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Study objective: Within Europe, a pronounced geographical gradient of mortality from ischaemic heart disease has been observed with the highest burden in the north east and the lowest in the south west. The study objective was to compare mortality from ischaemic heart disease between former East and West Germany since reunification.


Setting: Former East and West Germany.

Main results: After a peak in the early 1990s, mortality from ischaemic heart disease has substantially declined in both parts of Germany (from 222 to 169 per 100 000 in the East and from 150 to 116 per 100 000 in the West). The regional difference, however, remained rather constant: the rate ratio between the pooled mortality in the East compared with the West was 1.51 (95% CI 1.46 to 1.56) in 1991 and 1.45 (95% CI 1.39 to 1.50) in 2000. These rate ratios were higher in women (1.63 in 1991 and 1.52 in 2000) compared with men (1.45 and 1.44, respectively).

Conclusions: Within Germany, there has been a pronounced east-west gradient of mortality from ischaemic heart disease since reunification. Further insight into possible underlying reasons may lead to improved preventive strategies.

The fall of the Berlin wall in 1989 and the subsequent reunification of East (former German Democratic Republic) and West (former Federal Republic of Germany) Germany in 1990 led to major socioeconomic and political changes for the people living in the country. The population in the East experienced a rapid transition from a socialist to a capitalist government with effects on all aspects of life including employment, income, housing, nutrition, and medical care. The reunification offers the unique historical situation to investigate trends in life expectancy and mortality in people with similar cultural background and genetic origin who were divided for decades and then reunified.

Life expectancy between East and West Germany had started to diverge in the mid-1970s largely attributable to an improving life expectancy in the former West Germany, whereas life expectancy almost stagnated in the East. Furthermore, East German life expectancy took an intermediate position between the life expectancy in West European countries and the life expectancy in other East and Central European countries such as Poland or Hungary. Cardiovascular diseases and particularly ischaemic heart disease accounted for about half of the east-west difference in life expectancy. Overall, mortality from ischaemic heart disease within Europe exhibits a pronounced gradient with the highest burden in the north east and the lowest in the south west. Previous studies reported a variety of potential explanations such as regional differences in blood pressure, smoking, diet and alcohol consumption, socioeconomic factors, medical care, and air pollution. Regional variation even exists within countries such as a north-south gradient in mortality from ischaemic heart disease in both Britain and France. Morris et al quantified the contribution of individual risk factors to the regional variation in Britain and concluded that up to three quarters of the regional variance could be attributed to the combined effects of smoking (the single most important factor), physical activity, systolic blood pressure, occupational social class, and height. However, factors for regional variation may be different in other areas. In a study by Bobak et al comparing risk factors in Czech, Bavarian, and Israeli men, smoking was not significantly different between the three countries, whereas blood pressure appeared to be a major factor for explaining regional variation.

The aim of this study was to determine regional variation and time trends in mortality from ischaemic heart disease in Germany since reunification, with particular focus on differences between East and West Germany.

METHODS

For the time period from 1990 to 2000, the Federal Statistical Office of Germany, Wiesbaden, provided mortality rates from ischaemic heart disease per 100 000 based on the primary death certificate diagnosis. For the years 1990–1997, the coding for ischaemic heart disease of the ninth revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9 410–414), and for the years 1998–2000, of the tenth revision (ICD-10 I20–I25) was applied.

For age standardisation, the European standard population (1976) was taken. The weights used for standardisation by five year age groups (19 age groups) were 1.6 (<1 year), 6.4 (1–4 years), 7 (5–9 years), 6 (10–14 years), 8 (15–19 years), 9.3 (20–24 years), 10 (25–29 years), 11 (30–34 years), 12 (35–39 years), 13 (40–44 years), 14 (45–49 years), 15 (50–54 years), 16 (55–59 years), 17 (60–64 years), 18 (65–69 years), 19 (70–74 years), 20 (75–79 years), 21 (80–84 years), 22 (85–89 years), and 0.2 (90+ years). The same weights were used for males, females and all persons.

Mortality rates were analysed according to state of residence, time period, and subcategories of ischaemic heart disease. Rate ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated for the respective comparisons. For the analysis according to state of residence, we divided age standardised
mortality rates (2000) into quintiles. Additionally, we compared the state with the highest to the state with the lowest mortality rate.

For the comparison over time (1990–2000), we analysed age standardised mortality rates for the aggregated East and West German states. Before reunification, the City State of Berlin had been divided into an eastern and western part. However, we excluded Berlin from the analysis of time trends as administrative units started to change in the late 1990s making a differentiation into East and West Berlin impossible. Time trends in age standardised mortality rates are shown separately for the age groups 25–64 years and ≥65 years. In addition, the two main diagnoses of ischaemic heart disease, acute myocardial infarction (I21–22) and chronic ischaemic heart disease (I25), were analysed separately.

RESULTS

Mortality rates according to state of residence

Mortality from ischaemic heart disease varied largely according to state of residence. Analysing the age standardised mortality rates from ischaemic heart disease in 2000, there was a pronounced gradient with the highest burden in the north east and the lowest in the south west (fig 1). The states with the highest mortality rates were Brandenburg, Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania, and Saxony-Anhalt in the north east whereas the lowest mortality rates were reported for Baden-Wuerttemberg in the south west and the two City States of Berlin and Hamburg. The state of Saarland although situated in the south west had a comparatively high mortality rate in the second highest quintile. In men, the rate ratio between the state Saxony-Anhalt with the highest mortality rate from ischaemic heart disease (246 per 100 000) and the state Baden-Wuerttemberg with the lowest mortality rate (139 per 100 000) was 1.78 (95% CI 1.72 to 1.84). In women, the rate ratio between the state Saxony-Anhalt with the highest mortality rate (133 per 100 000) and the state Baden-Wuerttemberg with the lowest mortality rate (65 per 100 000) was 2.03 (95% CI 1.95 to 2.12).

Time trends

Time trends (1990–2000) in age standardised mortality rates from ischaemic heart disease showed some differences between East and West Germany. In West Germany, mortality from ischaemic heart disease decreased continuously between 1990 and 2000 (table 1). In East Germany, on the other hand, a sharp increase in mortality from ischaemic heart disease was observed between 1990 and 1991 followed by a peak in 1993. The rate ratio between the pooled mortality in the East versus the West, however, remained rather constant: 1.39 (95% CI 1.39 to 1.50) in 2000. Rate ratios were higher for women compared with men (table 1). Time trends showed a similar pattern in sex specific age groups (fig 2A and B). In the age group 25–64 years, the rate ratio between East and West Germany was 1.34 (95% CI 1.16 to 1.54) in 2000 and the rate difference 13 per 100 000. In the age group ≥65 years, the respective rate ratio was 1.47 (95% CI 1.42 to 1.52) and the rate difference 410 per 100 000.

Mortality rates according to diagnosis

Mortality from ischaemic heart disease was mainly attributable to the diagnoses acute myocardial infarction (I21–22) and chronic ischaemic heart disease (I25). Mortality from the other diagnoses of ischaemic heart disease (I20, I23, I24) was very low. Rate ratios between East and West Germany were higher for chronic ischaemic heart disease compared with acute myocardial infarction, particularly in women (table 2).

DISCUSSION

Ten years after reunification, mortality from ischaemic heart disease is still about 50% higher in East compared with West Germany. In the West, mortality from ischaemic heart disease has continuously decreased throughout the 1990s whereas in the East, mortality peaked during the early 1990s, and is now gradually declining.

The observed difference in mortality from ischaemic heart disease seems unlikely to be caused to a large extent by migration or differences in coding procedures after 1990/91. Migration might have resulted in healthy people leaving the East to live in the West. Overall, about one million East Germans migrated to the West during and after reunification. However, the vast majority of the migrants were young, with less than 10% being older than 50 years. Therefore, provided that the demographic denominator was disregarded in the former German Democratic Republic, diagnoses such as hypertension and atherosclerosis were coded as causes of death despite the presence of an underlying ischaemic heart disease resulting in an underestimation of ischaemic heart disease. To quantify the magnitude of miscoding, Heinemann et al recoded 4154 death certificates (1986) in the East German state of Saxony. They found that ischaemic heart disease was coded correctly in only 71% in men and 59% of women and calculated a correction factor for official mortality rates of 1.5 in men and 1.6 in women. Since October 1990, statistical offices uniformly classify the causes of death in Germany according to the WHO manual. The sharp increase in mortality in East Germany between 1990 and 1991 is likely to be partly caused by this change in coding procedures. However, mortality rates from ischaemic heart disease still
increased after 1991 suggesting that a “real” peak occurred and not only an artefact.

Diagnostic uncertainty may be reflected by the use of the ICD category ill defined causes (R00–99) with ischaemic heart disease being the most probable underlying cause of death.15 Age standardised mortality rates from ill defined causes of death have been higher in West compared with East Germany (22 versus 9 per 100 000 in 2000).16 On the other hand, the rate ratio between East and West Germany has been greater for “chronic ischaemic heart disease”, as an entity of higher diagnostic uncertainty, than for “acute myocardial infarction”. If mortality rates from ill defined causes were added to the diagnosis “chronic ischaemic heart disease”, the respective rate ratio between the East and West would decrease from 1.52 to 1.22. East and West German physicians seem to use different approaches to diagnostic uncertainty.

Possible explanations

Possible underlying reasons for regional variation in mortality from ischaemic heart disease include differences in classic coronary risk factors, lifestyle, psychosocial factors, environment, and medical care.10 17–20 The existence of a time lag of several years between the change in a risk factor and the incidence of ischaemic heart disease has to be taken into account.21 In patients with an already existing ischaemic heart disease, the change in a risk factor or major external events can precipitate acute coronary syndromes or even serve as a trigger.22 This may have led to the observed peak in mortality from ischemic heart disease in the East after the reunification. We will, therefore, discuss risk factors in East and West Germany both before and after the reunification. The comparison of risk factors for the time period before and during the reunification is limited because of the sparsity of uniformly acquired data. There are, however, some studies such as the international WHO MONICA (monitoring trends and determinants in cardiovascular disease) Project in both East and West Germany and the National Health Surveys providing valuable insight into the distribution of cardiovascular risk factors.18 23–27 Some differences in pathophysiological coronary risk factors such as hypercholesterolaemia, hypertension, diabetes, and obesity were observed between the East and the West. Higher cholesterol concentrations were observed in the East compared with the West for both men and women before the reunification, however, the difference seems to have largely disappeared in the mid-1990s.23 28–29 Blood pressure, on the other hand, has continuously been higher in the East compared with the West both before and after the reunification.1 3 23 25 30 In the 1990s, diabetes was far more prevalent in the East compared with the West.30–32 Pre-reunification data on the prevalence of diabetes were rarely published. Obesity has been reported for a higher percentage of East compared with West Germans during the 1980s and 1990s.25 27 30

Lifestyle factors such as smoking, physical activity, and diet also differed between the East and the West. During the 1980s and early 1990s, smoking was the one cardiovascular risk factor with a more favourable profile in the East, mainly in women.18 23 25 26 34 However, the prevalence of smoking is now similar in the East and the West.24 In the 1998 National Health Survey, physical activity during leisure time was slightly more common in West compared with East German participants.35 As to diet, the availability of certain foods on the market had been limited in East Germany before the reunification as well as in other East European countries such as the former GDR.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>Rate ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Confidence intervals.*
as Poland. The consumption of vegetable oil and margarine, fresh vegetables, and tropical fruits was lower in the East than in the West, whereas the intake of sausages and fat, in particular butter, was much higher. Alcohol consumption also varied with a higher intake of beer and wine in the West and spirits in the East. After reunification, a western dietary pattern was adopted in the East although some residual differences seem to persist.

Differences between the East and the West could also be observed for psychosocial factors such as stressful life events, socioeconomic status, and social support. Stressful life events such as feelings of insecurity at work or serious financial trouble were reported more frequently by East compared with West Germans. As to socioeconomic status, per capita income, gross domestic product (GDP), and unemployment have consistently been less favourable in the East compared with the West. The GDP per state follows a clear north east to south west gradient with a higher GDP in the south western states with the exception of the state of Saarland. This may partially explain the higher mortality rates from ischaemic heart disease in the state of Saarland. Furthermore, the prevalence of unemployment in Saarland is among the highest in the western states, although still considerably lower than in the eastern states. Social support by a partner and/or friends and a more fulfilling family life, on the other hand, were reported more frequently by East than West German residents.

Associations between air pollution and long term increases in cardiovascular mortality rates have been reported. Before the reunification, East Germany had considerably higher levels of inhalable particulates and sulphur dioxides (especially in Saxony, Saxony-Anhalt, Thuringia) compared with West Germany. The famous “Black Triangle” in southern Saxony (East Germany), northern Bohemia and Moravia (Czech Republic), and part of lower Silesia (Poland) was one of the most polluted areas in central Europe. Baback et al estimated that 9% of the all cause mortality gap between the Czech Republic and Western Europe could be attributed to air pollution. During the 1990s, particulates and sulphur dioxides have been successfully reduced in East Germany, for example, by replacing brown coal and other solid fossil fuels with central heating.

Between the mid-1980s and the mid-1990s, major developments occurred in coronary care in both primary and secondary prevention. In the WHO MONICA Project, eight of these treatments were combined in a treatment score. In the mid-1980s, treatment scores were similar between East and West German MONICA centres. In the mid-1990s, however, treatment scores in the West German centres were considerably higher compared with the scores in the East German centre. Other western countries also showed higher treatment scores compared with eastern countries. Overall, improvements in treatment score and mortality from ischaemic heart disease showed a strong inverse correlation. However, a quantification of the attribution of medical care to the observed trends in mortality from ischaemic heart disease was not possible in this ecological analysis. In Germany, some differences in medical care seem to persist despite a general healthcare system, for example, a lower number of coronary angiographies per million population in the East.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ischaemic heart disease</th>
<th>West</th>
<th>East</th>
<th>Rate ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.24 to 1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute myocardial infarction (I21–I22)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.46 to 1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic ischaemic heart disease (I25)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.31 to 1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.40 to 1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.60 to 1.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Confidence intervals

### Key points

- There is a pronounced north east and south west gradient of mortality from ischaemic heart disease within Germany.
- Even a decade after reunification, mortality rates are still about 50% higher in the East compared with the West.
- It seems that the population in the East has had decades of higher exposure to most known cardiovascular risk factors. However, further research into the underlying reasons is needed.

### Policy implications

- The identification of those factors responsible for most of the variation in mortality might help to target public health measures.
- Regional variation in mortality should be taken into account when developing national guidelines for the prevention of ischaemic heart disease.
the East has had decades of higher exposure to most known cardiovascular risk factors. A quantification of the contribution of individual risk factors might help to reduce the prominent East-West gap in the long term.7

Conclusion
Further research is needed to identify those factors responsible for most of the variation in mortality and to quantify their attributable risk. The results might help to target public health measures as well as to allocate healthcare resources more effectively.
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