659–62.
- 9 Nyundo A, Manu A, Regan M, et al. Factors associated with depressive symptoms and suicidal ideation and behaviours amongst sub-Saharan African adolescents aged 10-19 years: cross-sectional study. Trop Med Int Health 2020;25:54–69.
- 10 Kim MH, Mazenga AC, Yu X, et al. Factors associated with depression among adolescents living with HIV in Malawi. BMC Psychiatry 2015;15:264.
- 11 Das-Munshi J, Lund C, Mathews C, et al. Mental health inequalities in adolescents growing up in post-apartheid South Africa: cross-sectional survey, Shaw study. PLoS One 2016:11:e0154478.
- 12 Govender K, Reardon C, Quinlan T, et al. Children's psychosocial wellbeing in the context of HIV/AIDS and poverty: a comparative investigation of orphaned and nonorphaned children living in South Africa. BMC Public Health 2014;14:615.
- 13 Nabunya P, Damulira C, Byansi W, et al. Prevalence and correlates of depressive symptoms among high school adolescent girls in southern Uganda. BMC Public Health 2020;20:1–11.
- 14 Tsai AC, Tomlinson M, Comulada WS, et al. Food insufficiency, depression, and the modifying role of social support: evidence from a population-based, prospective cohort of pregnant women in peri-urban South Africa. Soc Sci Med 2016;151:69–77.
- 15 Hamad R, Fernald LCH, Karlan DS, et al. Social and economic correlates of depressive symptoms and perceived stress in South African adults. J Epidemiol Community Health 2008:62:538–44
- 16 Kalomo EN, Lightfoot E, Lee KH. Gender-Based violence: risk and protective factors for depressive symptoms among couples in the Kavango region, Northern Namibia. *Journal of Human Behavior in the Social Environment* 2019:1–15.
- 17 Stewart RC, Umar E, Tomenson B, et al. A cross-sectional study of antenatal depression and associated factors in Malawi. Arch Womens Ment Health 2014:17:145–54.
- 18 United Nations. World population prospects (2019 Revision).

- 19 Bronfenbrenner U. The ecology of human development. Harvard university press, 1979
- 20 Atilola O. Child mental-health policy development in sub-Saharan Africa: broadening the perspectives using Bronfenbrenner's ecological model. *Health Promot Int* 2017:32:380–91.
- 21 Tanzania Cash Plus Evaluation Team. *Ujana Salama: a cash plus model on youth well-being and safe, healthy transitions: trial design, methods and baseline findings*. Florence, Italy: UNICEF Office of Research Innocenti, 2018.
- 22 Boey KW. Cross-Validation of a short form of the CES-D in Chinese elderly. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 1999;14:608–17.
- 23 Kilburn K, Prencipe L, Hjelm L, et al. Examination of performance of the center for epidemiologic studies depression scale short form 10 among African youth in poor, rural households. BMC Psychiatry 2018;18:201.
- 24 Baron EC, Davies T, Lund C. Validation of the 10-item centre for epidemiological studies depression scale (CES-D-10) in Zulu, Xhosa and Afrikaans populations in South Africa. BMC Psychiatry 2017;17:1–14.
- 25 World Health Organization. Adverse childhood experiences international questionnaire (ACE-IQ). Geneva: WHO, 2015.
- 26 Zimet GD, Dahlem NW, Zimet SG, et al. The multidimensional scale of perceived social support. J Pers Assess 1988;52:30–41.
- 27 Moor I, Spallek J, Richter M. Explaining socioeconomic inequalities in self-rated health: a systematic review of the relative contribution of material, psychosocial and behavioural factors. J Epidemiol Community Health 2017;71:565–75.
- 28 Rotter JB. Generalized expectancies for internal versus external control of reinforcement. *Psychol Monogr* 1966;80:1–28.
- 29 Kuehner C, Buerger C. Determinants of subjective quality of life in depressed patients: the role of self-esteem, response styles, and social support. J Affect Disord 2005;86:205–13.
- 30 Benassi VA, Sweeney PD, Dufour CL. Is there a relation between locus of control orientation and depression? J Abnorm Psychol 1988;97:357–67.
- 31 Rosenberg M. The measurement of self-esteem, society and the adolescent self-image. Princeton1965:16–36.
- 32 Cantril H. The pattern of human concerns. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers UP[Google Scholar], 1965.
- 33 Levenson H, internality Damong. Powerful others, and chance. Research with the locus of control construct 1981;1:15–63.
- 34 Merlo J, Chaix B, Yang M, et al. A brief conceptual tutorial of multilevel analysis in social epidemiology: linking the statistical concept of clustering to the idea of contextual phenomenon. J Epidemiol Community Health 2005;59:443–9.
- 35 Andersen SL, Teicher MH. Stress, sensitive periods and maturational events in adolescent depression. *Trends Neurosci* 2008;31:183–91.
- 36 O'Campo P, Wheaton B, Nisenbaum R, et al. The neighbourhood effects on health and well-being (NEHW) study. *Health Place* 2015;31:65–74.
- 37 Dunn EC, Milliren CE, Evans CR, et al. Disentangling the relative influence of schools and neighborhoods on adolescents' risk for depressive symptoms. Am J Public Health 2015;105:732–40.
- 38 Mair C, Diez Roux AV, Galea S. Are neighbourhood characteristics associated with depressive symptoms? A review of evidence. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2008;62:940–6.
- 39 Dillip A, Mboma ZM, Greer G, et al. 'To be honest, women do everything': understanding roles of men and women in net care and repair in Southern Tanzania. Malar J 2018;17:1–8.
- 40 Izugbara CO. 'Life is Not Designed to be Easy for Men': Masculinity and Poverty Among Urban Marginalized Kenyan Men. Gender Issues 2015;32:121–37.
- 41 Patton GC, Sawyer SM, Santelli JS, et al. Our future: a Lancet Commission on adolescent health and wellbeing. *Lancet* 2016;387:2423–78.
- 42 Benjet C, Hernández-Montoya D, Borges G, et al. Youth who neither study nor work: mental health, education and employment. Salud Pública de México 2012;54:410–7.
- 43 Costello A, Abbas M, Allen A, et al. Managing the health effects of climate change: Lancet and university College London Institute for global health Commission. Lancet 2009;373:1693–733
- 44 Berry HL, Waite TD, Dear KBG, et al. The case for systems thinking about climate change and mental health. Nat Clim Chang 2018;8:282–90.
- 45 Majeed H, Lee J. The impact of climate change on youth depression and mental health. *Lancet Planet Health* 2017;1:e94–5.