Traditionally, necropsy findings were deemed the gold standard to evaluate the quality of cause of death statistics. However, because of the biased selection of necropsy cases and the decreasing necropsy rate, fewer and fewer evaluation studies have used necropsy findings as the standard. Another commonly used standard to evaluate the quality of death certification is the consensus of a panel of physicians reviewing all available information related to the deceased. Most of the studies using this method were the byproducts of large cohort studies or randomised clinical trials. These studies wanted to assure that the end point was not biased. The shortcomings of using physician review as the standard were time consuming, costly, not applicable in a large scale and routinely. As more and more disease specific registries and hospital medical records were computerised, more and more investigators began to use these datasets as the standard to evaluate the quality of death certification. The merits of this method were time saving, less costly, applicable in large scale and routinely. Several population based studies used computer linkage of cause of death file and hospital discharge file to compare the underlying cause of death (UC) and discharge diagnosis. Almost “every” deceased were medically attended in developed countries, these studies could thus evaluate the quality of “every” death certification. Johansson and Westerling attempted to develop a systematic and routine monitoring mechanism at the national level that can detect the poor death certification “before” the publication of mortality data. Unlike the previous post hoc studies, through this monitoring system, once the poor quality of death certification was identified, they could immediately query the certified to modify the death certification. Thus a better quality of cause of death statistics could be attained immediately after the evaluation.

One limitation of this method was that the definition of hospital discharge main diagnosis and the UC do not coincide. Johansson and Westerling further innovatively used ACME (Automatic Classification of Medical Entry) software to solve this limitation, which rendered the monitoring system more robust. Many people might not be very familiar with the ACME. In the following, I firstly introduce what ACME is and how it works. Then, I point out some limitations of ACME and the possibility of improvement.

**What is ACME?**

To improve the comparability of cause of death statistics among different countries, *International Selection Rules* for selecting the UC was set by World Health Organisation. Nevertheless, the rules leave room for interpretation, which resulted in differences in the selection of UC across countries. To tackle the problem of inconsistency among coders within and across countries, in the late 1960s and early 1970s the US National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) developed the ACME computer system to standardise the production mortality statistics. ACME uses information based on not only the *International Classification of Diseases* (ICD) codes for each reported condition on the death certificate, but also their actual location on the death certificate. The computer program then applies each international selection rule in sequence to these codes, resulting in a code for a temporary underlying cause (TUC). This TUC code is then subjected to each international modification rule in sequence, finally yielding assignment of a single UC code. The core of ACME is the *Decision Tables*, which provide specific relations between one code and another to establish whether the causal sequence is acceptable, highly improbable, or acceptable as a consequence of Rule 3, or whether other modification rules are needed. ACME has been used in many countries and broad adoption would certainly improve the comparability of mortality across countries. One important feature of ACME is that the logistics of selecting the UC for each death certificate could be visualised, which could be used for education and training purposes. The following three examples with different complexity in layouts of diagnoses on death certificates were used to illustrate how ACME processed.

**Example 1**

1. **Acute myocardiac infarction** (I219)
2. **Hypertension** (I10)
3. **Diabetes** (E149)

**ACME process messages of example 1**

01 Select Initial TUC = E149—General Principle
02 Is I10 due to E149? YES
03 Is I110 due to E149? YES
04 Select Initial TUC = E149—General Principle
05 ACME UC: E149

**Example 2**

1. **Congestive heart failure** (I509)
2. **Cerebral infarction** (I639), endocarditis (I38)
3. **Liver cirrhosis** (K746)
4. **Hypertension** (I10)

**ACME process messages of example 2**

01 I10/I639 I38/K746 I10* J449 C069
02 Is I509 due to I10? YES
03 Is I639 due to I10? YES
04 Is I38 due to I10? YES
05 Is K746 due to I10? NO
06 No TUC by General Principle—Apply Rule 1
07 Is I509 due to I639? YES
08 Is I639 due to K746? NO
09 Select TUC = I639—Rule 1
10 ACME UC: I639

**Example 3**

1. **Congestive heart failure** (I509)
2. **Cerebral infarction** (I639), endocarditis (I38)
3. **Liver cirrhosis** (K746)
4. **Hypertension** (I10)

**ACME process messages of example 3**

01 I10/I639 I38/K746 N19 E149/I10* J449 C069
02 Is I509 due to I10? YES
03 Is I639 due to I10? YES
04 Is I38 due to I10? YES
05 Is K746 due to I10? NO
06 No TUC by General Principle—Apply Rule 1
07 Is I509 due to I639? YES
08 Is I639 due to K746? NO
09 Is I639 due to N19? YES
10 Is N19 due to I10? YES
11 Select TUC = I10 - Rule 1
12 Linkage due to position condition I10 1509 1110 MAYBE
13 Linkage with mention of preference I10 1639 YES
14 Linkage due to position preference I10 138 YES
15 Linkage with mention of combination I10 N19 1120 YES
16 Is I509 due to I639? YES
17 Is I639 due to K746? NO
18 Is I639 due to N19? YES
19 Select TUC = N19—Rule 1
20 Select TUC = I110—Rule C linkage
21 ACME UC: I110
Limitations of ACMEl

Though ACM has been deemed as the de facto international standard for interpreting ICD selection rules, it is not without problems. First limitation was that there were many “MAYBE” causal relations in the decision tables, which led to manual assignments for the UC. Examples were listed as follow:

- Is K746 (liver cirrhosis) due to A419 (sepsis)? MAYBE
- Is K746 (liver cirrhosis) due to B169 (hepatitis B infection)? MAYBE
- Is I698 (sequels of stroke) due to E149 (diabetes)? MAYBE
- Is J449 (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) due to I64 (stroke)? MAYBE
- Is J189 (pneumonia) direct sequel of I509? MAYBE
- Is R54 (senility) and I509 (heart failure) combined as R54? MAYBE
- Is J189 (pneumonia) direct sequel of I509? MAYBE
- Is J189 (pneumonia) direct sequel of I509? MAYBE
- Is K746 (liver cirrhosis) due to B169 (hepatitis B infection)? MAYBE

Another limitation, ironically this is in fact the strength of ACM, was the rigid adherence to the selection rules that resulted in the over-coding of mechanism of death (MOD). The MOD is a physiological derangement or a biochemical disturbance produced by a cause of death. Examples include various arthropathies, renal failure, cardio pulmonary failure, sepsis, and hypovolaemic shock. The cause of death, on the other hand, is a distinct entity, and is aetiologically specific. Examples include cerebrovascular infarction, lung cancer, diabetes mellitus, and alcoholic liver cirrhosis. Because of their lack of aetiological specificity, MOD should not appear on death certificates. Moreover, because medical treatment is often aimed at modifying or ameliorating mechanisms rather than causes, thereby physicians still filled many MODs on death certificates. This poor certification behaviour was fueled by high frequency of incorrect layout of diagnoses on the death certificates. Previous studies revealed that it was very common for physicians to enter two or more diagnoses in the same line in death certificates.** Examples were:

- 1 (a) Uremia, diabetes
- 1 (a) Heart failure, liver cancer
- 1 (a) Hepatic failure, ischaemic heart disease

Another common certification error was the reverse layout of causal relations. For example, hypovolaemic shock (HS) was due to oesophageal varices bleeding (EVB) and EVB due to liver cirrhosis (LC). A correct layout should put HS in line (a), EVB in line (b), and LC in line (c), nevertheless it was not very uncommon that the certifier might put HS in line (c), EVB in line (b), and LC in line (a). Other examples were:

- 1 (a) Acute myocardial infarction
- (b) Pneumonia
- (c) Sepsis
- 1 (a) Stroke
- (b) Urinary tract infection
- (c) Sepsis

According to international selection rule 2 (for first three examples) and general principle (for last two examples), ACM would select MOD—that is, ureaemia, heart failure, hepatic failure, and sepsis as the UC for above examples. Most people will agree that these results were obviously not the original intents of the certifiers. MOD could not provide useful information for prevention.

Luckily many of the above mentioned problems might be handled by the Mortality Reference Group (MRG), which was set up by the World Health Organisation with the mandate to issue authoritative instructions on the interpretation of the ICD coding rules and guidelines. The NCHS have pledged themselves to implement the decision of the MRG in ACM decision tables. It is hoped that the modified Decision Tables will be more acceptable to people in most countries.
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Seasonality of live birth sex ratio in south western Siberia, Russia, 1959–2001

Seasonality of sex ratio of live births (SR: male births divided by total births) has been reported in Europe, North America, Brazil, and Australia. However, no uniform pattern is seen. Moreover, the magnitude of any observed seasonal variation varies from population to population with marked variation in Japan to minor variation in India to none in south western Finland, Scotland, Costa Rica, and Hausa, Africa. The population of Novosibirsk region was 2767938 in 1988. Siberian climate exhibits considerable seasonal temperature changes. In Novosibirsk over the period 1951–1980, the average difference in mean monthly air temperature between January (the coldest month, –18.8°C) and July (the warmest month, 19.0°C) was 37.8°C. We tested the null hypothesis that there is no seasonal variation in SR in Siberia. Records of live singleton births were obtained from the Novosibirsk Regional Committee for Statistics. Data by month were obtained for the years 1959–2001, excluding 1961, 1962, and 1988 because of missing data. Seasonal analysis was carried out by Edwards’ method. Our analysis was quarterly because of the comparatively small number of births. Linear regression analysis was performed to test for secular trend. A highly significant seasonal pattern was evident (θ=129°; p=0.001) with an amplitude of 1.2% of the observed over a peak to the trough of 23% (θ=29°) and a trough in the fourth quarter (fig 1).

Figure 1 Seasonality of sex ratio at birth in Novosibirsk region, Russia, 1959–2001. SR: male births divided by total births. Values are means and 95% confidence intervals.
Key points

- Male births in Siberia fall sharply in the last quarter of the year.
- This implies reduced male conceptions or reduction in survival of male conceptuses in the first quarter of the year.
- If this effect is temperature related, low temperatures may be implicated through unknown mechanisms.
- Industrialisation has not reduced male births in Siberia

A negative annual secular trend was found for the period 1971–1980 ($r=−0.84$, $p=0.002$), which was replaced by the positive trend during the period 1982–1993 ($r=0.78$, $p=0.004$). No difference in mean SRs for the entire period was found between urban (0.513) and rural (0.513) populations.

The decrease in male births in the last quarter equates to fewer male conceptions nine months previously—that is, in the first quarter. Climatic variations in west Siberia are extreme, with heavy snowfalls in winter. Thawing of snow requires considerable energy, therefore temperatures remain low in spring, and rise sharply from the second half of April. If the observed variation in SR is indeed temperature related, then it would seem that low temperatures either reduce male conceptions or, through unknown mechanisms, reduce the survival of male conceptuses.

Industrialisation has been blamed for declining SRs in industrialised countries over the past half century. In Siberia, a different pattern is evident in that SR fell and then rose with a turning point in the early 1980s.

Acknowledgement

We thank Mrs G Bessova, head of the Department of Novosibirsk Regional Committee for Statistics, for providing the raw data on births.

References


BOOK REVIEWS

Trauma, war and violence. Public mental health in socio-cultural context


This is a valuable contribution to the scant literature on organising effective public mental health programmes for traumatised refugee or war-torn populations. The book focuses specifically on the public health aspects of complex humanitarian and political emergencies.

The editor opens the book with a long chapter on public mental health, emphasising culturally appropriate models. Especially valuable is the section on the objectives and selection of priorities for training and mental health interventions, with both excellent theoretical and practical aspects.

Nine chapters then follow, describing programmes that are supported by the Transcultural Psychosocial Organisation (TPO), of which Joop de Jong is the director. Each chapter opens with a description of the history and culture of the country and the current problems, which often have received minimal attention by the media, Western populations, and others. The populations described have been chronically traumatised by war, torture, hunger, rape, displacement, and often, wholesale destruction of their society and culture.

The authors of each chapter describe their attempts to assess and improve the mental and medical health of refugee populations, with mixed or no support from local governments. How they use the local healers or other supports to build a network of interventions is a key element of each programme. Their frustrations and failures are also articulated.

The chapters vary in length and quantity. For example, “The Cambodian experience” is 64 pages long, and only the most dogged reader will persist to the end. Some of the chapters would have benefited by better editing by an English speaker.

In summary, the opening chapter of the book is an important contribution to the literature on public health and on traumatic stress. The chapters that follow will be of especial interest to those planning to set up similar programmes.

Elspeth Cameron Ritchie

LTC, MC, USA and Program Director, Mental Health Policy and Women’s Issues, OASD/HA

International co-operation in health


International co-operation in health focuses on transboundary public health issues and examines the influence of global factors on human health. Historically, the earliest examples arose from changes in the natural environment such as climate changes during the last ice age. Other global events are less obvious but also had important implications for public health; for example, war and civil disorder, or even genetic modified food on human health.

Discussing these global influences, authors of several chapters describe the formal organisations and alliances that are developing to fill the gap between the globalised world and local public health agencies. Suggestions for collaborative approaches, working on global changes coordinated through the UN Environmental programme, and the work of the WHO. Other suggestions focus more on regional (EU) surveillance and prevention networks, responding to challenges posed by infectious diseases.

We may question where the analysis takes us? Discussing these global factors, it becomes clear that globalisation is an extremely complex phenomenon. Although the effects on people may be clear, we still face many challenges when trying to understand the consequences. The concluding chapter revisits some of the threats that are posed to public health by globalisation and explores some of the opportunities it offers, in particular, how health professionals can come together to promote global public health. It looks at what health professionals can do to tackle these threats, highlighting principles for action that encourage and encourage policy makers to put in place international agreements, reducing or limiting the international threats posed to public health.

The editor opens the book with a long chapter on public mental health, emphasising culturally appropriate models. Especially valuable is the section on the objectives and selection of priorities for training and mental health interventions, with both excellent theoretical and practical aspects.

The authors of each chapter describe their attempts to assess and improve the mental and medical health of refugee populations, with mixed or no support from local governments. How they use the local healers or other supports to build a network of interventions is a key element of each programme. Their frustrations and failures are also articulated.

The chapters vary in length and quantity. For example, “The Cambodian experience” is 64 pages long, and only the most dogged reader will persist to the end. Some of the chapters would have benefited by better editing by an English speaker.

In summary, the opening chapter of the book is an important contribution to the literature on public health and on traumatic stress. The chapters that follow will be of especial interest to those planning to set up similar programmes.

Elspeth Cameron Ritchie

LTC, MC, USA and Program Director, Mental Health Policy and Women’s Issues, OASD/HA

International co-operation in health focuses on transboundary public health issues and ex-