

*J Epidemiol Community Health* 2007;**61**:92–94.  
doi: 10.1136/jech.2005.045211

Correspondence to: Professor Johan P Mackenbach, Department of Public Health, Erasmus Medical Center, PO Box 2040, 3000 CA Rotterdam, The Netherlands; j.mackenbach@erasmusmc.nl

Competing interests: None declared.

## REFERENCES

- 1 **Koplan JP**, Fleming DW. Current and future public health challenges. *JAMA* 2000;**284**:1696–8.
- 2 **McMichael AJ**. Planetary overload. *Global environmental change and the health of the human*

- species*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- 3 **Corvalan C**, Hales S, McMichael AJ. *Ecosystems and human well-being. Health synthesis. A report of the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment*. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2005.
  - 4 **Patz JA**, Campbell-Lendrum D, Holloway T, et al. Impact of regional climate change on human health. *Nature* 2005;**438**:310–17.
  - 5 **World Bank**. *World Development Report 1992. Development and the environment*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1992.
  - 6 **Rosegrant MW**, Cai X, Cline SA. *World water and food to 2025: dealing with scarcity*. Washington, DC: IFPRI, 2002.
  - 7 **Jenkins M**. Prospects for biodiversity. *Science* 2003;**302**:1175–7.
  - 8 **Schettler T**. Environmental challenges and visions of sustainable health care. [www.cleanmed.org/2002/documents/schettler.pdf](http://www.cleanmed.org/2002/documents/schettler.pdf) (accessed 6 December 2006).

- 9 **Cohen JE**. *How many people can the earth support?*. New York: Norton, 1995.
- 10 **Van Oers JAM**. *Gezondheid op koers? Volksgezondheid Toekomst Verkenning 2002*. Bilthoven: Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheid en Milieu, 2002.
- 11 **Wackernagel M**, Rees W. *Our ecological footprint. Reducing human impact on the earth*. Gabriola Island, Canada: New Society, 1996.
- 12 **Rensselaer Potter V**. A response to Clements environmental bioethics: a call for controlled human fertility in a healthy ecosystem. *Perspect Biol Med* 1985;**28**:426–33.
- 13 **McMichael AJ**. *Human frontiers, environments and disease. Past patterns, uncertain futures*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001.

## THE JECH GALLERY

Money orders and alcohol yes; fruits, vegetables and skimmed milk no



**Figure 1** Grocery store in Baltimore City, USA.

Neighbourhood socioeconomic characteristics are associated with differences in food intake after adjusting for individual characteristics.<sup>1</sup> Neighbourhood-level characteristics could contribute to ethnic and economic differences in dietary patterns. Systematic measurement of environmental variables is a challenge in epidemiology.<sup>2</sup>

In Baltimore, we are studying the effect of availability and price of food on cardiovascular risk by visiting 366 food stores in neighbourhoods of 1000 participants in a cardiovascular study.<sup>3</sup>

Within 1 mile of the grocery store (fig 1) reside 83 of the study participants. This store offers money orders, alcohol and lottery tickets, but no fruits or vegetables, no wholewheat bread and no skimmed milk. The price of milk, cereal and bread was 20% higher than that in the closest supermarket 1.7 miles away. This store is not an exception.

A poor diet resulting in obesity is a major factor in the epidemic of non-communicable diseases, which disproportionately affects the poor.<sup>4</sup> Epidemiologists need to deal with this problem, if recommended diets based on fruits and vegetables, wholewheat bread, and low-fat dairy products are to be made available and affordable to both the high-risk and general populations.<sup>5</sup>

**Manuel Franco, Frederick Brancati**

Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA;

**Ana Diez-Roux**

Department of Epidemiology, University of Michigan, School of Public Health, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

Correspondence to: Dr Manuel Franco, Johns Hopkins School of Public Health 2024 E. Monument, St Baltimore, MD 21205, USA; mfranco@jhsph.edu

## REFERENCES

- 1 **Diez-Roux AV**, Nieto FJ, Caulfield L, et al. Neighbourhood differences in diet: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1999;**53**:55–63.
- 2 **Diez Roux AV**. The study of group-level factors in epidemiology: rethinking variables, study designs, and analytical approaches. *Epidemiol Rev* 2004;**26**:104–11.
- 3 **Bild DE**, Bluemke DA, Burke GL, et al. Multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis: objectives and design. *Am J Epidemiol* 2002;**156**:871–81.
- 4 **Drewnowski A**, Specter SE. Poverty and obesity: the role of energy density and energy costs. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004;**79**:6–16.
- 5 **Editorial**. Curbing the obesity epidemic. *Lancet* 2006;**367**:1549.