P58
BARRIERS AND FACILITATORS TO IMPLEMENTATION OF DIET AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY INTERVENTIONS IN SCHOOLS-A DEDIPAC (DETERMINANTS OF DIET AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY) QUALITATIVE STUDY

CB Hayes*, 1MP O'Shea, 2K Horody ska, 3A Luszczynska, 4L Langalien, 5G Roos, 6S Muelman, 7CR Pischke, 8Di De Bourdeaudhui, 9J Brug. Public Health and Primary Care, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland; 2Psychology, SWPS University of Social Sciences and Humanities, Wroclaw, Poland; 3Physical Education, Norwegian School of Sports Science, Oslo, Norway; 4Consumption Research Norway, SIFO, Oslo and Akershus University College of Applied Sciences, Oslo, Norway; 5Leibniz Institute for Prevention Research and Epidemiology, BIPS, Bremen, Germany; 6Movement and Sports Sciences, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium; 7Social and Behavioural Sciences, VU University Medical Centre (VUmc), Amsterdam, Netherlands

Background This case study was undertaken in Ireland as part of the European DETERminants of DIet and Physical Activity (DEDIPAC) Knowledge Hub. Two national interventions were chosen based on predetermined selection criteria: a Healthy Eating Programme (HEP) to encourage primary schoolchildren to consume more fruit and vegetables, and a Travel to School Programme, (TSP) to promote sustainable modes of transport, car-pooling and public transport use in primary and secondary schools. The HEP is EU and government funded, the TSP entirely government funded. TSP adopts a flexible approach where schools can set their travel targets. School coordinators (teachers) cascade both programmes to classroom teachers.

Methods Seven of eight schools invited to participate based on predetermined criteria took part in the study. Face-to-face interviews (n=15) were conducted with teachers, project managers and key stakeholders using a topic guide developed by the international DEDIPAC team and informed by a prior systematic umbrella review of conditions influencing implementation. Data were coded in NVIVO using a common thematic matrix and thematic analysis carried out using parameters of the RE-AIM (Reach, Effectiveness, Adoption, Implementation, Maintenance) evaluation framework.

Results Good working relationships were critical to adoption, successful implementation and sustainability in line with findings from case studies in other EU countries. Organisational and leadership ability of coordinators was key to successful delivery. Incentives and rewards acted as motivators to engage children's interest, which motivated teacher and parent involvement. Particular challenges faced by the TSP included a lack of funding security and timetabled constraints within secondary schools. HEP was based on well-funded external research with clearly defined core components and has been frequently externally evaluated. TSP core components were broad rather than specific, implementation was flexible and there was a lack of agreement among stakeholders on how targets were set and the accuracy of these.

Conclusion Good relationships, organisational and leadership ability, and secure funding were key conditions for implementation, sustainability and dissemination of promising public health interventions. The findings have informed the DEDIPAC-KH Pan European Toolbox set up for researchers and practitioners who want to develop, evaluate or implement multicomponent interventions on physical activity, sedentary behaviour or dietary behaviour.
adjusted for baseline values, sex and Index of Deprivation Affecting Children (IDACI) score.

Results 12 months post intervention, intervention students had greater understanding than control students of the influences of health behaviours on their long term health and that of their children. Compared with control students those in the intervention were more likely to agree that nutrition starts to affect our future health early in life (PRR 1.87 (95%CI 1.42–2.45) and that the food a father eats before having a baby could affect the health of his children (PRR 4.05 (95%CI: 2.34–7.01)), but no more likely to agree that it was important to eat healthy food now (PRR 1.19 (95% CI: 0.79–1.79)). The students in the intervention groups took similar amounts of exercise and their diets were comparable to those in the control group.

Discussion It is possible to change students’ scientific awareness and health literacy as measured 12 months after the LifeLab intervention, but this does not necessarily translate into behaviour change. Interventions require more than knowledge acquisition in order to motivate and sustain behaviour change.
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**Background** Despite a lack of robust evidence of effectiveness, mentoring programmes are commonplace in various settings and contexts in the United Kingdom (UK). Due to their potential to influence health and educational outcomes, it is of public health interest to obtain a better understanding of the types of mentoring programmes currently available to comprehend what ‘mentoring’ means and to aid the evaluation of such programmes. The aim of this study was to develop a typology of currently active mentoring programmes that provide formal mentoring for young people in UK secondary schools.

**Methods** Eight websites were searched to retrieve details of UK organisations that provide mentoring programmes for young people. Maximum variation sampling based on country and the type of mentoring programme was used to include a variety of different programmes. Programme managers from purposefully selected organisations were invited to take part in semi-structured telephone interviews to obtain a thorough account of their mentoring programme(s). Interviews were facilitated using a topic guide and were audiorecorded and transcribed verbatim. Thematic data analysis occurred iteratively to data collection and was facilitated using NVivo10 software. A framework matrix was established to compare programmes (cases) with categories derived from the analysis (codes) to aid the development of a typology.

**Results** Of 29 invited programme managers, 23 agreed to take part (79% response rate) and described a total of 28 mentoring programmes. The typology drawn from this work differentiates mentoring programmes by three overarching categories: mentoring programmes’ overall aim and target group; type of mentor and mentoring programme setting. These categories each have a range of sub-categories. Based on different combinations of these sub-categories, 12 ‘mentoring models’ were identified within two broad groupings of ‘personal and developmental’ and ‘educational and employability’ mentoring programmes.

**Conclusion** Although mentoring programmes are heterogeneous, it is possible to identify key characteristics and distinguish between different models. Using semi-structured telephone interviews allowed for a thorough investigation of differences between mentoring programmes that was grounded in participants’ accounts of their programmes. The typology enables mentoring programmes to be categorised into one of 12 ‘mentoring models’. A future study is needed to test the typology’s generalisability in the UK. Such a typology can help us to understand what is being delivered, for whom, and how, which is a necessary precursor to any public health evaluation.
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**Background** Modifiable risk factors such as unhealthy diet, including excess sugar consumption, and physical inactivity are common causes of overweight and obesity, which are in turn risk factors for type-2 diabetes and other non-communicable diseases. Sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs) have been identified as a key target for fiscal policy interventions designed to reduce sugar consumption, particularly in young people. Research shows that the media play a powerful role in forming public perceptions, and thus likely acceptance, of such public health policies. This study assessed how the UK print media presented the debate around the issue of sugar consumption, SSBs and taxation.

**Methods** Quantitative and qualitative content analysis of articles regarding sugar, SSBs and taxation published in a diverse sample of 11 UK national newspapers from 1 April 2015–30 November 2016. Articles were identified by a systematic search of the Nexis database. A coding frame was piloted with a randomised 10% subsample, and revised to include additional emergent codes. Two researchers double-coded the 10% subsample to ensure consistency in the definition and application of codes. Cohen’s kappa coefficient was used to measure inter-rater agreement. All remaining articles were coded by one researcher. Qualitative data were analysed thematically, following the principle of constant comparison, with attention paid to contradictory data.

**Results** The database search returned 3127 articles, of which 1495 were manually excluded due to insufficient relevance, producing a final sample of 1632 relevant articles. None of the articles presented a positive slant on sugar or SSB consumption, whereas representations of SSB taxation were more heterogeneous. The debate initially framed high sugar consumption, particularly SSBs, as problematic, especially for young people. A high proportion of articles framed the problem as being driven by failures of industry, such as the formulation of “unhealthy” products and advertising and marketing