interest throughout the research process. Effective guidance will need the support of researchers, funders and journals.

Discussion This research has built consensus on the need for guidance, and identified an optimal approach for assessing risk, prevention and management of conflicts of interest in interactions between population health researchers and the food industry. Further work is needed to finalise, pilot test and seek endorsement for evidence informed guidance.

LB4 INDUSTRY REACTIONS TO THE UK SOFT DRINKS INDUSTRY LEVY: UNPACKING THE EVOLVING DISCOURSE FROM ANNOUNCEMENT TO IMPLEMENTATION

TL Penney*, J Adams, M White. *On behalf of the NIHR PHR SDIL Evaluation Team*

10.1136/jech-2018-SSMabstracts.88

Background Within the context of a global movement toward taxes on sugary drinks, the Soft Drinks Industry Levy (SDIL) is unique in its construction – a two-tiered levy that aims to encourage industry to reformulate soft drinks. Industry decisions regarding reformulation will directly influence the health impacts of the levy, however how these reactions are covered in the media will also shape a wider public discourse on sugar and health. This work will examine the evolution of industry reactions to the levy from announcement to implementation, via articles published in news media and trade press.

Methods We searched the Factiva database of UK news media and trade press. A search strategy was used to identify articles related to sugar or soft drinks and related to the levy covering March 16th 2016 to March 31st 2018. Articles were screened using predefined criteria. Analyses included: (a) description of included articles by industry actor and (b) a longitudinal, case-based, thematic analysis of each industry actor.

Results 526 articles were included covering the ongoing reactions by nine soft drinks industry actors (e.g. AG Barr, Britvic, Coca-Cola European Partners) during six policy development milestones and two national events. Early results demonstrate a discourse of disagreement with the aims of the SDIL immediately after its announcement with emergent themes including ‘no evidence that sugar taxes reduce obesity’, ‘the poor will suffer’ and ‘this will destroy industry and kill jobs’. Reactions also included contradictory themes such as ‘most products are not impacted’ and ‘we support government actions on obesity’. Throughout the consultation phase and during the Brexit vote and snap election further themes emerged including ‘threats of legal action’ that were not always consistent across industry actors but dominated until Royal Assent for the legislation. Throughout the parliamentary process the discourse shifted toward acceptance of the levy and undertaking efforts to adapt including ‘diversification and innovative marketing efforts’ and various ‘cost management actions to offset the levy’. As the implementation of the levy approached, acceptance was reinforced by additional themes that sought to ensure perceived profitability with ‘claims of strong sales and profits’ and ‘calls for investment in a sector with clear growth’.

Conclusion The shifting discourse suggests that industry actors are continually navigating issues of public, government and commercial interests, which results in conflicting narratives. Further work is needed to explore the discourses surrounding

other related actors such as government, civil society and academics.

LB5 IS THE DURATION OF THE PRECEDING INTER-PREGNANCY INTERVAL ASSOCIATED WITH OFFSPRING'S SIZE AT BIRTH? – ANALYSIS OF A UK POPULATION-BASED COHORT

¹N Ziauddeen*, ¹PJ Roderick, ²NS Macklon, ^{1,3}NA Alwan. ¹Academic Unit of Primary Care and Population Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK; ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Copenhagen, Zealand University Hospital, Roskilde, Denmark; ³NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre, University of Southampton and University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton, UK

10.1136/jech-2018-SSMabstracts.89

Background Short and long intervals between pregnancies have been associated with increased risk of adverse birth outcomes including low birth weight and stillbirth. Birthweight is an indicator of the in-utero environment and a key early life risk factor for long-term health outcomes such as obesity and cardiovascular disease. The World Health Organization recommended in 2005 waiting at least 24 months after a live birth before getting pregnant again. There are no UK guidelines on birth spacing. We aimed to investigate the association between duration of the inter-pregnancy interval between successive live birth pregnancies and risk of having a small-for-gestational age (SGA) or large-for-gestational age (LGA) baby.

Methods A population-based cohort of prospectively collected routine healthcare data for antenatal care between January 2003 and September 2017 (total n=82 098 pregnancies) at University Hospital Southampton, Hampshire, UK was used. Records of women with their first two singleton live-birth pregnancies were analysed (n=15 922 women). Inter-pregnancy interval was defined as timing between a live birth and the next conception. SGA was defined as <10th percentile weight and LGA as >90th percentile weight for gestational age. Logistic regression was used to examine the association between risk of SGA or LGA and inter-pregnancy interval. The models were adjusted for maternal age, ethnicity, highest educational qualification, employment status, baseline maternal BMI, between pregnancy change in maternal BMI, smoking status at second pregnancy booking appointment and conception following infertility treatment. Sensitivity analyses was conducted adjusting for SGA or LGA in previous pregnancies.

Results Twelve percent of first pregnancy and 7% of second pregnancy births were SGA. Seven percent of first pregnancy and 13% of second pregnancy births were LGA. Three percent of women each had SGA and LGA babies in both pregnancies. Compared to an interval of 24–35 months, there was a lower risk of SGA birth in second pregnancy with an interval of 12–23 months (adjusted OR 0.82, 95% CI 0.69 to 0.98, p=0.03). The association remained after adjusting for previous outcome of SGA in sensitivity analysis. No association was observed between risk of SGA with intervals of <12 or ≥36 months or LGA and inter-pregnancy interval.

Conclusion An inter-pregnancy interval of 12–23 months was associated with lower risk of SGA, however the duration of the interval was not associated with LGA risk. In high-income countries with relatively healthy pregnant population, further research considering the potential advantages of shorter optimal interval between pregnancies than that recommended by WHO is needed.