Life events and the risk of low back and neck/shoulder pain of the kind people are seeking care for: results from the MUSIC-Norrtablje case-control study
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Objective: To expand the knowledge about the occurrence of life events, and how they affect the risk of low back and neck/shoulder pain.

Design: A population-based case-control study.

Setting: Men and women 20–59-years old, living in and not working outside the municipality of Norrtälje, Sweden, from November 1993 to November 1997.

Participants: Cases (n = 1 148) were defined as all subjects from the study base who sought healthcare for a new episode of low back and/or neck/shoulder pain by any of the care givers in the municipality. Controls (n = 1 700) were selected as a stratified random sample from the study base, considering sex and age. Study subjects were interviewed about life events and critical life changes. Critical life changes were defined as events that brought about a marked psychosocial change. Odds ratios (ORs) associated with different numbers of life events or critical life changes were calculated.

Results: Having experienced at least two life events during the preceding 5 years was associated with an increased risk of neck/shoulder pain (OR = 1.6, 95% CI 1.1 to 2.4). At least two critical life changes were associated with an increased risk of neck/shoulder pain (OR = 1.9, 95% CI 1.3 to 2.7). In general, no associations were observed in relation to risk of low back pain.

Conclusion: Life events and critical life changes are of importance for the risk of neck/shoulder pain of the kind that people are seeking care for. The study provides useful information for clinical practice and for future aetiological research on neck/shoulder pain.

Most people experience, changes of great importance in their psychosocial situation of some time during their life. Such events or changes, clearly defined in time and distinguishable from chronic difficulties and hassles, are defined as “life events” in the literature.

Pain with associated disability from low back and neck/shoulder occurs commonly and constitutes an extensive health problem. It has been increasingly established that psychological and psychosocial factors play significant roles in the aetiology of chronic as well as acute back and neck pain.

Previously published studies have observed that life events or changes may constitute important stressors, and that they play a role in the onset of cardiovascular disorders, mental disorders, fibromyalgia and symptoms of joints and muscle disorders.

The role of life events or changes in the onset of back and neck pain, in itself, is not fully understood. A relationship between life events and low back pain has been observed in several studies but not in others. Research on life events in relationship with neck pain is scarce.

In life events research, different methods for exposure assessment have been used. One method was developed by Holmes and Rahe, the Social Readjustment Rating scale. It has the underpinning idea, based on Selye’s stress theory, that a non-specific accumulation of life changes, regardless of whether they are positive or negative, during a short period of time, would increase vulnerability to illness. The required adaptation is an important component in the illness aetiology of illness, via the sympatho-adreno-cortical systems, according to this theory.

Brown and Harris developed the Life Event and Difficulties Schedule, a semi-structured interview form, designed to assess the effect of events from a sociological point of view. The extensive interview was designed to determine whether the event was a chronic difficulty or a life change and whether it was markedly threatening or not. In the MUSIC-Norrtablje Study, an interview technique measuring several aspects of life events, including “critical life changes” based on the theories of Holmes and Rahe as well as Brown and Harris, was used. A “critical life change” is defined as a life event that brings about a marked psychological or psychosocial change for the study subject.

The overall aim of the present study was to expand the knowledge about the occurrence of life events and how they affect the risk of low back and neck/shoulder pain. Specific aims were to investigate the role of the number of life events and critical life changes; the role of the arena in which the event took place; and the role of time that has passed since the event took place for the risk of low back and neck/shoulder pain, respectively.

METHODS

Study design and study population

The present report is a sub study of the MUSIC-Norrtablje Study: a population-based case-control study on determinants and consequences of low back and neck/shoulder disorders. The study population (n = 17 000) comprised all men and women 20–59-years old, living in and not working outside the municipality of Norrtälje, Sweden, during the study period November 1993 to November 1997.

Case ascertainment

A case was defined as a subject from the study base who sought care or treatment for a new episode of low back pain or neck/shoulder pain. A “new episode” meant not having sought care.
for these problems during the preceding 6 months. Cases were identified by any of the 75 known care givers in the municipality. None of the invited care givers refused to participate. The care givers were doctors, naprapaths, chiropractors and physiotherapists, as well as alternative care givers such as osteopaths, massage therapists and homeopaths. The care givers were asked to contact the MUSIC secretariat when they met a suitable case. The secretariat then contacted the case as soon as possible for an appointment (this took place within 2 months, most commonly within 1–2 weeks). In all, 709 cases with low back pain, 352 with neck/shoulder pain and 87 with low back and neck/shoulder pain were included.

Selection of controls
Controls were selected as a stratified random sample from the study base, with considerations made for sex and age (in 5-year intervals) by means of the population register that was continuously updated. One control that had not sought care for low back or neck/shoulder pain during the preceding 6 months was chosen for each case. If a selected control was unable or refused to enter the study, he or she was not replaced. If there was space in the investigation schedule, we chose another control within the same 5-year span as the control before this. The proportion of selected controls that participated in the study was about 70% (1700).

Assessments and classification of exposure
The measurements in the MUSIC Study have been described in detail elsewhere and only the parts relevant for the present report are described below.23 24
At the MUSIC secretariat, all subjects filled out self-administered questionnaires, underwent a clinical examination, and were interviewed about individual and environmental factors considered to be potential risk factors for low back and/or neck/shoulder pain. The interview about psychological and psychosocial factors was performed by one of seven behavioural scientists and lasted for about an hour for each study subject. The interviewers did not know whether they interviewed a case or a control subject. Regular group meetings were held to keep the inter-rater reliability constant over time.25

The first part of the interview concerned the present situation and, after this part, retrospective data for the previous 5 years were collected, including information on life events at work and outside work. The interview technique, measuring several aspects of life events including “critical life changes”, was based on a previously validated questionnaire by Theorell et al.26 The two main questions in the interview were: “Looking back five years, have there been any life events or changes in your work or workplace during that period?” and “Looking back five years, have there been any life events or changes concerning your life outside work during that period?” The questions were open and the study subject was asked to specify the life events and to state when they took place.

Life events that brought about a psychological or psychosocial lasting change for the study subject, such as lasting changes in social relationships, in household participation, in family relationships, in support at work and in use of skills, were classified as “critical life changes”. The two most outstanding changes within the two arenas “at work” and “outside work”, respectively, were noted. The classification of critical life changes was the result of a discussion between the study subject and the interviewer.

Comparisons and statistical analysis
For each study subject, the number of reported life events and critical life changes, respectively, was calculated. In the analysis, subjects classified into different categories regarding reported life events or critical life changes (“exposed”) were compared with a reference category (“unexposed”). The reference category was made up of subjects who had experienced no or one life event in the preceding 5 years. When analysing critical life changes in different “arenas”, the reference category was made up of subjects with no critical life changes.

Exposed subjects were compared with unexposed subjects regarding the risk of low back and neck/shoulder pain, respectively, by calculating an odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) by means of logistic regression analysis. ORs were adjusted for age (dichotomised to <45 and ≥45-years of age) and sex. An additional number of factors that had turned out to be related to the outcomes in previous reports from the MUSIC-Norrträdes study were considered with regard to their potential confounding effect in the analyses.27 28 The potential confounders were introduced in the model, one at a time, and the amount of change in the coefficient of the exposure term was examined. If it changed considerably (around 10% or more), the variable was considered a confounder and added to the model.29 Potential confounders were (all on a dichotomised basis) the following: earlier episodes of neck/shoulder or low back pain, depression treated by a doctor, work with hands over head ≥30 min/day repetitive hand and finger movements at least 2 days/week, computer work during at least half of the working day, no permanent salary, night work or shifts with night work, working full-time, working alone, job strain, low support at work, working with vibrating tools at least 20% of the day, and socioeconomic status. None of these factors turned out to be confounders, and were thus not included in the final regression model. The estimated ORs can be interpreted as relative risks (RRs) as the study was population-based and the controls were a random sample from the study base.30

In addition, we investigated potential effect modification by time spans and arenas, respectively, by means of stratified analyses. Thus, the relationship between number of life events and outcomes were calculated for different time spans concerning when during the preceding 5 years the event took place. Similarly, ORs associated with number of life events were calculated for different arenas—that is, whether the event took place outside work or at work.

In the analyses, cases with concurrent pain in the neck/shoulder and low back (n = 87) were treated both as neck/shoulder pain cases and low back pain cases.

All calculations were performed using the statistical program Intercooled STATA V.8.0.

RESULTS
Table 1 shows the characteristics of subjects, by disease status.

Frequency of life events and critical life changes in the study base
Life events outside work were more frequent than life events at work. About 63% of all controls reported at least one event and about 14% at least three events at work, whereas 89% reported at least one event and 45% at least three events outside work during the preceding 5 years. With regard to critical life changes, 56% of all controls had experienced at least one change at work, whereas 71% had experienced at least one change outside work during the preceding 5 years. Women reported more life events outside work than men, but there was no difference between women and men concerning the number of life events at work. Table 2 shows the proportion of subjects who reported different specific life events among controls as well as among cases.
Life events and critical life changes as potential risk factors

The ORs of neck/shoulder pain and low back pain, associated with different numbers of life events and critical life changes, respectively, during the preceding 5 years are displayed in table 3. Having experienced at least two life events was associated with an increased risk of neck/shoulder pain (OR = 1.6, 95% CI 1.1 to 2.4), and experience of at least two critical life changes was associated with an increased risk of neck/shoulder pain (OR = 1.9, 95% CI 1.3 to 2.7). A dose-response relationship was not observed. No association between life events or critical life changes, respectively, and risk of low back pain was observed.

When women and men were analysed separately, no systematic differences were observed regarding the estimated ORs of neck/shoulder pain and low back pain, respectively.

There were no systematic differences in ORs, depending on when during the preceding 5 years the event took place (table 4).

The ORs of neck/shoulder pain and low back pain, respectively, associated with different numbers of critical life changes on different “arenas” (outside work and at work) are displayed in Table 5. An association between at least two critical life changes at work and low back pain was found. Regarding the risk of neck/shoulder pain, a relative comparison showed that at least one critical life change at work implied a higher risk increase than at least one critical life change outside work (OR = 1.4, 95% CI 1 to 2; not shown in table).

DISCUSSION

Main results

Two or more life events or critical life changes, respectively, experienced during the preceding 5 years were observed to be associated with an increased risk of neck/shoulder pain, whereas, in general, no associations were observed in relation to risk of low back pain. The increased risks were most pronounced regarding critical life changes and especially changes experienced at work, where an increased risk for low back pain was observed as well. There were no systematic differences in the observed ORs between men and women, nor did the number of years within the 5-year period since the event took place influence the results.

Methodological considerations

A strength of the study is that the experience of life events was assessed by a careful interview, as suggested in a review of studies of life events and illness. 31

Another strength is the definition of the study base as adult inhabitants living in, and not working outside, the municipality, and the cooperation with all known care givers in the

---

### Table 1 Characteristics of subjects, by disease status (low back pain, neck/shoulder pain and neck/shoulder pain)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>LBP</th>
<th>NSP</th>
<th>LBP and NSP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women (n)</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (n)</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n)</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age [years]</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue-collar workers (%)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job strain (%)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earlier episodes of LBP or NSP (%)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression, treated for now or earlier (%)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LBP, low back pain; NSP, neck/shoulder pain; LBP and NSP, low back pain and neck/shoulder pain.

---

### Table 2 Proportion of subjects reporting life events that took place 5 years preceding the interview, by disease status (low back pain, neck/shoulder pain and low back pain and neck/shoulder pain)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of life event</th>
<th>Controls (%)</th>
<th>LBP (%)</th>
<th>NSP (%)</th>
<th>LBP and NSP (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Altered work conditions/retirement for a relative or oneself</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had a child, adoption of a child or parental leave</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>24*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moved home (oneself, family or children)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entered a new permanent relationship with a partner</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16*</td>
<td>17*</td>
<td>17*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorce (or equivalent)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close relative in a crisis, taking care of a close relative or death of a relative</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>49*</td>
<td>46*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experienced disease or trauma</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13*</td>
<td>16*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changed workplace, profession or employer</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>54*</td>
<td>47*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in the workplace such as reduction of staff, more or fewer tasks, more responsibilities, new techniques</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>47*</td>
<td>30*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From working alone to workmates, from workmates to working alone, from employed to self-employed, from self-employed to employed</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other events outside work</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other events at work</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>38*</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LBP, low back pain; NSP, neck/shoulder pain; LBP and NSP, low back pain and neck/shoulder pain.

*Significantly different from controls (p<0.05).
back pain (OR = 3.6; 95% CI 3.0 to 4.4) and neck/shoulder pain for more than seven days in a row, was a strong predictor of low analyses. It turned out that back and neck pain earlier in life, was collected, and we took that into consideration in the were excluded. Information on previous back and neck pain subjects that had sought care during the preceding 6 months was identified.

Travelled outside the municipality for care and some cases might have refused to or have not been offered to participate. In summary, we believe that a high proportion of eligible cases was identified.

To be able to study “new” episodes of back and neck pain, subjects that had sought care during the preceding 6 months were excluded. Information on previous back and neck pain was collected, and we took that into consideration in the analyses. It turned out that back and neck pain earlier in life, for more than seven days in a row, was a strong predictor of low back pain (OR = 3.6; 95% CI 3.0 to 4.4) and neck/shoulder pain.

area, even complementary and alternative ones, implying that a great majority of the subjects who had sought healthcare for low back and/or neck/shoulder pain during 4 years were included. We identified 1148 cases among a population of approximately 17 000 persons. This corresponds to an incidence of two cases per 100 person years. A few cases might have travelled outside the municipality for care and some cases might have refused to or have not been offered to participate. In summary, we believe that a high proportion of eligible cases was identified.

No systematic differences were observed in ORs depending on when during the preceding 5 years the event took place. One might suspect under-reporting of events that occurred several years ago, compared with events that occurred recently, but there was no selective reporting of recent events, given that the true incidence of life events in the population was constant over 5 years.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of life events</th>
<th>0–1*</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4–</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case/control OR†</td>
<td>Case/control OR† (95% CI)</td>
<td>Case/control OR† (95% CI)</td>
<td>Case/control OR† (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck/shoulder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46/326</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>71/301</td>
<td>1.6 (1.1 to 2.4)</td>
<td>80/299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low back</td>
<td>144/326</td>
<td>166/301</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8 to 1.5)</td>
<td>163/299</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reference category; 0–1 life events during the preceding 5 years.
†Adjusted for age and sex.

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of life events</th>
<th>0–1*</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4–</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case/control OR†</td>
<td>Case/control OR† (95% CI)</td>
<td>Case/control OR† (95% CI)</td>
<td>Case/control OR† (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck/shoulder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46/326</td>
<td>170/440</td>
<td>1.9 (1.3 to 2.7)</td>
<td>87/271</td>
<td>2.2 (1.5 to 3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low back</td>
<td>144/326</td>
<td>232/440</td>
<td>1.2 (0.9 to 1.5)</td>
<td>122/271</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reference category; 0–1 life events during the preceding 5 years.
†Adjusted for age and sex.
‡Two or more life events the preceding 0–2 years and no life events 3–5 years before inclusion in the study.
§Four or more life events the preceding 0–2 years and no life events 3–5 years before inclusion in the study.

Recall bias might be considered a limitation of this study. If cases had tended to recall life events more willingly than the controls, the ORs would tend to be overestimates. Given the disparity of the results regarding neck/shoulder and low back pain, respectively, it is highly unlikely that recall bias could explain the observed association between life events and neck/shoulder pain, as we have no reason to expect recall to differ between low back cases and neck/shoulder cases.

We acknowledge the difference between seeking care for pain on the one hand, and the occurrence of pain on the other. It is conceivable that life events might influence care-seeking behaviour and thereby give rise to selection bias. Our data suggests such an influence is not the case, however, as there was no association between life events and seeking care for low back pain, which could be expected under this hypothesis. Ijzenberg et al have studied work-related factors associated with musculoskeletal symptoms and healthcare use, respectively, and found that these were quite similar.

No systematic differences were observed in ORs depending on when during the preceding 5 years the event took place. One might suspect under-reporting of events that occurred several years ago, compared with events that occurred recently, but there was no selective reporting of recent events, given that the true incidence of life events in the population was constant over 5 years.

Confounding does not seem to be an appreciable problem in this study as only age turned out to be a confounder among several potential confounders, including depression, job strain (a major source of psychological distress at work) and musculoskeletal disorders. But we cannot exclude residual confounding from factors not measured—for example psychological distress outside work.

In summary, we believe that the observed relationship between life events and a new episode of neck/shoulder pain of the kind people are seeking care for is real and not totally explained by bias.
Life events play a role in the onset of different disorders, but their role in the onset of back and neck pain is not fully understood. Results are conflicting regarding life events and low back pain, and research about life events and neck/shoulder pain is scarce.

Conclusions
Life events and critical life changes seem to be of importance for the risk of neck/shoulder pain of the kind that people are seeking care for, whereas their associations with regard to risk of low back pain are more uncertain.
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