Socioeconomic position and risk of atrial fibrillation: a nationwide Danish cohort study
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ABSTRACT

Aim To examine the association between socioeconomic position and the risk of atrial fibrillation (AF) in different stages of life in a population of Danish citizens.

Methods Register-based study. We followed all individuals turning 35, 50, 65 or 80 years from 1 January 1996 to 31 December 2005 until AF, death, emigration or the end of study period (31 December 2015). Exposure was education and income. We used Cox regression for the HRs (95% CI) and the pseudo-observation method for the adjusted risk difference (RD) (%).

Results A total of 2 173 857 participants were enrolled and 151 340 incident cases of AF occurred over a median of 13.6 years of follow-up. Adjusted HR (95% CI) of incident AF for the youngest age group with the highest education (ref lowest) was 0.62 (0.50 to 0.77) (women) and 0.85 (0.76 to 0.96) (men). The associations attenuated with increasing age, that is, HRs for the oldest age group were 1.04 (0.97 to 1.10) and 0.98 (0.96 to 1.04), respectively. The corresponding adjusted RDs (%) were: −0.28 (−0.43 to −0.14), −0.18 (−0.36 to −0.01), 3.04 (0.55 to 6.64) and −0.74 (−3.38 to 2.49), respectively. Similar but weaker associations were found for income.

Conclusion Higher level of education and income was associated with a lower risk of being diagnosed with AF in young individuals but the association decreased with increasing age and was almost absent for the oldest age cohort. However, since AF is relatively rare in the youngest the RDs were low.

BACKGROUND

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common cardiac arrhythmia worldwide and it is a considerable source of mortality and morbidity such as stroke and congestive heart failure. 1,2 AF is currently estimated to affect approximately 2% of the world population and the prevalence is progressively increasing, making AF a rising global health burden. 3

Several studies have demonstrated that low socioeconomic position (SEP) is associated with a higher risk of cardiovascular diseases (CVD) such as stroke and ischaemic heart disease. 4, 5 One might expect the same pattern between SEP and AF, as AF shares many of the traditional cardiovascular risk factors such as obesity, hypertension or diabetes. However, only approximately 50% of AF cases are estimated to be explained on the basis of these risk factors, and the remaining causes are unknown or suggested to be, for example, alterations in the autonomic nervous system or genetics. 6 The latter might especially be the case for younger adults with ‘lone AF’. 7

Accordingly, previous studies show inconsistent results which have varied with country of origin and different indicators of SEP. 8 For example, one of the studies indicates that, for example, low education increases the risk of AF, 9 while other studies indicate that deprivation and high income increase the risk of AF. 10, 11 None of the previous studies have stratified the results according to age, which otherwise could be of great importance, as the aetiology and mechanisms behind AF probably differ for younger and older adults. 12 Furthermore, although different indicators of SEP, such as income and education, are strongly correlated, they should not be used interchangeably as different indicators may give different results. 13 Consequently, it remains poorly understood if SEP is associated with the risk of being diagnosed with AF and the possible public health impact it may have.

The aim of this study was to examine the association between SEP, for example, education and income, and the risk of a hospital diagnosis of AF in different ages in the Danish population. We hypothesised that short education and low income were associated with higher risk of being diagnosed with AF and that the associations might be stronger for younger adults.

METHODS

Study design and data sources

This was a register-based observational cohort study using nationwide registers from Statistics Denmark. We used the following five Danish registers: (1) The Danish National Patient Register, which holds information on procedures and diagnoses from hospitals since 1977. The diagnoses were coded using the International Classification of Diseases Eighth Revision (ICD-8) before 1994, and from January 1994 ICD-10. 14, 15 (2) The National Prescription Registry, which uses the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) Classification System and holds information on all dispensed prescriptions from Danish pharmacies since 1994. 16 (3) The Income Statistics Registers, which holds information about household income since 1970. 17 (4) The Population Education Registers, which holds information on level of education and contains information since 1910. 18 (5) The Danish Civil Registration System, which includes demographic information such as age, sex, cohabiting status, citizenship and municipality of
Figure 1  AF, atrial fibrillation; SEP, socioeconomic position. (A) shows how covariates were considered as either intermediate variables or confounders. Only confounders were adjusted for in the analysis. We did not adjust for the same confounders in income and education, as it is unlikely that education is affected by traditional risk factors for AF such as myocardial infarction and heart failure as education is established early. Consequently, when studying education as the exposure, we did not consider these risk factors as confounders but instead as intermediate variables as part of the causal pathway between education and AF. (B) shows the covariates that were considered as confounders for income. (C) shows the covariates that were considered as confounders or intermediate variables for education. Please see Supplementary material “Tables and supplementary S6” for further justification for choice of confounders.

residence since 1960. Furthermore, all Danish citizens have a unique 10-digit Civil Personal Register number which enables linkage between all the national registers.19

Settings, study population and follow-up
All Danish citizens in the age 35, 50, 65 or 80 years old between 1 January 1996 and 31 December 2005 were identified in Danish registers. By stratifying the analysis into different age cohorts, it allowed us to collect exposure (education and income) at the same point in life, as income and education are strongly age and period dependent.13 Furthermore, age is a strong risk factor for AF and the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms may differ in young and old adults.12 We excluded all individuals with an AF diagnosis registered prior to date of inclusion (back to 1986) or missing information about exposure (education or income). Each individual was considered at risk for AF from the day they turned 35, 50, 65 or 80 years between 1 January 1996 and 31 December 2005 until a diagnosis of AF, death, emigration or the end of the study period (31 December 2015).

Education and income
As we wanted to assess the association with AF, information about SEP was obtained at the respective date of inclusion (ie, at age 35, 50, 65 or 80 years old). Household income was the equalised household income and drawn from the Income Statistics Register.17 To reduce the impact of inflation and to take changes over calendar time in salaries into account, we used age and calendar year-specific tertiles of baseline income. The tertiles were split every 2.5 years as annual inflation in Denmark is generally low (<2%) and constant. Information about the highest completed level of education for each participant was obtained from the Population Education Register.18 We divided the level of education into age-specific groups (low, medium and high) based on the distribution of education in each age cohort (online supplementary material, tables 1-3).

Diagnosis of AF and/or atrial flutter
Information on AF hospital diagnosis was obtained from The Danish National Patient Register. All contacts, including hospital admissions and outpatient visits (but not emergency department visits) with a primary or secondary diagnosis were included. We defined the outcome of this study as AF using the combined ICD-10 code for AF and/or atrial flutter (I48). This is in accordance with previous epidemiological studies20 and the validity of the combined diagnosis is high.21 Hence, the combined diagnosis of AF and/or atrial flutter is referred to as ‘AF’.

Covariates
AF-related comorbidities were defined as a combination of diagnoses and prescriptions and the specific ICD and ATC codes used are available in online supplementary material, table 4. Information about redeemed prescriptions was obtained from the National Prescription Registry.16 Information about age, sex, place of residence and cohabiting status was drawn from The Danish Civil Registration System.19 Place of residence was grouped according to type of municipality into peripheral, rural, suburban/intermediate and urban municipalities (online supplementary material, table 5)22 and cohabiting status was divided into ‘living alone’ or ‘living together with one or more persons’.

Statistics
Baseline characteristics at the specific age of study inclusion were described for each age cohort using percentages. In analyses comparing incidence rates of AF between different exposure groups, we used Cox regression with age as timescale to estimate
We supplied with absolute risks and risk differences (RDs) with 95% CIs for incidence of AF during follow-up. All analyses were sex stratified and the ages 50 and 65 at baseline had a statistically lower HR of AF compared with the lowest income (crude HR and 95% CI for 35, 50, 65 and 80 years old: 0.84 (0.75 to 0.93), 0.88 (0.83 to 0.93), 0.94 (0.91 to 0.97) and 0.98 (0.93 to 1.04), respectively).

Women with the highest education had a statistically lower HR of AF but the association was attenuated with age (crude HR and 95% CI for 35, 50, 65 and 80 years old: 0.57 (0.46 to 0.70), 0.77 (0.69 to 0.85), 0.85 (0.82 to 0.88) and 1.01 (0.95 to 1.07), respectively). The estimates remained similar but were slightly attenuated after adjustment for other sociodemographic factors and comorbidity. Figure 3 shows the adjusted RDs.

**Household income**

In the crude analysis (model 1), men with the highest income and the ages 50 and 65 at baseline had a statistically lower HR of AF than those with the lowest income (HR=0.91 (95% CI 0.88 to 0.94) and 0.91 (95% CI 0.89 to 0.94), respectively). The estimates were attenuated after stepwise adjustment for other sociodemographic factors and comorbidities (online supplementary table S8 and figure 2). However, the youngest men with medium income had a higher risk of AF compared with men with the lowest income, especially after adjusting for both sociodemographic factors and comorbidity (model 3a) (HR=1.12 (95% CI 1.04 to 1.20)).

Women with the highest income had a statistically lower HR of AF compared with women with the lowest income, and the association was attenuated with increasing age (crude HR and 95% CI for 35, 50, 65 and 80 years old, respectively: 0.83 (0.75 to 0.93), 0.75 (0.71 to 0.78), 0.83 (0.81 to 0.86) and 0.93 (0.91 to 0.96)). The RDs show the same trend as HRs, but the association was smaller in the young age cohorts due to lower incidence rates of AF.
Figure 2. Yr, years. Adjusted hazard rate ratios for AF by income and education stratified by sex. Reference is 1 (lowest tertile for income and lowest educational group). The results are adjusted for model 2 and 3a/3b: Model 2 and 3a (income): Adjusted for income/education, place of residence, cohabiting status, hypertension, heart failure, valvular heart disease, diabetes mellitus 1 and 2, ischemic heart disease, peripheral artery disease, hyperthyroidism, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic kidney disease, alcoholism, obesity and congenital heart disease. Model 2 and 3b (education): Adjusted for income/education, place of residence, cohabiting status, diabetes mellitus 1 and 2, hyperthyroidism, alcoholism, obesity and congenital heart disease.

DISCUSSION

In this cohort study, we found that higher education was associated with lower risk of AF in men and women, and that the association persisted after adjusting for confounders. However, the association attenuated with increasing age (65 and 80 years) and the association was even reversed for the oldest age cohort. Young and middle-aged women with high household income had a lower risk of AF but the association decreased with increasing age. Young men with high and middle income had a slightly higher risk of AF than those with the lowest income, while there was no significant difference for men aged 50, 65 or 80. As the prevalence of AF is low in the youngest adults, the associations were negligible or non-significant in absolute numbers as reflected by the low RDs. The largest RD was observed for women with low income and education in the age of 65 years.

The causal pathway linking SEP to AF has not been thoroughly investigated and it may differ for education and income (see figure 4). There is no obvious direct biological mechanism for the observed association between low education and higher risk of AF. However, the association may be explained by intermediate variables. There is a strong association between low education and higher risk of several AF risk factors such as ischemic heart disease and hypertension. Education is usually established early in life and it is unlikely that other AF risk factors influence choice or establishment of education. Hence, other AF risk factors may be the causal pathway linking education to AF.

We observed that young men with middle income had higher risk of AF compared with young men with low income, which is partly supported by previous studies. Contrary to education, income is a more unstable measure, which changes over time. Additionally, the association with AF risk factors may be directional, for example, although low income is associated with increased risk of myocardial infarction, myocardial infarction may also cause sick leave, unemployment and herein lower income. Consequently, AF risk factors may act as confounders in the relationship with income and not necessarily as part of...
Figure 3  Yr, years. Adjusted risk difference of AF by income and education stratified by sex. Reference (Ref.) is the absolute adjusted risk of AF for individuals with the lowest education/income. The results are adjusted for model 2 and 3a/3b: Model 2 and 3a (income): Adjusted for income/education, place of residence, cohabiting status, hypertension, heart failure, valvular heart disease, diabetes mellitus 1 and 2, ischemic heart disease, peripheral artery disease, hyperthyroidism, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic kidney disease, alcoholism, obesity and congenital heart disease. Model 2 and 3b (education): Adjusted for income/education, place of residence, cohabiting status, diabetes mellitus 1 and 2, hyperthyroidism, alcoholism, obesity and congenital heart disease.

Figure 4  The diagram illustrates the hypothesized pathways for how income and education are linked to AF. Both very high and very low income may produce stress. For example, low income may cause stress and worrying about paying bills and the future, while high income is often associated with stressful jobs with responsibility, expectations and pressure. Low education is associated with lifestyle-related diseases which may also be AF risk factors.
the causal pathway. However, a biological mechanism linking income to stress and subsequent AF is possible (eg, alterations in the autonomous nervous system). \(^6\) Individuals with high-income jobs may experience more pressure and stress both at home and in the work environment. \(^6\) Existing literature has indicated that men with work-related stress have a higher risk of AF \(^3\) but not women. \(^4\)

We also observed that the associations decreased with increasing age. The observation that risk factors for a disease are weaker for older individuals is not unique for the study of SEP and AF, as the impact of CVD risk factors seems to decrease by age. This is partly explained by the survival effect, which means that those with many risk factors already have experienced an event or died before inclusion. \(^32\) Furthermore, low SEP is associated with higher mortality and a selection bias in the oldest age may explain the observed association. Hence, there may be a selection bias in the oldest cohort of healthier, more educated and richer individuals.

This study has some advantages. It is a population-based, nationwide cohort study with a large sample size increasing the accuracy of the results and minimising the chance of a type II statistical error. Also, the large number of cases allowed for stratified analyses on age and sex and these analyses suggested that the association between high and low SEP on AF was stronger for the youngest and especially women when evaluated on a multiplicative scale. Additionally, the associations of interest were assessed on both an additive (RD) and multiplicative scale (HRs), which allowed for a more detailed interpretation of the associations as the risk of AF is markedly higher among older individuals. Furthermore, in Denmark, both education and healthcare services are government funded and free for all citizens, and the effect seen is not influenced by unequal access to rather healthcare services or education, which may be the case in, for example, American studies where the healthcare system is primarily privately funded. \(^33\) However, citizens may not use the access to healthcare to a similar extent across age, sex and socioeconomic groups.

Finally, by increasing the knowledge about SEP and AF, public health initiatives (eg, unsolicited screening of AF, information campaigns or clinical guidelines) may be developed with a specific focus on the most vulnerable groups (young and middle-aged citizens with low education and income).

This study also has several limitations. First, it is likely that we underestimate the incidence of AF, as the symptoms of AF in some cases are weak and not recognised by the patients or health professionals. \(^35\) Previous studies have shown that patients with low SES were less aware and had less knowledge about AF. \(^36\) Hence, there may be a detection bias of AF in individuals with low SEP, which could make the association between low SEP and AF weaker than it actually is. Additionally, some deaths may be attributed to undiagnosed AF, possibly more often in patients with low SEP. \(^37\) On the other hand, people with low SEP are more frequently hospitalised for any cause than people with high SEP \(^38\) which could make the association stronger than it actually is. Second, although the Danish registers are considered to be of high validity, there may be some incomplete data on, for example, education, especially for the oldest age cohort and for immigrants. \(^39\) We cannot rule out residual confounding, both by factors that are unknown and by factors that we cannot measure. One example is incomplete registration of some diagnoses/conditions in the Danish National Patient Register, for example, obesity. Although we included it as a confounder, we almost certainly underestimate it as very few cases of obesity are actually registered at the hospital. This is also demonstrated in Table 1, which shows a very low prevalence of obesity compared with what we would expect in the Danish population. \(^39\) Third, although the combined diagnosis of ‘AF and/or atrial flutter’ is accepted as ‘AF’ \(^34\) \(^20\) \(^21\) we cannot entirely rule out that there may be different mechanisms for the two conditions which may influence the results. Furthermore, although Danish guidelines recommend all patients with AF to be referred to a cardiologist for an echocardiography or (if indicated) cardioversion, \(^40\) underestimation of the diagnosis may be present if general practitioners choose to not refer the patient or if the patient is only seen by an out-of-hospital cardiologist.

**CONCLUSION**

Low education was associated with an increased risk of being diagnosed with AF in young individuals but the association decreased with increasing age and was reversed in high and middle-educated women and middle-educated men in the oldest ages. Similar results were seen for income, but the association was weaker. However, since AF is relatively rare in the youngest the absolute risk is low. Although the association is not as strong in older adults, the incidence is much higher which makes the socioeconomic differences in AF incidence a larger public health problem for older than younger individuals, especially for women in their 50s and 60s.

---
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