Response to: ‘On the approach for determining association between changes in marital quality and cardiovascular disease risk factors’ by MM Pike

We thank Ms Pike1 for her interest in our study.

As is highlighted in the Discussion section of our paper,2 we agree that the exclusion of divorced men from our final analyses could have influenced the findings. This is one of many potential sources of bias that we identified in our discussion, including the exclusion of those whose marriages dissolved prior to or during the study, loss to follow-up bias due to participant attrition and the reduced likelihood that wives in poor quality relationships would have asked their partners to attend the follow-up clinic and hence would be missing.

We highlighted these differences in our comparison of baseline covariate data, finding that, ‘as expected, men who were excluded from the analysis were more likely to be poorer, drink more alcohol and have worse marital quality.’ As noted in our discussion, we believe that ‘these biases would systematically attenuate any true relationship so that our observed findings may underestimate the true effect.’ We would not seek to generalise our findings to divorced men as post-divorce risk factors may well change due to different life circumstances, so our findings are only generalisable to men who stay in a relationship whether they are happy or not.

Ms Pike notes that final analyses were restricted to those partners who were married and reported being the father of the child at all time points. As noted in our paper, this was due to our interest in comparisons of marital relationship quality over time (divorced partners would no longer have a relationship to quantify) and to ensure analyses were performed on the same study partners. This criteria led to the exclusion of 116 men from the final analysis. Ms Pike assumes that all 116 excluded men were divorced—rather than as specified a cohort that could not be both confirmed married and the father of the child at the second (T2) and third (T3) follow-up time points. At the third follow-up time point
(T3), there were 44 divorced, 24 separated and 11 widowed men in the excluded sample, and 1 separated man in the included sample. This corresponds to a divorce rate of 17.6 per 1000, using the baseline sample (T1) as the appropriate denominator and not the T3 denominator. This is only 1.37 times greater, rather than 12 times greater than quoted background divorce rate of 12.9 per 1000 during the study period.

Ms Pike notes ‘without baseline measurements of all of cardiovascular risk factors, it is not known whether the exposure groups differed significantly at baseline’ which ‘could be driving the significant findings of the study.’ While we agree that it would have been preferable to present baseline cardiovascular risk data if these measures were available (we were able to do this for the change in BMI between T1 and T3), we would suggest that this is less of an issue than proposed. Our major aim is to test whether change in marital quality predicts differences in T3 outcomes. There is likely to be a weak to moderate (but not strong) correlation between these measures across 20 years. It is unclear why an individual’s lipid or blood pressure would themselves determine change in marital quality other than through backdoor confounding by socioeconomic status which we have tried to account for in our multivariable models.
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