Acute otitis media after forceps delivery

While running an ambulatory paediatric clinic, the mother of a crying baby wondered whether forceps delivered babies were more prone to otitis than other babies. To our knowledge, this association has not been reported. But, on the other hand, facial nerve injury is more common among those delivered by forceps; it is caused by compression of diploic bone of the mastoid process where the facial nerve is located superficially. It is clear that this kind of extraction applies some pressure over the ear of the baby. The head vulnerability is well known: long term consequences have been recognised after mild head injury. To estimate the differences of proportion of acute otitis media (AOM) between children delivered by forceps and other babies we conducted an electronic medical records review of all singleton children attending a primary care paediatric clinic, born full term (31.0%) of children born by NO, 87 (40.0%) of F, 15 (28.8%) of VA, and 124 (29.1%) of CS.

The analysis showed that forceps delivered babies were associated with an increase in proportion of AOM (odds ratio (OR) 1.48; 95% confidence intervals (CI) 1.08 to 2.03; p = 0.015) compared with NO.

Table 1 Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Non-operative delivery (n = 754)</th>
<th>Forceps delivery (n = 217)</th>
<th>Vacuum delivery (n = 52)</th>
<th>Caesarean section (n = 426)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight mean (SD)</td>
<td>3315.2 (400.7)*</td>
<td>3429.3 (388.2)</td>
<td>3496.2 (416.7)</td>
<td>3383.4 (467.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male/female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newborns admitted to special care (%)</td>
<td>24 (3.1)*</td>
<td>11 (5.0)*</td>
<td>8 (15.3)*</td>
<td>25 (5.8)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, months, at AOM diagnosis mean (SD)</td>
<td>18.2 (12.9)</td>
<td>17.4 (10.8)</td>
<td>23.7 (12.6)*</td>
<td>18.9 (13.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p<0.05.
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Acute otitis media after forceps delivery

AOM was diagnosed by a history of acute onset of signs and symptoms and otoscopic examination of the eardrum. Of 1449 deliveries, there were: 754 NO, 217 F, 52 VA, and 426 CS (caesarean sections). AOM had been recorded in 234 (31.0%) of children born by NO, 87 (40.0%) of F, 15 (28.8%) of VA, and 124 (29.1%) of CS.

The analysis showed that forceps delivered babies were associated with an increase in proportion of AOM (odds ratio (OR) 1.48; 95% confidence intervals (CI) 1.08 to 2.03; p = 0.015) compared with NO.

There were no differences in AOM proportions between VA and NO (OR 0.85; 95% CI 0.46 to 1.58; p > 0.3). And there were no differences in AOM proportions between CS and NO (OR 0.91; 95% CI 0.70 to 1.18; p > 0.3).

Our finding could be confirmed or discarded by ongoing longitudinal studies. If it is confirmed, it will strengthen the resolve to pay careful attention to the comments of our patients. It is already known that VA is at least as safe as forceps for the mother and the neonate. Long term consequences of operative vaginal delivery need to be explored: a prospective study should be undertaken to find if this association really exists.


Education and mortality: a role for intelligence?

In their report van Oort and others’ clearly describe and empirically examine the potential mediating factors—broadly categorised by the authors as material, psychosocial, and behavioural—that might account for the well established inverse educational mortality gradient. We believe the role of intelligence (denoted here as IQ, and defined as a person’s ability to learn, reason, and solve problems) warrants mention, given its link with all cause mortality, other somatic health outcomes, and at least two (material and behavioural factors) of the aforementioned pathways.

Recently reported findings from a series of cohort studies show an inverse association between IQ, assessed using psychometric tests, and later death, whether this “exposure” was quantified in childhood, early adulthood, middle age, or older age. Although fewer data are available for specific outcomes, similar gradients have also been reported for childhood assessed IQ in relation to adult risk of ischaemic heart disease (but not stroke), selected cancers, and accidents. IQ has also been linked with behavioural factors, including smoking patterns, such that adults with higher early life IQ scores are more likely to subsequently give up the habit than their lower performing counterparts. While these findings are comparatively recent, the suggestion that early life IQ might influence later life material measures of socioeconomic position—particularly income,—but also car and house ownership—has a long research tradition.

Based on these findings and using the authors’ own conceptual model (figure 1; page 215), IQ may be regarded in at least three ways. Firstly, education may be a proxy for IQ. However, this is not to ignore potential interplay between IQ and education, such as mediation or moderation (effect modification), and the influence this might have on health. Secondly, IQ might generate individual differences in educational attainment, in addition to being independently associated with material and behavioural factors. In studies that adjusted for education in the IQ-mortality relation, results are inconsistent with some investigators finding pronounced attenuation, while others do not. Thirdly, given that education may represent a cognitive archaeological “record” of pre-adult insults (for example, illness, nutritional privation, poor living conditions, psychosocial stress), it is probable that IQ, given its metric properties (education is normally quantified categorically), is a more sensitive marker of such exposures. In summary, there is new and persuasive evidence to link early cognitive ability and education with later health outcomes. Understanding the mechanisms that may underlie these associations should include an examination of whether education may be a partial mediator of, or a surrogate for, IQ differences.
Offspring sex ratios of people exposed to electromagnetic fields

Saadat\(^1\) wrote that there has only been one study on the association between human offspring sex ratio (proportion male) at birth and parental exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMF). He substantiated this claim with a reference to Ingens et al.\(^2\). However, I cited six other such studies.\(^3\) These studies, although not unanimous, cumulatively suggest that both exposed men and exposed women tend to produce significant excesses of daughters. This suggestion is not much changed by the comparatively small samples added by Saadat.\(^3\) The point may be illustrated by considerations of standard power analysis.\(^4\) Suppose that you wished to test that exposure has the effect of reducing the offspring sex ratio by, say, 10% (viz from an expected value of 0.515 to 0.465). Then, to stand 8 chances in 10 of detecting a difference at the 0.05 level (one way), you would require equal sized samples (of offspring of exposed and non-exposed subjects) each numbering 1236. The number of offspring of Saadat’s sample of exposed subjects was 110.

In my letter I cited evidence that exposure of men to EMF is associated with fatigue, headaches, dizziness, impaired memory, nausea, loss of strength in limbs, respiratory difficulties, sleep disturbances, and reduced libido. Such reports may be dismissed as subjective. However I also cited reports that exposure to EMF was associated with reduced sperm counts in men and rats: and of reduced testosterone levels in rats. And more recently, men’s exposure to radiofrequency radiation has reportedly been linked to a lowered testosterone/gonadotrophin ratio.\(^5\) And there are good grounds for suspecting this hormone profile to be causally associated with low offspring sex ratios.\(^6\) More data are urgently needed on the sexes of offspring of men and women who have been exposed to EMF.
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Author’s reply

I thank Dr James for his letter. He may well be correct for the citations of the related studies and also the estimation of the sample size. However, I wish to make some comments.

Not only in my report, but also in other reports\(^7\) the sample size was much larger than that estimated by James. Among published data, the article of Guberman et al.\(^8\) was based on 1781 births (508 and 1273 births from exposed and unexposed pregnancies, respectively), which is a comparatively large sample size and it is near to the required sample size, calculated by James. Guberman et al found that there was no statistically significant difference between exposed and unexposed pregnancies for offspring sex ratio.\(^7\) Ingens et al reported that offspring sex ratios among women in industry and electromagnetic fields was significantly reduced; while in men exposed to the fields the ratio did not show significant difference.\(^8\) On the other hand, experimental design studies showed that when rodents (mice and rats) were exposed to electromagnetic fields, the offspring sex ratio significantly increased and remained unchanged,\(^6\) compared with their
controls. Taken together it seems that the published data are not sufficient to conclude that the offspring sex ratio tends to produce significant excesses of daughters when parents are exposed to electromagnetic fields.
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Public health policy


“Advancing the public’s health has always been the stated goal of healthy policy, even if in practice a systematic bias in favour of responding to ill health and tackling disease has remained all pervasive and remarkably impervious to change”.

While this quote doesn’t appear until halfway through Public Health Policy, it is from this perspective that Hunter approaches his analysis. The text details the history of the public health sector in the United Kingdom as well as the problems and issues that have faced and will continue to face the policy makers who enjoy responsibility for the public’s health, from the House of Commons to the NHS. While historically confining his analysis to the UK, the well organised history of the struggles faced in the UK parallel those faced by developed and developing countries. The pitfalls of the competing priorities of the ‘downstream’ focus on current health care offerings (treatment) compared with the “upstream” positive effects of shifting focus to a public health perspective (prevention) are analysed on many levels and from varying viewpoints. Hunter offers potential solutions to some of the difficulties that face decision makers struggling to formulate health policy that reflects a shift in priorities. The book is well written and offers insights useful for all who have an interest in improving the public’s health, whether in the UK or elsewhere.

S Goldie

Ethics for health care, 2nd edition


Oxford University Press has recently published a second edition of this popular and widely read primer on medical ethics. Designed as a teaching tool for a broad range of health care professionals, the text draws upon a series of contemporary problems as the author illustrates the key features of ethical thinking.

The chapters are designed in a sequential rather than encyclopaedic fashion, developing themes through a series of over 50 suggested tasks for the reader to engage in before moving further. Almost half of these individual and group exercises incorporating tutorial/problem based triggers are new to this edition, reflecting the author’s emphasis on helping the reader understand the material by placing it within their own context. Subsequent text then explores the issues, guiding the reader on the process of analysing everyday health care issues in an ethical framework, while focusing on the health care worker to client interaction.

Although many examples considered in the text are drawn from contemporary Australian sources, they are presented in an inclusive fashion for an international readership. In particular, the author considers the cultural, religious, and sociological contexts that inform ethical considerations, rather than limiting herself to any one theoretical framework.

In summary, this book provides an excellent programme of instruction for health care workers in applying ethical reasoning to the problems they encounter in everyday practice, leaving the reader with workable tools they can use on a daily basis.

S Margolis