Introduction Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the 4th most common cause of cancer death worldwide. The efficacy of screening in reducing CRC mortality has been proven in RCTs. The EU recommends population-based screening with appropriate quality at each step in the screening process. Evidence-based, multidisciplinary European Guidelines for Quality Assurance in Colorectal Cancer Screening and Diagnosis have been developed by experts and will be published shortly by the European Commission. The EU Guidelines include a summary table of performance standards in CRC screening.

Methods A multidisciplinary, pan-European group of experts in implementation, evaluation and quality assurance of colorectal cancer screening led by an editorial board drafted and revised the guidelines outline and key clinical questions covering the entire screening process. An expert literature group provided additional scientific support in defining the questions, conducting the respective literature reviews and evaluating the evidence. The bibliographic searches (Medline, Embase, Cochrane Library) covered the years 2000–2008. In selected cases, references not identified by the above process were included in the evidence base, for example, relevant articles published after 2008 identified by the authors.

Results For 13 parameters sufficient evidence was found from published trials and the experience in implementation of population-based screening programmes to achieve consensus on recommended targets across the EU.

Conclusions Initial performance standards have been developed which are suitable for a pan-European setting. Programmes should monitor numerous additional parameters to maintain and continuously improve quality. All standards should be constantly reviewed and revised accordingly with regard to results achieved and best clinical practice.

P1-61 CAN ROUTINE HOSPITAL ACTIVITY DATA BE UTILISED TO PROVIDE RELIABLE INFORMATION ABOUT HOSPITAL INCIDENCE OF CASES OF SEVERE SEPSIS?
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Introduction “Severe sepsis”, defined as sepsis plus organ failure, is a heterogeneous and complex condition which occurs across all specialties, causes significant morbidity and mortality (case fatality rate about 30%), and consumes substantial healthcare resources. Yet the diagnostic coding schemes commonly in use do not have a code for this prognostically-important diagnosis, and epidemiological data are hence scarce. Our study aimed to develop an algorithm to ascertain cases of severe sepsis from routine hospital data.

Method The algorithm was developed iteratively, utilising Scottish hospital activity data (n=133,597 selected admissions ie, having an infection code and/or hospital death), secondary analysis of national prospectively-collected critical care research data (n=2687) and
expert clinical judgement, followed by validation against case note review (n=1058).

**Results** The algorithm developed had sensitivity 74% (95% CI 69% to 78%) and estimated specificity was 94%. Applied to all Scottish hospital activity data for 2005 (n=883 K), the algorithm gave an estimate of annual incidence of severe sepsis (2.7%) and case mortality (34%). Analyses were undertaken of factors associated with severe sepsis and outcomes. For example, it was found that in those with severe sepsis, critical care admission was less common in females and those aged over 70 years.

**Conclusion** Internationally, this is the first rigorously-validated algorithm to detect severe sepsis, and performance is impressive given the complex nature of the condition. Application of the algorithm to provide reliable hospital-wide case rates will allow monitoring of incidence and outcomes, and better-informed planning of intensive care services.
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**Introduction** The Public Health Agency of Canada’s Skills Online program, developed in partnership with government, academic and professional organizations, supports public health core competencies by enhancing the skills of practitioners. This internet-based continuing education program aims to provide relevant, timely and practical Canadian content for public health practitioners. It is delivered in English and French, with an international component. More than 6000 individual public health practitioners have taken at least one of the modules. Subject areas include basic and epidemiology, outbreak management, surveillance, and evidence based public health practice (http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/skills). A significant challenge for the program is how best to maintain high quality content that reflects the current realities of Canadian and international public health practice, using up-to-date educational approaches, all informed by a variety of public health practitioner experiences.

**Methods** The Public Health Agency of Canada has met this challenge by establishing a multidisciplinary committee, comprised of public health experts, continuing education experts, practitioners and program participants. This “virtual committee” uses a variety of communication options to permit monthly virtual meetings, supplemented by online sessions and demonstrations, and occasional face-to-face meetings.

**Results** This approach has resulted in a dynamic process for the continued review and revision of the content of online learning modules, establishment of some innovative learning solutions, and ongoing evaluation of the program.

**Conclusion** A virtual committee efficiently and effectively provides maintenance of content, essential for the Public Health Agency of Canada’s continued delivery of high quality continuing education for public health practitioners.
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**Background** Estimates of the fraction of patients cured from cancer provide important information to both patients and clinicians. But how reliable are the estimates?

**Methods** Three statistical approaches, based on similar assumptions that a fraction of patients will be cured from cancer, were used to estimate the fraction cured. The first approach was CANSURV software and the second was developed by De Angelis et al (1999), both using grouped survival data. The third was published by Lambert et al (2007), requiring individual patient records. All three approaches fit mixture cure models; and CANSURV and Lambert’s implementation use maximum likelihood, while De Angelis’ implementation is based on non-linear least squares. Cansurv is a standalone program whereas the other approaches were implemented using SAS and Stata respectively. SEER-9 data for rectal cancer were used to illustrate the methods.

**Results** As shown in the Abstract P1-63 table 1, estimates of the cure fraction were similar for the two approaches requiring grouped survival data while Lambert’s method provided a lower estimate for patients with localised disease.

**Discussion** The three approaches provided similar estimates of the cure fraction for patients with regional and distant stage at diagnosis, however there are considerable differences in the estimates for patients with localised disease. Estimates of the cure fraction appear to depend on the choice of statistical model even when the underlying assumptions are very similar.
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**P1-64 NOVEL GENETIC RISK VARIANTS FOR BREAST CANCER: FROM DISCOVERY TO DISEASE PREVENTION**
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Breast cancer is the most common malignancy among women in many parts of the world. Genetic factors play an important role in the aetiology of this common malignancy. Recent genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified multiple genetic susceptibility loci for breast cancer. Most of the GWAS, however, were conducted among women of European ancestry. It is unclear whether genetic risk variants identified in Europeans may also be associated with breast cancer risk in Asians. Furthermore, genetic factors identified to date explain only a small fraction of heritability for breast cancer and thus many genetic susceptibility loci remain to be discovered. In 2008 we established the Asia Breast Cancer Consortium to search for genetic risk variants for breast cancer. The consortium now consists of over 15 000 breast cancer cases and an equal number of controls who were recruited from 12 studies conducted among Chinese, Korean, and Japanese women. Using a multi-stage study design, we have identified several novel genetic risk variants for breast cancer, including those located at chromosome 6q25 and 16q12. We also have systematically evaluated GWAS-reported genetic risk variants in Chinese and established a risk prediction model that incorporates information from both traditional clinical predictors and genetic risk markers. Results from the Asia Breast Cancer Consortium demonstrate the value of conducting genetic studies in non-European populations to identify novel genetic factors for breast cancer.