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ABSTRACT
Background Although long working hours have been shown to be associated with the onset of cardiometabolic diseases, the clinical risk factor profile associated with long working hours remains unclear. We compared the clinical risk profile between people who worked long hours and those who reported being never exposed to long hours.

Methods A cross-sectional study in 22 health screening centres in France was based on a random population-based sample of 75,709 participants aged 18–69 at study inception in 2012–2016 (the CONSTANCES study). The data included survey responses on working hours (never, former or current exposure to long working hours), covariates and standardised biomedical examinations including anthropometry, lung function, blood pressure and standard blood-based biomarkers.

Results Among men, long working hours were associated with higher anthropometric markers (Body Mass Index, waist circumference and waist:hip ratio), adverse lipid levels, higher glucose, creatinine, white blood cells and higher alanine transaminase (adjusted mean differences in the standardised scale between the exposed and unexposed 0.02–0.12). The largest differences were found for Body Mass Index and waist circumference. A dose–response pattern with increasing years of working long hours was found for anthropometric markers, total cholesterol, glucose and gamma-glutamyltransferase. Among women, long working hours were associated with Body Mass Index and white blood cells.

Conclusion In this study, men who worked long hours had slightly worse cardiometabolic and inflammatory profile than those who did not work long hours, especially with regard to anthropometric markers. In women, the corresponding associations were weak or absent.

INTRODUCTION
Current guidelines for the prevention of chronic diseases such as myocardial infarction, stroke and diabetes emphasise the importance of maintaining healthy levels of cardiometabolic risk factors such as body weight, blood pressure, cholesterol and glucose. These guidelines also acknowledge psychosocial factors as potential contributors to cardiometabolic diseases. One of these, working long hours, is common in the USA, Europe and Asia.

Large collaborative meta-analyses of individual participant data from observational cohort studies have found long working hours to be associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease, particularly stroke, and type 2 diabetes (the latter among employees with low socioeconomic position (SEP)). Studies have also confirmed behavioural risk factors as potential pathways, showing associations between long working hours and smoking, risky alcohol use and physical inactivity. In contrast, evidence on the clinical risk profile of people who work long hours is scarce and inconsistent. The Whitehall II study of British civil servants observed no consistent associations between long working hours and cardiometabolic factors such as blood pressure, lipid levels or systemic inflammation. Some studies have found an association with self-reported hypertension while others have reported no association, and some further studies have found the risk of hypertension to be lower among individuals who work long hours than among those who work standard 40 hours work weeks. The evidence is also mixed with regard to metabolic syndrome (an indication of multiple cardiometabolic risk factors) and includes both positive and null findings. Similarly, studies focusing on overweight and Body Mass Index (BMI) have shown positive associations, and a lower risk of weight gain among individuals who work long hours. One limitation in many of these studies is that they rely on self-reported data or data on treated diseases, and many of them have limited statistical power due to small sample sizes, which may lead to imprecise effect estimates, a high likelihood of observing an association by chance and a reduced opportunity to reliably detect small and moderate associations.

To obtain more robust evidence, we examined the association between long working hours and clinically assessed risk markers for chronic diseases, using a large dataset of more than 75,000 French men and women. By carefully assessing exposure, its frequency and duration, we were able to differentiate those never exposed from those formerly or currently exposed and examine potential dose–response patterns in the association. In addition, we assessed associations with several risk factors that have not been examined in relation to long working hours, such as lung function, indicators of liver and kidney function, white and red cell count, and blood clotting (platelets). We also examined...
whether the association between long working hours and risk markers is similar among men and women and among different socioeconomic groups.

METHODS

Participants and procedure

The French CONSTANCES is a population-based cohort study that serves as an open epidemiological research infrastructure.22 The cohort is made up of French adults affiliated with the General Health Insurance Fund in France. This fund covers about 83% of the French population and includes salaried workers (professionally active or retired) aged 18–69 at study inception in 2012–2016. All confidentiality, safety and security procedures were approved by the French legal authorities. The study was carried out in 22 health screening centres across principal regions of France. Of the randomly invited population in the selected catchment areas with stratification according to unequal response probabilities, 7% agreed to participate in the survey and undergo a clinical health examination. Of the 99 924 participants in 2012–2016, 90 607 (91%) provided data on working hours, and of them, 88 009 (97%) also provided data on SEP. A total of 76 486 (87%) of those had data on all other covariates (smoking, alcohol use, physical activity, depressive symptoms and chronic disease), and of those, a maximum of 75 709 (96%) provided data on clinical measurements.

The CONSTANCES Cohort project has been approved by the authorisation of the National Data Protection Authority (Commission nationale de l’informatique et des libertés—CNIL). CNIL verified that before inclusion, clear information is provided to the eligible subjects (presentation of CONSTANCES, type of data to be collected, ability to refuse to participate, informed consent, etc).

Measures

Long working hours were elicited by the following survey question: “Do you have or have you had a daily working time (excluding travel) of more than 10 hours on at least 50 days per year?” with yes/no response options. Of those responding yes, the timing of exposure was requested (starting and ending years of participant’s exposure). We were able to record up to three episodes, and from this information, we determined a total dose of exposure (in years) and whether the participant was formerly (yes/no) or currently (yes/no) exposed.

Risk markers were assessed during a health examination, which was standardised according to standard operating procedures in order to guarantee high-quality physiological data despite unequal conditions (see details23). We calculated BMI from weight and height measurements (weight kg/height m²). We measured waist circumference and hip circumference and calculated waist:hip ratio. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure was measured after 5 min rest with a 2 min break between measurements. The highest value was used for analyses. We calculated pulse pressure as systolic minus diastolic blood pressure. Lung function was assessed by spirometry, which was performed with a 2 min rest with a 2 min break between measurements. The highest of the three measurements.24

Participants were instructed to fast for 12 hours before the blood test, which was taken between 8:00 and 10:00. Valid lipid and glucose values require a minimum of 8 hours of fasting, which 71 375 (94%) participants with clinical data adhered to. Laboratory tests included blood glucose, lipids (total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein (HDL) and triglycerides (TGs)). Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) was derived from TC, HDL and TG as follows: TC−HDL−(TG/2.2). Gamma-glutamyltransferase (gamma GT) and alanine transaminase (ALT) were indicators of liver function, and blood creatinine was an indicator of kidney function. Other indicators were counts of white blood cells, haemoglobin and platelets.

Covariates included sociodemographic characteristics: self-reported sex, age and SEP. SEP was based on occupational grade, which was further classified into high, intermediate and low; and other/not specified, according to the national socioeconomic nomenclature (‘Professions et catégories sociales’) of the French national statistics office.21 High SEP included executive managers and professionals; intermediate SEP included intermediate professions in education, health, civil service and administration, technicians, foremen and supervisors. Low SEP included employees (eg, office or commercial employee, child minder, duty officer), farmers, craftsmen and manual workers. The following risk factors were based on survey responses: smoking (never, former, current), physical activity (regular sports activity for 2 hours or more per week vs less) and alcohol consumption, which was based on the 10-item Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) survey.26 27 Alcohol use was further categorised into four groups: abstinence; no alcohol abuse or dependence; alcohol abuse (AUDIT score 8–12 for men, 7–11 for women); alcohol dependence (AUDIT score >12 for men, >11 for women). We used the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale to assess the presence of depressive symptoms.28 Information on chronic somatic disease (yes/no) was based on participants’ self-reported doctor-diagnosed diseases (angina pectoris, myocardial infarction, stroke, lower limb arteritis, other cardiovascular disease, thyroid disease, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, hypertriglyceridemia, other endocrine disorder, chronic bronchitis, asthma, inflammatory arthritis, other osteoarticular disorder and cancer).

Statistical analyses

We found a skewed distribution of triglycerides, glucose, creatinine, gamma GT, ALT and white blood cell count, and thus logarithmically transformed the values. All values were then standardised (mean 0, SD 1) to allow comparison between the strength of association with long working hours.

We performed multivariable-adjusted analyses, comparing the mean value of each outcome for participants who were formerly or currently exposed to long working hours, setting ‘never exposed’ as the reference group. We further examined the length of exposure among those currently in full-time jobs, exposed to long working hours and aged >35 years (to allow a long exposure to all), again comparing the mean values of each outcome with those among participants never exposed to long working hours. Mean differences and their 95% CIs were computed using the SAS V9.4 general linear model (genmod) procedure.

We analysed men and women separately and adjusted the models for age, socioeconomic position, smoking, alcohol use, physical activity, depressive symptoms and chronic disease. We performed analyses of spirometry both with and without adjustment for smoking, and analyses of ALT and gamma GT both with and without adjustment for alcohol use. In other sensitivity analyses, we excluded participants with chronic disease and stratified the data by socioeconomic group.

RESULTS

As shown in table 1, participants currently exposed to long working hours were younger, whereas those formerly exposed were older. Both exposure groups included more men and people with a high socioeconomic position. The currently exposed were more often current smokers while the formerly exposed were more often former smokers. The currently exposed also had a
higher prevalence of both alcohol abuse and dependence than the other groups, and were more often physically inactive. The formerly exposed had a higher prevalence of chronic somatic disease and depressive symptoms than the never and currently exposed whereas participants who currently worked long hours were less likely to report chronic disease. We conducted a multivariable-adjusted binary logistic regression analysis with current smoking, alcohol abuse and dependence, lower physical activity, depressive symptoms and lower prevalence of self-reported chronic disease. We conducted a sensitivity analysis among men and women with low, intermediate and high SEP (online supplementary figures 2 and 3). Given the relatively large CIs, the findings are illustrated in figure 2. Among women, no dose–response patterns were found for BMI and white blood cells.

We conducted a sensitivity analysis among men and women free of chronic somatic disease (online supplementary table 3). The findings regarding anthropometric markers are in accordance with previous studies on adiposity. However, the Whitehall II study detected no association between long working hours and lipid levels or systemic inflammation. In the present study, we observed some indication of a dose–response pattern (a linear trend) for all anthropometric markers, total cholesterol, glucose and gamma GT among men, which may be considered strengthening the evidence of an association between long working hours and glucose 

## DISCUSSION

In this cross-sectional study of a wide range of clinically relevant biomarkers among over 75 000 men and women, current exposure to long working hours was associated with more unfavourable levels in several risk markers among men, in particular anthropometric risk markers, such as higher BMI, waist circumference and waist:hip ratio, as well as higher glucose and creatinine levels, and poorer scores in lipid parameters. In addition among men, working long hours was associated with higher levels of ALT—an indicator of poorer liver health, and a higher white blood cell count—an indicator of inflammation or infection. Among women, we found associations between long working hours and higher BMI and white blood cell counts. We found no associations between current exposure to long working hours and blood pressure, spirometry, haemoglobin or platelets.

The findings regarding anthropometric markers are in accordance with previous studies on adiposity. However, the Whitehall II study detected no association between long working hours and lipid levels or systemic inflammation. In the present study, we observed some indication of a dose–response pattern (a linear trend) for all anthropometric markers, total cholesterol, glucose and gamma GT among men, which may be considered strengthening the evidence of an association between long working hours and glucose.

### Table 1 Characteristics of the CONSTANCES study participants stratified by exposure to long working hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure to long working hours</th>
<th>All (n=90 607)</th>
<th>Never (n=66 996)</th>
<th>Former (n=13 359)</th>
<th>Current (n=10 252)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean, SD)</td>
<td>48.2 (13.4)</td>
<td>48.3 (13.5)</td>
<td>52.4 (12.8)</td>
<td>42.1 (10.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (n, %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>42 358 (47)</td>
<td>28 054 (42)</td>
<td>8089 (61)</td>
<td>6215 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>48 249 (53)</td>
<td>38 942 (58)</td>
<td>5270 (39)</td>
<td>4037 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic position (n, %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>32 527 (37)</td>
<td>26 032 (40)</td>
<td>4260 (33)</td>
<td>2235 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>25 296 (29)</td>
<td>19 705 (30)</td>
<td>3461 (27)</td>
<td>2130 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>25 539 (29)</td>
<td>15 768 (24)</td>
<td>4598 (35)</td>
<td>5173 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/not specified</td>
<td>4647 (5)</td>
<td>3470 (5)</td>
<td>654 (5)</td>
<td>523 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (n, %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>40 188 (45)</td>
<td>30 991 (47)</td>
<td>4813 (37)</td>
<td>4384 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former</td>
<td>27 278 (31)</td>
<td>19 445 (30)</td>
<td>5020 (38)</td>
<td>2813 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>21 471 (24)</td>
<td>15 247 (23)</td>
<td>3318 (25)</td>
<td>2906 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use (n, %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstinence</td>
<td>3940 (4)</td>
<td>3174 (5)</td>
<td>469 (4)</td>
<td>297 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No abuse or dependence</td>
<td>62 216 (74)</td>
<td>46 635 (75)</td>
<td>8970 (72)</td>
<td>6611 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abuse</td>
<td>13 995 (17)</td>
<td>9579 (15)</td>
<td>2234 (18)</td>
<td>2182 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependence</td>
<td>4267 (5)</td>
<td>2841 (5)</td>
<td>654 (5)</td>
<td>523 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity (sports)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 2 hours/week</td>
<td>58 359 (66)</td>
<td>42 967 (65)</td>
<td>8497 (65)</td>
<td>6895 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 hours or more/week</td>
<td>30 611 (34)</td>
<td>22 792 (35)</td>
<td>4598 (35)</td>
<td>3221 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>65 743 (77)</td>
<td>48 572 (78)</td>
<td>9409 (75)</td>
<td>7762 (79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>19 132 (23)</td>
<td>14 026 (22)</td>
<td>3062 (25)</td>
<td>2044 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic somatic disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>55 099 (61)</td>
<td>40 848 (61)</td>
<td>7143 (53)</td>
<td>7108 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>35 508 (39)</td>
<td>26 148 (39)</td>
<td>6216 (47)</td>
<td>3144 (31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


whereas all other significant mean differences ranged between 0.02 and 0.04. Among women (online supplementary table 3 and figure 1B), the only significant differences between currently and never exposed were in BMI (mean difference 0.05), white blood cells (mean difference 0.04), and smaller waist:hip ratio (mean difference 0.04). When the analyses were conducted with adjustment for age, sex and SEP only, the estimates were largely similar. The results for spirometry, ALT and gamma GT were almost similar both with and without adjustment for smoking and alcohol use, correspondingly. When we adjusted ALT for BMI among men, the association attenuated to non-significant (p=0.25). Similarly, the associations between current exposure to long working hours and glucose attenuated to non-significant after adjustment for BMI (p=0.40).

Compared with the never-exposed men, results among the formerly exposed men were to a great extent similar to those obtained among currently exposed men, except for total cholesterol, LDL and creatinine, which were not associated with former long working hours, and triglycerides, which was associated with former but not current long working hours (online supplementary table 2). Among women, former exposure to long hours was associated with higher BMI, waist circumference, waist:hip ratio and triglycerides, lower systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and lower HDL cholesterol when compared with never-exposed women (online supplementary table 3).

We further analysed the potential dose–response patterns for the number of years exposed to long working hours among those men who currently worked long hours compared with those never exposed, and found a significant dose–response pattern for BMI, waist circumference, waist:hip ratio, total cholesterol, glucose and gamma GT (p values for linear trend 0.013 to <0.0001). These findings are illustrated in figure 2. Among women, no dose–response patterns were found for BMI and white blood cells.

We conducted a sensitivity analysis among men and women free of chronic somatic disease (online supplementary figure 1). The findings are largely similar to those in the original analyses. Another sensitivity analysis was carried out among men and women with low, intermediate and high SEP (online supplementary figures 2 and 3). Given the relatively large CIs, the findings can be considered to a large degree similar in all SEP groups.

Figure 1: Mean difference in clinical risk markers (standardised values) comparing participants currently exposed with those never exposed to long working hours, adjusted for age, socioeconomic position, smoking, alcohol use, physical activity, depressive symptoms and chronic disease: (A) men; (B) women.
diabetes, dyslipidemia, chronic bronchitis, asthma, inflammatory arthritis, other osteoarticular disorder or cancer. In this way, we were able to characterise the clinical profile among relatively healthy participants, although some of them might have been in a preclinical phase or their disease may have been undetected or undiagnosed. The findings were to a great degree similar to those obtained from the total population (when the models were adjusted for age, socioeconomic position, smoking, alcohol use, physical activity, depressive symptoms and chronic disease).

Working hours were not associated with lung function, haemoglobin or blood platelet concentration. Although participants who worked long hours were more often smokers, adjustment for smoking status did not affect these associations. However, as this was the first study to examine these associations, further research is needed to confirm these results.

Ours is one of the few studies to include an assessment of the duration of exposure to long working hours, according to the length of exposure and adjusted for age, socioeconomic position, smoking, alcohol use, physical activity, depressive symptoms and chronic disease.

In conclusion, our study sheds light on the clinical profile and cardiometabolic risk factors associated with long working hours. In addition, statistical robustness does not always mean clinical significance. For example, the adjusted mean in the original BMI scale among never and currently exposed men was 25.3 and 25.8 kg/m², respectively. The corresponding values among women were 24.1 and 24.3 kg/m². Neither of these differences are clinically significant. This was a cross-sectional study, which precludes us from making conclusions about the direction of causality but enables an assessment of clinical risk profile. In addition, statistical robustness does not always mean clinical significance. For example, the adjusted mean in the original BMI scale among never and currently exposed men was 25.3 and 25.8 kg/m², respectively.

What is already known on this subject

- Long working hours have been associated with cardiovascular diseases and diabetes, but less is known about the cardiometabolic risk factors associated with long working hours.
In a large-scale study of working-age population in France, a wide range of cardiometabolic risk factors were examined.

Among men, long working hours were associated with higher body mass index, waist circumference, waist:hip ratio, white blood cell count, glucose, creatinine and alanine transaminase, as well as poorer lipid levels.

Men who work long hours might be in a risk group with an adverse cardiometabolic risk profile.
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