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Background: Although adult reported childhood socioeconomic position has been related to health outcomes in many studies, little is known about the validity of such distinctly recalled information. This study evaluated the validity of adults’ reports of childhood paternal social class.

Methods: Data are drawn from the Aberdeen children of the 1950s study, a cohort of 12 150 people born in Aberdeen (Scotland) who took part in a school based survey in 1962. In this survey, two indices of early life socioeconomic position were collected: occupational social class at birth (abstracted from maternity records) and occupational social class in childhood (reported during the 1962 survey by the study participants). Between 2000 and 2003, a questionnaire was mailed to traced middle aged cohort members in which inquiries were made about their fathers’ occupation when they were aged 12 years. The level of agreement between these reports and prospectively collected data on occupational social class was assessed.

Results: In total, 7183 (63.7%) persons responded to the mid-life questionnaire. Agreement was moderate between social class of father recalled in adulthood and that measured in early life (k statistics were 0.47 for social class measured at birth, and 0.56 for social class reported by the child). The relation of occupational social class to birth weight and childhood intelligence was in the expected directions, although weaker for adults’ reports in comparison with prospectively gathered data.

Conclusions: In studies of adult disease aetiology, associations between childhood social class based on adult recall of parental occupation and health outcomes are likely to underestimate real effects.

n the past decade there has been a re-emergence of interest in the early life origins of adult disease, particularly coronary heart disease (CHD). One of the most commonly examined social determinants is childhood socioeconomic position, typically indexed by the occupational social class of the father during the study participants’ early life. An association with later life CHD events and its risk factors has been reported, such that the most unfavourable levels are apparent in adults from the most disadvantaged socioeconomic backgrounds.

Given the scarcity of prospective studies that offer extended follow up of children into later life, out of necessity most investigators examining the aetiologic role of childhood social conditions have done so in cohorts of middle aged persons where this information is recalled from as much as six decades earlier. This practice raises important concerns about the accuracy of such data, not only when it is the exposure of interest but also when assessing its potential confounding effects.

While investigators have reported on the accuracy of children’s or young persons’ contemporaneous recall of their parents’ occupation and adults’ reports of their own occupational history up to 40 years in the past, we are aware of only two studies that have attempted to examine the accuracy of adults’ recall of their childhood social class. In one, the degree of corroboration between adult twins’ reports of parental occupation was assessed but no comparison was made with independently collected information in childhood such as that available in historical records. In another, although levels of agreement between archived records and adult self report appeared high, the analyses were based on less than 60 subjects. A further shortcoming of these studies, and one that often applies to validation studies in general, is that no estimate was provided of the probable effects of any misclassification.

The children of the 1950s study is a large cohort of men and women who participated in a school based survey in Aberdeen, Scotland in 1962. Data on childhood socioeconomic position and other characteristics were collected at the time of their birth (mother’s reports of husband’s occupation; birth weight) and during the school survey (study participants’ report of father’s occupation; psychometric intelligence). Recently, a questionnaire was mailed to surviving cohort members, now middle aged, in which inquiries were made regarding the respondents’ childhood social circumstances, including their father’s occupation.

We are therefore able to: (1) quantify the accuracy of distinctly recalled occupational social class by relating paternal social class as ascertained from both historical records (at birth) and children’s reports (during original survey), on the one hand, with childhood social class reported in middle age, on the other; (2) assess the likely effect of any misclassification by relating both child and adult recalled occupational social class to outcome variables known to be associated with contemporaneously gathered data on childhood social circumstances: birth weight and psychometric intelligence.

METHODS

Study participants

The Aberdeen children of the 1950s study is a cohort of 12 150 children born in Aberdeen, Scotland, between 1950 and 1956 who took part in a school based survey beginning in 1962. During this time, comprehensive information was also abstracted from the Aberdeen Maternity and Neonatal Databank (AMND) about the course of their mother’s pregnancy and the children’s physical characteristics at birth. In 1999 this study was revitalised with surviving cohort members traced and mailed a questionnaire between
Table 1  Study participants with occupational social class data in childhood and adulthood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of data collection</th>
<th>Reference period</th>
<th>Subjects with data*</th>
<th>Manual†</th>
<th>Non-manual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infancy (1950–56)</td>
<td>Birth</td>
<td>11469 (94.4)</td>
<td>8971 (78.2)</td>
<td>2498 (21.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood (1962)</td>
<td>6–12 years</td>
<td>11233 (92.5)</td>
<td>8127 (72.4)</td>
<td>3106 (27.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adulthood (2000–03)</td>
<td>12 year</td>
<td>6594 (54.3)</td>
<td>4742 (71.9)</td>
<td>1852 (28.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Results are number (%). †Occupational social class dichotomised into manual (IIIM, IV, and V) and non-manual (I, II, III NM) groups.

Table 2  Agreement between social class data based on birth records and adult reports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Birth records</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III NM†</th>
<th>III M‡</th>
<th>IV</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIM</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>2099</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>3120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>1121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>2974</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>6364</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Level agreement = 54.0%; weighted κ statistic = 0.47, p<0.001. †Non-manual; ‡Manual. Occupational social class was categorised as follows: I (highest)—professional; II—managerial; III NM—non-manual, skilled non-manual; III M—manual, skilled manual; IV—manual, semi-skilled; and V (lowest)—unskilled manual.
Table 1 presents the number of study participants with data for each measure of occupational social class, together with the proportions in the manual and non-manual groups. A high proportion of participants had complete data for early life occupational social class assessed at both the birth of the study participant (94%) and when reported in childhood (92%).

Table 2 is a cross tabulation of early life social class based on birth records and adult reports. Numbers emboldened on the diagonal indicate exact agreement. Numbers above the diagonal signify where the occupation reported in adulthood was of a lower (less advantaged) social class than that obtained for infancy from birth records. Numbers below the diagonal indicate where the occupation reported in adulthood from birth records. Numbers embolded on the diagonal indicate exact agreement. Numbers above the diagonal signify where the occupation reported in adulthood was of a lower (less advantaged) social class than that obtained for infancy from birth records. Numbers below the diagonal indicate where the occupation reported in adulthood was of a lower (less advantaged) social class than that obtained at birth. There was exact agreement for 54% of participants between measures of occupational social class at birth and that reported in adulthood. For the remainder, 31% of adult respondents reported that their father’s social class was higher than that recorded at birth and 16% reported that it was lower (percentages do not exactly total 100 because of rounding). Most disagreement fell into categories immediately adjacent to the diagonal. Levels of exact agreement were slightly higher (61.4%) between childhood reports of occupational social class and reports made in middle age (table 3), but the general pattern of agreement was similar to that apparent in table 2. The weighted κ scores for both sets of analyses ranged between 0.47 and 0.56 (both p<0.001), suggesting a moderate level of agreement.

The somewhat stronger agreement we found between social class during childhood, rather than in birth, in relation to adult retrospective reports has at least two explanations that can be tested empirically. Firstly, as adults were asked to retrospectively report their father’s occupation when they were aged 12 years, which is closer to childhood prospectively measured childhood social class (at 6–12 years of age) than the birth measurement, it is possible that the somewhat stronger agreement we found between childhood social class and adult retrospective reports represents upward socioeconomic mobility between the 1950s and 1960s among the study members’ fathers. To test this hypothesis we examined the level of agreement between the two prospectively collected social class measurements (at birth and 6–12 years of age). There was complete agreement for 67%, whereas for 22% the birth social class was higher than that measured in childhood and for 11% the birth social class was lower (full data not shown). On balance, therefore, this does, in fact, indicate downward social mobility.

Secondly, as adult reported childhood occupational social class was based on recall for 12 years of age, it could be that children who were closer to 12 years of age when this information was prospectively collected reported it with greater accuracy. To test this suggestion, we examined the association between adults’ recall of childhood social class and prospectively collected data when the latter was stratified
DISCUSSION

In the largest study to date to examine the issue, middle aged adults’ recall of early life social class showed moderate agreement with social class data collected prospectively up to five decades earlier, either from birth records or from reports made in childhood. Disagreements were generally ascribed to adults reporting a higher (more favourable) occupational social class to that recorded in early life. To quantify the potential implications of any misclassification we related the occupational social class data to birth weight and childhood intelligence, which have repeatedly been shown to be associated with early life socioeconomic factors.25–27 Using each measure of occupational social class resulted in the same conclusion: the lowest levels of birth weight and IQ were found in the most socially disadvantaged groups.

Comparison with other studies

Our results are in broad agreement with two previous studies addressing the validity of distant recall of childhood socioeconomic position, both of which used data on occupational social class. In the only directly comparable investigation, Berney and Blane16 reported an exact match of 66% between older adults’ reports of their father’s occupation in their youth and historical data recorded from 50 years earlier. In this study, the sample size was small (n = 57) and follow up data were based on an extensive interview schedule, an approach that is not practical in large scale, population based studies. However, the similarity in levels of agreement between this and our study, suggest that simple questionnaire inquiries elicit data that are as valid as those obtained from detailed interviews. In the only other study,19 the degree of corroboration between adult female twins’ reports of fathers’ occupation was also high but, as previously indicated, no comparison with historical records was made. In neither of these studies did the investigators attempt to examine the potential implications of any misclassification as we have done.

An alternative assessment of the effect of any misclassification could be provided by using a dataset that holds information on both retrospectively and prospectively collected childhood social class and relating this information to an outcome that has been shown to be socioeconomically patterned, such as CHD. It will be some years before this cohort has accumulated sufficient CHD events to facilitate such an analysis. In the meantime, some evidence for a differential effect of childhood social class reported in adulthood and that prospectively gathered can be found by...
comparing results across studies. In a recent review by Lynch and Davey Smith—necessarily narrative because of the problems of statistically aggregating results from observational studies in a meta-analysis—the authors found that, in studies in which childhood social circumstances were measured prospectively, the relation with CHD was generally stronger than those in which this exposure were recalled in adulthood.

**Misclassification of social class**

While misclassification between childhood social class ascertained contemporaneously and recalled in adulthood may be ascribed to inaccuracies in recalling such information from up to five decades earlier, at least three further explanations exist. Firstly, in the original survey dataset made available to us, the occupational data from the schools survey and birth records had been pre-coded to a schema resembling the registrar general’s 1950 revision; the verbatim descriptions of occupation, from which these classifications were derived, were not available. In coding parental occupation from data collected in mid-life, the earliest schema available to us for electronic transformation was the 1961 revision. It is possible, therefore, that some of the disagreement between childhood and adult ascertained childhood is attributable to these coding differences.

Secondly, the periods in which measurement of early life social class was made herein varied across indices. In the follow up questionnaire, carried out when the study participants were middle aged, respondents were asked to focus on the period when they were 12 years of age, while the prospective data were collected at birth (from routine birth records) and when the study participants were between 6 and 12 years of age (reported during the 1962 schools survey). We examined if the age of the school child during participation in the survey modified the level of agreement between reports from this period and those in middle age. On stratifying age at survey into two groups (<9 years and ≥9 years), the weighted k statistic was essentially unchanged, suggesting age difference does not explain the misclassification. A third and related point is that there was upwards socioeconomic mobility in the city of Aberdeen between the 1950s—during which the study participants were born and experienced their early childhood—and the 1960s when they would be aged 12. Two findings mitigate against upwards social mobility as a likely explanation for the lack of agreement. The economic boom in Aberdeen after the discovery of North Sea oil did not occur until the mid-1970s. Furthermore, our comparison of birth with childhood social classes suggested that there was, in fact, a moderate downward shift in socioeconomic position over this period.

**Study strengths and limitations**

The sample size in this study is an order of magnitude higher than others that have examined the same issue; moreover, this is the first to attempt to measure any possible impact of misclassification. While this report therefore represents a unique contribution, various weaknesses should be considered. It is plausible that in study participants whose fathers were particularly socially mobile, recall may be less accurate. We do not, however, have employment histories of these men to enable us to examine this issue. It is also possible that, because of differing cultural contexts, the level of occupational misclassification may vary from one country to another. It may be of value, therefore, to repeat this study in other populations. Additionally, herein, adult reported childhood occupational social class is, by definition, only available for study survivors who responded to a questionnaire survey. However, this reflects the very situation in which adults’ recall of childhood circumstances will be used in studies of disease aetiology.

Finally, the response to our mailed questionnaire was moderate (64%), with non-responders more likely to be from disadvantaged backgrounds. This response proportion is similar to that in comparable follow up surveys conducted in the 1946th and 1958th birth cohort studies. When we related reports of childhood social class from the questionnaire to data collected in early life, where disagreement occurred, it tended to be adults placing their fathers’ occupation into a higher socioeconomic position compared with their reports in childhood. Had the response proportion been greater, with a higher representation of study participants from poorer childhood social backgrounds, it is plausible therefore that the level of agreement may have been lower and the association with birth weight and childhood intelligence weaker.

**Conclusion**

In the largest study to date to examine the issue, there were moderate levels of agreement between prospectively recorded early life social class and adult reports of their father’s social class made up to five decades later. Furthermore, where discordance occurred, adults tended to exaggerate their father’s status compared with contemporary measures. Associations of the measures of social class with birth weight and childhood intelligence were in the expected directions, and were generally weaker for adult reported measures of childhood social class than for contemporaneous indicators.

We suggest that, where possible, prospectively gathered measures of early life occupational social class should be used in aetiological studies. Where these data are unavailable, associations of childhood social class based on middle aged adults’ recall of parental occupation and adult health outcomes are likely to underestimate the real associations.
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