Rethinking the terms non-communicable disease and chronic disease

We welcome Ackland et al’s timely discussion on the terms “non-communicable” and “chronic” disease. Their argument is that conditions currently labelled “non-communicable” are in fact “communicable” because the risk behaviours that underlie them are highly transmissible. Thus they argue for a change in label, from “non-communicable diseases” to “chronic diseases”.

Their argument, however, confuses one classification system, which is based on cause (namely, communicable diseases compared with non-communicable diseases compared with injuries), with a second classification system, which is based on effect (namely, acute conditions compared with chronic conditions). Their argument also overlooks the growing consensus that chronic conditions include certain communicable diseases, such as HIV/AIDS. In fact, certain non-communicable conditions are acute in nature, while certain communicable conditions require chronic, ongoing care. For example, HIV/AIDS clearly has an infectious aetiology but requires long term management by the healthcare system. As such, it has a great deal in common with type 2 diabetes. Conversely, acute appendicitis is a “non-communicable” disease that requires long term management by the health system.

We argue strongly that the term “chronic” will be more readily understood and of greater utility if it refers to conditions requiring long term management by health systems.
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Authors’ reply

The letter by Unwin et al in response to our paper makes some important points about the attributes of disease classifications. We agree that, unfortunately, it is commonplace for classifications based on cause and those based on effect, to be interleaved by the public health community. This not only causes confusion in the minds of public health policy makers, but promotes bureaucratic apathy towards resourcing prevention programmes for diseases that contribute so significantly to the burden of disease. Why would a jurisdiction dedicate scarce resources towards preventing and controlling diseases that are long term (chronic) and where causation is unclear (non-communicable)? Many jurisdictions will only take on the concept of an epidemic seriously when there is a clear link to the traditional concept of “communicable” or infectious disease.

So it is very important to encourage use of language in describing diseases that is of practical value to both clinical researchers and policy makers. This language should emphasize the long term implications for the health system, but more importantly wish to discourage use of the term “non-communicable” where there is mounting evidence for the non-microbial, communicable nature of many chronic diseases. Qualifying some chronic diseases as being “transmissible” may be helpful in highlighting the role of social, cultural, and societal factors as disease vectors. Herein lie the opportunities for improving public health responses and interventions. We are glad that Unwin et al support our thinking to promote the use of the term “chronic” to denote conditions requiring long term care, which is more readily understood by the public health community.

Michael Ackland
Health Surveillance and Evaluation Section, Public Health Group, Rural Regional Health and Aged Care Services Division, Department of Human Services, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

Bernard C K Choi
Evidence and Information for Chronic Disease Policy Division, Centre for Chronic Disease Prevention and Control, Population and Public Health Branch, Health Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Department of Epidemiology and Community Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

Pekka Puska
National Public Health Institute-KTL, Helsinki, Finland

Correspondence to: Professor B C K Choi; bernard_choi@hc-sc.gc.ca

Reference
1 Ackland M, Choi BCK, Puska P. Rethinking the terms non-communicable disease and chronic disease. J Epidemiol Community Health 2003; 57:838–9

Epidemiology of SARS: the missing pathogen?

This is indeed a strange disease. The epidemiology suggests it to be of relatively low infectivity, but high severity. This in itself is odd, especially if the causative agent is a virus and the principal mode of spread by coughing/droplet. Also odd is the undoubted existence of “superspreaders”, who can infect very many of their contacts—I can’t think of any parallels to this in respiratory virology.

Perhaps the SARS virus obeys the usual rules of droplet transmitted respiratory infections, and is of high infectivity. However, because of shared antigens, a proportion of the population has an acquired resistance to the new virus, having already been exposed to another, relatively innocuous, virus that provides immune protection. It is possible that the proportion of humanity immune or partially immune to SARS could be as high as, say, 95% if the second virus were a very common one, for example, one of the coronaviruses that causes coryza. This would explain the seemingly low, unexpectedly so, infectivity of the SARS agent.
Is the inverse care law no longer operating?

The inverse care law, proposed by Julian Tudor Hart in 1971, states that "the availability of good medical care tends to vary inversely with the need for it in the population served." 1 A number of authors have now claimed to have found instances of the inverse care law operating in practice. 1 In the event, that this "law" has gained in the healthcare literature over the past 30 years, we were surprised to note that Jordan et al. failed to make reference to it in their recent article on the relationship between access to services and health. 4

In this report, access to services was measured as both straight line distances and car travel time to the nearest GP surgery and hospital as well as the access domain of the index of multiple deprivation 2000, which combines measures of straight line distances to the nearest GP surgery, primary school, food shop, and post office. Among urban wards, the authors report a consistent inverse association between distance to services and both mortality and limiting long term illness (LLTI) in people aged 0–64 years—although this association was negligible in terms of the relations between LLTI and distance to hospitals.

Both premature mortality and LLTI are markers of need for health services in themselves. In addition, they are both strongly associated with deprivation in the UK, 4 and therefore a much broader marker of need for health services. The results of Jordan et al. suggest that areas with greater need for health services are nearer to and have greater access to, or concentration of, both health and wider social services. This is in conflict with the inverse care law, which would predict that distance to services should be greater, and therefore access poorer, in areas with higher levels of need.

Are the results of Jordan et al. evidence that the inverse care law is no longer operating in the UK? Is it possible that over the past 30 years, we have managed to redistribute primary care services, in particular, so equitably that instead of deprivation, poor health and greater need for services being associated with poor access to services, it is now associated with greater access to services? Alternatively, is it possible that the inverse care law has rarely operated in practice in the UK in recent times and that "evidence" for it has misinterpreted the original formulation of the law and concentration of services, rather than provision of them? 1
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Do socioeconomic conditions reflect a high exposure to air pollution or more sensitive health conditions?

We read with great interest the recent paper by Martins et al. 4 about the relationship between socioeconomic conditions on air pollution adverse health effects in elderly people: an analysis of six regions in Sao Paulo, Brazil. J Epidemiol Community Health 2004;58:41-6. 1


Goldberg MS, Burnett RT, Brook J, et al. Identification of persons with cardiorespiratory conditions who are at risk of dying from the acute effects of ambient air pollution. Environ Health Perspect 2001;109:487-94. 3


Are socioeconomic conditions modifying the short term association between air pollution and mortality? Evidence from a zonal time series in Hamilton, Canada. J Epidemiol Community Health 2004;58:31-40.
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BOOK REVIEW
Global public goods for health; health economic public perspectives


This volume explores the applicability of the concept of global public goods to health and health related issues as well as the question of the added value—for example, in terms of new analytical insights or a better understanding of various policy approaches and instruments—of looking through the lens of global public goods. As the stage setting chapter 1 by Woodward and Smith notes, ‘‘…as globalization progresses…matters which were once confined to national policy are now issues of global impact and concern; yet no one nation necessarily has the ability, or the incentive, to address these problems’’ (page 3). So cross border cooperation is important for a global public good, let us say, polio eradication, to emerge and to be available for the consumption—or enjoyment—of local communities or countries.

The volume’s chapter analyses are written by a multi-disciplinary team of authors and cover three main sets of issues: (1) the global public goods properties of the control or eradication of select communicable conditions (including polio, tuberculosis, antimicrobial drug resistance), and the health consequences of a number of global environmental ‘‘bads’’ (such as the global climate change or the depletion of the ozone layer); (2) the importance of knowledge (including medical knowledge, genomics knowledge, and public health infrastructure and knowledge) as a critical input to people’s improved health status and enhanced public health conditions; and (3) how to enable global public goods for health, such as international law and health regulations. However, running through the individual chapter analyses also are common themes. Among them are such issues as the prioritisation of global public goods and the politics of their provision, their ‘‘production’’ and financing.

The discussions on these themes are analytically rigorous yet clear and focused, leading to practical and pragmatic—yet also innovative—policy conclusions and recommendations. Thus, the book should be of interest to researchers and students as well as policymakers and practitioners alike.
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Siblings and adult mortality and stroke risk

In men born between 1906 and 1938 and aged 60 years, and in women born between 1918 and 1948 and aged 58 years, higher number of siblings was associated with lower risk of death from all causes, as well as from cardiovascular disease and stroke.

In the eight and a half decades from the first World War to the present, the trends in male:female ratio at birth in postwar industrialized countries...
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Authors’ reply

The comments of Filleul and Harrabi on our paper reflect the major concerns about the role of socioeconomic conditions in the association between air pollution and health effects and keep the topic on discussion. Low socioeconomic status evolves different and complementary aspects that can act synergistically to aggravate health conditions. For instance, being more vulnerable to diseases and having less access to health (geographical and economic considerations) are factors that, in general, are concurrent among poor people and can contribute to death. In addition, if they also are more exposed to air pollution, and probably not only air pollution (indoor and outdoor) but also water pollution, we have the whole picture of what it is to be in the lowest socioeconomic levels of any society. If the discussion focuses only on levels of exposure it reflects the concept of linear dose-response relation between PM_{10} and respiratory diseases that is well known and accepted.

than with the different levels of PM_{10} and health measures.

and mortality risks was found by Hart and...—the postovulatory phase of the menstrual cycle that entails preovulatory overripeness of the oocyte (PrO0). This results—in analogy with experiments in animals and observations in humans—in a continuum of pregnancy wastage, perinatal and postnatal mortality, or morbidity. In addition, mothers with low socioeconomic status are known to suffer disproportionately more from low standards of nutrition, abnormal body mass index, and inherent menstrual disorders. They also use less safe methods of contraception resulting in gradually more unplanned and unwanted pregnancies and, in turn, in shorter interpregnancy intervals and intratinal aging of the oocyte (waiting to be fertilised) with the postovulatory overripeness of the oocyte (PoO0).

The driving force behind decreasing rates of newborns with neural tube defects or Down’s syndrome was evident long before the introduction of prenatal diagnosis, selective abortion and folic acid supplementation. The true reason for these decreases has never been revealed and the biased scientific preoccupation with recent changes in lifestyle and risk factors for explaining the enigmatic decrease of cardiovascular diseases has also been blamed. A same scenario, therefore, may exist here and ovopathy might be the common causal pathway for developmental anomalies and “innate” constitutional entities of complex origin. The relentless decrease of conceptopathology, running parallel with increasing socioeconomic levels and improving healthcare provision, would be responsible for their decrease. Intrauterine mortality, stillbirth, and infant mortality began to decrease at the end of the 19th century, while in fact, cardiovascular diseases only after a lifetime delay since the 1960s. Many other unexplained correlates with cardiovascular diseases (and other chronic diseases) are elucidated by this causal pathway, for example, comorbidity, intergenerational matrilineal transmission and strong social patterning, discordance in monozygotic twins, poor fetal growth and low birth weight, seasonality of conception correlated with geographically latitudinal gradient, and finally, male gender biases. Intrauterine mortality, stillbirth, and infant mortality began to decrease...
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