Background Within the context of a global movement toward taxes on sugary drinks, the Soft Drinks Industry Levy (SDIL) is unique in its construction – a two-tiered levy that aims to encourage industry to reformulate soft drinks. Industry decisions regarding reformulation will directly influence the health impacts of the levy, however how these reactions are covered in the media will also shape a wider public discourse on sugar and health. This work will examine the evolution of industry reactions to the levy from announcement to implementation, via articles published in news media and trade press.

Methods We searched the Factiva database of UK news media and trade press. A search strategy was used to identify articles related to sugar or soft drinks and related to the levy covering March 16th 2016 to March 31st 2018. Articles were screened using predefined criteria. Analyses included: (a) description of included articles by industry actor and (b) a longitudinal, case-based, thematic analysis of each industry actor.

Results 526 articles were included covering the ongoing reactions by nine soft drinks industry actors (e.g. AG Barr, Britvic, Coca-Cola European Partners) during six policy development milestones and two national events. Early results demonstrate a discourse of disagreement with emergent themes including ‘no evidence that sugar taxes reduce obesity’, ‘the poor will suffer’ and ‘this will destroy industry and kill jobs’. Reactions also included contradictory themes such as ‘most products are not impacted’ and ‘we support government actions on obesity’. Throughout the consultation phase and during the Brexit vote and snap election further themes emerged including ‘threats of legal action’ that were not always consistent across industry actors but dominated until Royal Assent for the legislation. Throughout the parliamentary process the discourse shifted toward acceptance of the levy and undertaking efforts to adapt including ‘diversification and innovative marketing efforts’ and various ‘cost management actions to offset the levy’. As the implementation of the levy approached, acceptance was reinforced by additional themes that sought to ensure perceived profitability with ‘claims of strong sales and profits’ and ‘calls for investment in a sector with clear growth’.

Conclusion The shifting discourse suggests that industry actors are continually navigating issues of public, government and commercial interests, which results in conflicting narratives. Further work is needed to explore the discourses surrounding other related actors such as government, civil society and academics.

Background Short and long intervals between pregnancies have been associated with increased risk of adverse birth outcomes including low birth weight and stillbirth. Birthweight is an indicator of the in-utero environment and a key early life risk factor for long-term health outcomes such as obesity and cardiovascular disease. The World Health Organization recommended in 2005 waiting at least 24 months after a live birth before getting pregnant again. There are no UK guidelines on birth spacing. We aimed to investigate the association between duration of the inter-pregnancy interval between successive live birth pregnancies and risk of having a small-for-gestational age (SGA) or large-for-gestational age (LGA) baby.

Methods A population-based cohort of prospectively collected routine healthcare data for antenatal care between January 2003 and September 2017 (total n=82 098 pregnancies) at University Hospital Southampton, Hampshire, UK was used. Records of women with their first two singleton live-birth pregnancies were analysed (n=15 922 women). Inter-pregnancy interval was defined as timing between a live birth and the next conception. SGA was defined as <10th percentile weight and LGA as >90th percentile weight for gestational age. Logistic regression was used to examine the association between risk of SGA or LGA and inter-pregnancy interval. The models were adjusted for maternal age, ethnicity, highest educational qualification, employment status, baseline maternal BMI, between pregnancy change in maternal BMI, smoking status at second pregnancy booking appointment and conception following infertility treatment. Sensitivity analyses was conducted adjusting for SGA or LGA in previous pregnancies.

Results Twelve percent of first pregnancy and 7% of second pregnancy births were SGA. Seven percent of first pregnancy and 13% of second pregnancy births were LGA. Three percent of women each had SGA and LGA babies in both pregnancies. Compared to an interval of 24–35 months, there was a lower risk of SGA birth in second pregnancy with an interval of 12–23 months (adjusted OR 0.82, 95% CI 0.69 to 0.98, p=0.03). The association remained after adjusting for previous outcome of SGA in sensitivity analysis. No association was observed between risk of SGA with intervals of <12 or ≥36 months or LGA and inter-pregnancy interval.

Conclusion An inter-pregnancy interval of 12–23 months was associated with lower risk of SGA, however the duration of the interval was not associated with LGA risk. In high-income countries with relatively healthy pregnant population, further research considering the potential advantages of shorter optimal interval between pregnancies than that recommended by WHO is needed.
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**Background** Improving health and reducing health inequalities are important joint policy objectives. Income is a key social determinant of health, but robust evidence about the relative impacts of redistributive policies is rare. Our study aimed to estimate the potential impacts on health (premature mortality) and health inequalities of 15 fiscal policies in Scotland, including changes to income tax, council tax, and benefits, and two Universal Basic Income (UBI) schemes.

**Methods** EUROMOD, a detailed tax-benefit microsimulation model, was used to estimate changes in household income for each quintile of the Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation (SIMD). Parametric survival models were used to model baseline mortality rates, and log-log models were used to estimate policy effect sizes. We estimated the impacts of each policy on premature mortality across the Scottish population after 3 years of follow up, compared to the baseline no-policy scenario, and assessed inequalities between SIMD quintiles. Data processing and modelling was conducted in R, Stata and Excel.

**Results** Policies predicted to both improve health and reduce health inequalities included one UBI scheme (while the other UBI scheme worsened health), replacing council tax with a local income tax, increasing Job Seeker’s Allowance and Income Support, increasing tax credits, and increasing the Carer’s Allowance. The health-beneficial UBI scheme would result in a 0.2% reduction in premature mortality for the whole Scottish population, a 6.1% reduction for the most deprived quintile, and a 24.7% reduction in relative inequality (as measured by the relative index of inequality). Policies that were less targeted to deprived communities either worsened health or reduced inequalities, or improved health while worsening inequalities.

**Conclusion** Fiscal policies have the potential for substantial effects on health and health inequalities in Scotland. The most effective policies for reducing health inequalities were those that disproportionately increased incomes in the most deprived areas. The modelling is subject to various assumptions and sources of uncertainty, but nonetheless highlights the importance of applying an inequalities lens to economic policy options.