219 (58.09%) admissions were caused by an ADR, while ADRs contributed to 158 (41.91%) admissions. For the majority of admissions (N=216, 57.60%) there was no other known acute medical issue that may have acted in synergy with the medication resulting in the ADR. Of the 377 ADR-related admissions, 43 (11.41%) were deemed definitely avoidable and 225 (59.68%) possibly avoidable and 350 (92.84%) were classified as moderate severity.

**Conclusion** One in ten hospital admissions were ADR-related, with approximately 70% potentially avoidable. Interventions are needed to reduce ADRs and improve medication management in older populations.
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**OP78 PROJECTION OF THE ECONOMIC AND HEALTH IMPACTS OF CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE IN THE CHILEAN POPULATION**

*M. Walbaum*, **S. Scholes, E. Fizzo, R. Rojas, J.S. Mindell.** 1Health and Social Surveys Research Group, Research Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, London, UK; **2Department of Applied Health Research, University College London, London, UK; 3School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

**Background** Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) is a leading public health problem, with substantial burden on and economic implications for healthcare systems, mainly from renal replacement treatment (RRT) for End-Stage Kidney Disease (ESKD).

In Chile, the prevalence of CKD Stages 1 to 5 in people aged 40 years or older is at least 15.4%, with an increasing rate of 4.5% per year. At the end of 2070, there is an expected increase in the number of adults with ESKD, ceteris paribus, from 22,300 to 58,000 people, with an expected increase in direct costs of £213 million to £506 million. A reduction in the percentage of fast progressors could reduce the total cases of ESKD to 42,933 and the expected direct costs by around £110 million.

**Conclusion** The estimates in this study show an important increase in the cases and costs of CKD. This model can be a useful tool for healthcare planning, with development of preventive or treatment plans to reduce and delay the progression of the disease.

---
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**OP79 OPTIMISING PATIENT AND PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT IN TRIALS OF COMPLEX INTERVENTIONS**

N Kime*, A Heaven, C Quinn, S Brown. 1Health and Social Surveys Research Group, Research Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, London, UK; **2Department of Applied Health Research, University College London, London, UK; 3School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

**Background** Public involvement is mandated by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) and its ‘UK Standards for Public Involvement in Research’ provide a benchmark for effectiveness. Whilst guidance exists about how, when and why to enact public involvement, there is still debate around how to evaluate it and its impact. Public involvement is an integral component of the personalised care planning for older people with frailty programme (PROSPER). This work aimed to evaluate public involvement structures in the feasibility stage of the PROSPER programme and provide recommendations for its optimisation.

**Methods** Two lay representatives with requisite training and skills conducted qualitative face-to-face interviews with lay members and stakeholders from all levels of the PROSPER programme, from strategic to operational. The interviews explored influence and impact. In addition, documentary analysis of minutes from meetings involving lay members was performed to identify what extent lay member involvement was embedded in the Programme along with barriers and facilitators to engagement. Two researchers and the lay representatives conducted thematic analysis of both sets of data using a framework based on the NIHR standards.

**Results** Twelve qualitative interviews and twenty three documentary analyses of meeting minutes were conducted. Identified themes were centred on: 1) individual members, notably training and skills utilisation and development and learning around the research process, and 2) the demonstrable impact of public involvement on individuals, the Programme, NHS research and the wider community. Improvements to current arrangements, including diversity of representation in the public involvement groups and the timely communication of information to its members, were proposed as recommendations for the development of public involvement in the definitive trial.

**Conclusion** This evaluation has shown that the current public involvement structures in PROSPER largely meet the NIHR standards. Furthermore, it has highlighted tangible impacts of public involvement for individuals, the Programme and the wider community. However, several areas of improvement were recognised which will be addressed in the definitive trial. Strengths and limitations around lay representatives conducting interviews and members’ impact on the research were identified.