Results 125/208 registered women consented to participate (60.1%), 64.4% of the recruitment target (125/194). Recruitment was an iterative process and the target number (48) was reached in wave 4. Dropout rates were high, 67/125 (53.6%) at 12w and 59/125 (47.2%) at 6m. While the study was not powered to test effectiveness, 26.6% of women randomised to the intervention group were non-smokers at 12 weeks versus 16.6% of controls. Higher quit rates were observed in the intervention group, (41.7% intervention, 25.8% control) in those who attended at least one delivery session (89). Differences in quit rates were not sustained at 6/12. High acceptability of trial processes and intervention delivery were reported.

Conclusion Recruitment to a community based smoking cessation pilot RCT for disadvantaged women was challenging but feasible. Challenges to recruitment and lessons learned will be discussed. A positive direction of effect in favour of the intervention was noted. Measures to improve retention rates are needed in a future definitive intervention trial.

OP14

THE IMPACT OF HEALTH SYSTEM SUPPORT AND MIGRATION RELATED RISK FACTORS ON DUAL AND POLY-TOBACCO USE AMONG MALE ADULTS IN 15 LOW AND MIDDLE-INCOME COUNTRIES — IMPLICATIONS FOR GLOBAL TOBACCO CONTROL

THC Chen*, CM Millett, FTP Filippidis. *School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK*

10.1136/jech-2020-SSMabstracts.14

Background Concurrently using two (dual users) or more than two (poly tobacco users) tobacco products is common in low- and middle-income countries, especially among men, and has been linked to increased health risks and nicotine addiction compared to single tobacco product use. While substantial inequalities persist with high tobacco use prevalence among disadvantaged groups, very little is known about the impact of health care support and migration status on tobacco use patterns among these population. This study aims to explore socioeconomic, health care and migration related risk factors of poly tobacco users among men in 15 low- and middle-income countries.

Methods Data from 15 countries around the world were obtained from the most recent wave of the Demographic and Health Survey (DHS), collected between 2015 and 2018. A total of 155,425 men aged 15–49 were surveyed. Outcomes included single, dual and poly use, examined by education, wealth, health system support and migration status. Migration defined as whether the respondent slept or reside away from home in the last 12 months. Multilevel models were used to estimate the effect of covariates on tobacco use with along with fixed and random parameters.

Results In all studied countries, prevalence of dual and poly-tobacco use was highest in respondents with low socioeconomic status (SES). SES gradients in dual and poly use by education (RR=0.64; 95%CI: 0.55–0.67 for higher education vs illiterates) and wealth index (RR=0.15; 95%CI: 0.11–0.20 for richest vs poorest) were observed. Furthermore, results showed higher risks of being a poly user among migrant men (RR=1.70; 95%CI: 1.65–1.71) and a lower risk against dual use for those covered by health insurance (RR=0.82; 95%CI: 0.79–0.85). However, dual

and poly tobacco use were not associated with a country's purchasing power parity.

Conclusion Positive associations were found between dual and poly tobacco use among male respondents with lower SES and migration backgrounds, whereas respondents protected by health care system were associated negatively. This is the first study to examine the impact of health system support and migration status among men in low and middle-income countries. Findings imply that policy makers should consider targeting populations with migration background and those with reduced access to health care to tackle with smoking inequality in tobacco control science.

OP15

EXPLORING THE IMPACT OF SMOKE-FREE LEGISLATION ON EXPOSURE TO SECOND-HAND SMOKE AMONG NON-SMOKING ADULTS IN ENGLAND

C Mathew*, C Kyriopoulos. *Department of Public Health and Policy, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK*

10.1136/jech-2020-SSMabstracts.15

Background In the presence of mounting evidence on the adverse effects of second-hand smoke (SHS) to non-smokers, England implemented smoke-free legislation on 1 July 2007 that rendered all public enclosed spaces smoke-free. As England considers becoming smoke-free by 2030, an evaluation of the existing smoke-free policy in England utilizing recent data becomes paramount in informing policymakers who are debating an expansion of the legislation in England. This project sought to examine trends in exposure to SHS among adult non-smokers in England from 2003–2015 in order to determine whether the legislation produced changes in SHS exposure among non-smoking adults and whether the policy had a differential impact by sex and socioeconomic status (SES).

Methods This study was an interrupted time series analysis of data on self-reported exposure to SHS among adult non-smokers that were obtained from Health Survey England and spanned the period between 2003 and 2015. The study used regression methods to examine trends in not only exposure to SHS as a binary variable but also the number of hours of exposure to SHS both before and after the ban. The analysis was conducted for the general adult non-smoking population as well as by sex and SES, using the quintile groups of the index of multiple deprivation.

Results The odd of exposure to SHS was falling annually by 9.1% (95% CI: 6.7% to 11.5%), in relative terms, before the implementation of the smoke-free policy. The odds dramatically reduced by 189.4% (95% CI: 100.2% to 318.7%) as a result of the policy, but slowly increased since then by 1.7% (95% CI: -3.0 to 6.2%) annually. The modelled prevalence of SHS exposure, declined drastically by approximately 50%, from 40.5% (95% CI: 34.5% - 46.9%) to 19.1% (95% CI: 11.2% - 30.6%), in the immediate aftermath of the ban. Nevertheless, the number of hours of SHS exposure declined after the ban. The policy was most effective in men, and it reduces both absolute and relative sex inequalities. When socioeconomic inequalities were considered, the policy was more effective in the most deprived group, and reduced absolute socioeconomic inequality, however, absolute inequality was increased as a result of the policy. The analysis was performed in SPSS v24.

Conclusion While the population-wide decline in SHS exposure following the ban is a testament to the success of smoke-