corporate influences contributing to an obesogenic environment. A current focus in childhood obesity policy debates is the role that online advertising of high in fat, sugar and salt (HFSS) food and beverages plays as a driver of childhood obesity and what regulation is required. However, there is a lack of research in this area as to public acceptability of regulation of online advertising of HFSS products as a viable policy solution. This study examines the perceptions of parents and stakeholders in regulating this online environment to answer how the regulation of online advertising of HFSS products to children is viewed in the UK?

**Methods**
Three qualitative methods were triangulated: 1) eight focus groups with parents who have children aged between five and 15 years old; 2) scoping review of 85 responses to a 2016 Committees of Advertising Practice consultation on non-broadcast advertising to children; and 3) 11 stakeholder interviews (industry, civil society, academics and government body).

Data were analysed inductively and thematically using NVivo.

**Results**
Parents reported finding it increasingly difficult to attain a healthy diet for their children, competing with a multitude of pressures, including online advertising of HFSS products. Non-industry stakeholders agreed with this perspective, whereas industry stakeholders were sceptical as to the influence online advertising had in contributing to an obesogenic environment. In terms of attitudes to regulation, two views emerged from the three data sets: 1) support for increased regulation of online advertising of HFSS products, or 2) the continuation of the current self-regulatory model. Underpinning their views were concerns as to the distribution of power within the obesogenic environment, with the majority of parents and all non-industry stakeholders describing the food and beverage industry as possessing too much power, and government and parents possessing too little. In contrast, the remaining parents and industry stakeholders argued that government possessed too much power and as such infringed on individual autonomy.

**Conclusion**
Parents’ and stakeholders’ views in this study largely aligned with Beauchamp’s (1976) theory on social justice versus market justice. Although not generalisable, this study offers insights into how their perspectives on the distribution of power within the obesogenic environment may have informed their views on implementing increased regulation of the online advertising environment as a viable policy solution to tackle childhood obesity.
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**Background**
Adolescence is a period marked by critical changes in behaviours and body composition that place adolescents at an increased risk of becoming overweight and obese. Health education in schools has the potential to improve health behaviours by encouraging critical thinking about these issues. To develop sustainable interventions to prevent obesity, it is necessary to understand whether educational interventions during adolescence are effective, and which intervention elements are associated with improvements in BMI.

We addressed the question: do school-based educational interventions improve BMI in adolescence, and what intervention features are associated with effectiveness?

**Methods**
We carried out a systematic review and meta-analysis of published intervention studies. We searched MEDLINE, PsycINFO, CINAHL, and ERIC from 2006 to 2017. Two