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Perceptions of mosquito borne diseases

We report our findings from a community based study on Knowledge Attitude Practice of community on various vector (mosquito) borne diseases, conducted in 1998. The respondents (n=850) in a sample (systematic random) were chosen from the population of 10 000 of an urban locality in India. Knowledge of mosquito being the vector for dengue was very low (0.5%), as compared with malaria (61.1%). Concerning knowledge of breeding grounds for malaria mosquitoes; most identified chemicals (42.9%) whereas a few identified clean water or overhead tanks (10.9%) as a potential breeding ground. The respondents were asked what they could do to reduce or eliminate mosquitoes. Most identified chemical (56.8%) were of the attitude that mosquito control was the government’s responsibility, very few (8%) said that it was the responsibility of the people themselves. Widely used personal protection methods against mosquitoes were; repellents (64%) and bed nets (8.7%). Very few of the respondents (1.1%) suggested mosquito proof screen/mesh for windows and doors of houses.

Aedes aegypti mosquito (vector for dengue) breed in small artificial collections of water like unused tyres, flower pot bases, broken bottles, etc. It is disappointing that more than 99% of respondents in our study did not know the mode of transmission for dengue, when in fact, community participation is the only effective way to prevent dengue outbreaks in the community. Behavioural theory suggests that people will be willing to put more effort into avoiding dengue if the consequences for not controlling mosquitoes seemed greater.

Anopheles species of mosquitoes (vector for malaria) breed mainly in clean water in contrast with the most widely held perception that they breed in drains or dirty water. Some of these misperceptions have been important hurdles affecting community participation in malaria control.

Our findings highlight the importance and need for intensification of health education for vector control, particularly in tropical countries, with emphasis on community participation to control vector borne diseases.
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The health of nations: why inequality is harmful to your health?


It was only six years ago that Richard G Wilkinson published his path-breaking book Unhealthy societies. The afflictions of inequality, in which he argued that among developed countries it is not the richest societies that have the best health, but those that have the smallest income differences between rich and poor. Wilkinson’s pioneering ideas on the social and health consequences of inequality have clearly inspired the work of many health scientists and social policy analysts around the world ever since, including the work of Kawachi and Kennedy and their recent book, The health of nations.

In a skillfully crafted volume, the writers demonstrate how growing inequalities in the United States and elsewhere threaten various, highly valued freedoms that economic development and prosperity are supposed to bring about. Using examples mainly from the USA, the authors show chapter by chapter, the enormous social costs of growing economic inequalities and social injustices that continue to deny good health and adequate health care for many. Furthermore, inequalities continue to persist as obstacles to exercise democratic choice, undermine community and family life, and inspire those who are unable to attain the community standard of living to commit crime.

The health of the nations is an excellent book that deserves to be read by a broad readership and the authors are to be congratulated. The volume will hopefully serve as an important contribution to the ongoing public debate about the value of economic development that is unable to bring about social and distributive justice. The health of the nations is an essential and eye opening reading for everyone, especially for those, who still wrongly believe that inequality is the engine for growth, ensuring that the benefits automatically will trickle down to those at the bottom.
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Continuous Morbidity Registration sentinel stations. The Netherlands 2000


The purpose of the book is to present data gathered by 67 general practitioners (GPs) in a national network of 47 sentinel stations covering 1% of the Dutch population. Sentinel GPs submit a weekly form to report certain illnesses, occurrences, and procedures. The book describes topic conditions for the “weekly returns”, practice populations, methods, scale, and continuity of reporting. Appropriate feedback to those providing data is given though the audience targeted is not defined. Frequencies by sex, age group, season, province group, and degree of urbanisation are presented in clear figures and tables accompanied with summary text.

Seventy eight topics and 14 incidental studies have been presented since 1970. The weekly returns for 2000 include 14 topics and 3 incidental studies. Important findings on topics are: the mildest season with influenza-like illnesses since 1970; more new diabetes mellitus patients in rural areas; more urethritis in the male 65–74 year group; rise in “stomach flu” among 0–4 year olds; and GPs seem to perform less rectal touch examinations for prostate complaints, despite more GP requests for PSA tests no increase in suspected prostate cancer is observed.

In addition, the book shows Continuous Morbidity Registration (CMR) data on influenza and chickenpox collected for European projects, as well as 31 scientific reports, 38 papers in medical journals, and 3 dissertations mentioned or presented with abstracts. Sentinel surveillance data are extremely useful in obtaining, reinforcing of increasing commitment of politicians, the health sector and other key sectors to observe trends and to respond with prevention and control programmes. The book presents CMR as one of the projects in which information is collected on a continuous basis on problems and diseases submitted to the GP and action taken by the GP and is specifically of interest for primary healthcare research institutes and GPs involved in sentinel projects.
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