participants and 32% of the non-EUS participants were alive. EUS improved survival adjusted for generic quality of life with an HR of 0.69 (95% CI 0.49 to 0.98); and both its components—survival and EQ5D scores; the benefits of EUS were significantly greater for those with poor initial quality of life, but there was no difference between centres. Similarly, there was a significant interaction between initial quality of life and the effect of EUS on all the FACT scales; again sicker patients benefitted more from EUS. However, there was no significant difference between EUS and non-EUS groups in mean FACT scores adjusted for covariates. Both management plans and final treatment varied between centres. Although EUS changed the management plan for several participants, differences between groups in actual treatment and the proportion of tumours completely resected were not significant. In both groups, two thirds of initial treatment plans were for chemotherapy followed by surgery, but 40% of participants received multi-modal or palliative treatment.

**Conclusion** EUS has a beneficial effect on survival and generic quality of life, especially for participants initially in poorer health.

**P09**

**THE ASSOCIATION OF WEIGHT GAIN DURING ADULTHOOD WITH PROSTATE CANCER INCIDENCE AND SURVIVAL: THE NORD-TRØNDELAG HEALTH STUDY-2 COHORT, NORWAY**

doi:10.1136/jech.2010.120477.9

C Chamberlain, P Romundstad, L Vatten, Gunnell, R M Martin. Department of Social Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK; Department of Public Health, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

Obese men appear to have an increased risk of being diagnosed with advanced prostate cancer and of dying from the disease. Few studies have examined the impact of weight gain during adulthood on prostate cancer risk and mortality. We analysed data from 20,991 Norwegian men who participated in two phases of the Nord-Trøndelag Health Study in 1984-1986 (HUNT-1, when aged at least 20 years) and 1995-1997 (HUNT-2). Weight and height were measured at both HUNT-1 and HUNT-2, allowing each man’s change in weight and BMI during approximately 11 years of adult life to be computed. During a median of 11.3 years of follow-up after the end of HUNT-2, 649 (5%) men developed prostate cancer. We observed an increase in prostate cancer incidence amongst men who put on weight between HUNT-1 and HUNT-2, the HR for prostate cancer per one SD (6.2 kg) gain in weight was 1.16 (95% CI 1.05 to 1.31, p-trend = 0.01) and per one SD gain in BMI (1.9 kg/m²) was 1.14 (95% CI 1.00 to 1.30, p-trend = 0.04). Amongst men diagnosed with prostate cancer (any stage), there was no evidence that gain in weight prior to diagnosis was positively associated with subsequent all-cause mortality (HR per one SD increase in weight = 1.05; 95% CI 0.87 to 1.26, p-trend = 0.63). The findings suggest that control of weight gain during adulthood, as well as absolute weight, has implications for prostate carcinogenesis.

**P10**

**FACTORS PREDICTING PATIENTS’ INTENTION TO JOIN CANCER SUPPORT GROUPS AND THEIR PERCEPTIONS OF THE MOST USEFUL GROUP FORMATS**

doi:10.1136/jech.2010.120477.10

G Grande, C Missing, J Arnott. School of Nursing, Midwifery & Social Work, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

**Background** Trials have demonstrated that patients benefit from participation in cancer support groups. However, only a minority of patients join groups. Better understanding of factors predicting patients’ intention to join groups and their preferred group formats is required to aid strategies to increase support group attendance and ensure that groups are suited to patients’ preferences.

**Objective** To investigate variables predicting patients’ intention to join cancer support groups and the support group formats they would find most useful.

**Design** Self-completed questionnaires. Factor analysis of patients’ perceptions of support groups and the group formats perceived to be most useful. Comparison of patients intending and not intending to join groups and bi-variate correlation analyses of variables associated with preferred group format, using non-parametric and parametric analysis as appropriate.

**Setting** Recruitment through oncology outpatient clinics shortly after diagnosis.

**Participant** 192 patients with cancer of the colon (105), lung (57) or bladder (30). Of these, 67% were male and 33% female.

**Main Measures** Demographic and clinical variables, perceived social support (MSFSS), perceived control and distress over cancer (IPD-R), strategies for coping with cancer (Brief COPE), functioning and symptoms (EORTC QLQ C30), views and preferences regarding support groups (questionnaires designed from qualitative patient interviews).

**Results** Variables predicting patients’ intention to join a group included worse family support, higher distress, coping through instrumental support seeking and little perceived difficulty in joining. Factors predicting preference for patient-led, emotion-focused groups included being female, higher distress, worse functioning in several domains, and coping through planning, positive reframing, religion, instrumental support seeking, distraction and denial. Preference for professionally led, information-based groups related to active coping and acceptance, lower education and not having a partner. Preferences for both group formats and a general intention to participate were all related to having positive views of groups and being influenced by health professionals’ recommendation of groups (all findings at p < 0.05). More details of findings, results of multivariate analyses and data on whether patients actually joined a group will be presented at the conference.

**Conclusion** Patients with different characteristics prefer different support group formats. It is therefore important to tailor group formats to the needs of different groups of patients. In all instances positive perceptions of groups and recommendation from a health professionals increased perceived usefulness of groups and patients’ intention to join. Both promotion of a positive image of groups and the recommendation of health professionals should therefore help increase support group attendance.

**P11**

**INCREASED RISK OF FETAL LOSS AND INFANT DEATH IN OBESE WOMEN**

doi:10.1136/jech.2010.120477.11

W G Tennant, J Rankin, R Bell. Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, UK; Regional Maternity Survey Office, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, UK

**Objective** To investigate the association between maternal obesity and the prevalence of fetal and infant death.

**Design** Cohort study using prospectively collected data matched to a high-quality population-based registry data of fetal and infant death.

**Setting** Five maternity units in the North of England.

**Participants** 40,932 singleton pregnancies delivered between 2003 and 2005, excluding 1092 pregnancies associated with a congenital anomaly and/or maternal pre-gestational diabetes, and 998 pregnancies with missing maternal body mass index (BMI).