

PostScript

LETTERS

If you have a burning desire to respond to a paper published in *JECH*, why not make use of our "rapid response" option?

Log on to our web site (www.jech.com), find the paper that interests you, and send your response via email by clicking on the "eLetters" option in the box at the top right hand corner.

Providing it isn't libellous or obscene, it will be posted within seven days. You can retrieve it by clicking on "read eLetters" on our homepage.

The editors will decide as before whether to also publish it in a future paper issue.

Social epidemiology, intra-neighbourhood correlation, and generalised estimating equations

The recent editorial by Merlo¹ offers an interesting critique of the generalised estimating equations (GEE) analysis of a paper published in the same issue of the journal. In the editorial, the author notes that the paper's GEE analysis treats "the intra-neighbourhood correlation as a 'nuisance' that needs to be adjusted in the analysis but not explicitly investigated" (page 550).

The editorial then becomes a call for an alternative, more innovative approach in social epidemiology: "Estimation of the extent to which individuals within a given neighbourhood are correlated with one another in relation to health (that is, the concept of intra-neighbourhood correlation) has value in the context of ideas about the efficacy of focusing intervention on places instead of people" (page 551). The finale is a logical conclusion that studies of intra-neighbourhood correlation may "...present themselves as a new epidemiological approach that may prove very useful in social epidemiology" (page 551).

The author is apparently speaking of first order GEEs but the *JECH* readership may not appreciate that second order GEEs (GEE2) treat the intra-neighbourhood and inter-neighbourhood correlations into deliberate objects of study and estimation.² Although we ourselves deserve absolutely no credit for biostatistical innovations, the "alternating logistic regressions" (ALR) approach³ we use in our forthcoming article in this journal⁴ is a computationally efficient alternative to GEE2 in the case of a binary outcome. As such, it estimates the pairwise odds ratio, which quantifies the degree to which health

conditions, behaviours, or perceptions might cluster within neighbourhoods (or other nested structures of community life) to a degree other than one might expect if these health conditions, behaviours, or perceptions were distributed at random across neighbourhoods.

Because we believe our work is responsive to the author's call for a new approach in social epidemiology that measures intra-neighbourhood correlation, we would welcome an editorial comment on the potential value (and possible shortcomings) of the GEE/ALR approach we used in our forthcoming article in *JECH* on clustering of cocaine incidence in the United States. We hope you will concur that our application of the ALR approach is a step in the right direction for research on contextual influences and health.

K R Petronis

US DHHS, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Rockville, Maryland, USA

J C Anthony

The Johns Hopkins University, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Department of Mental Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

Correspondence to: Dr K R Petronis, US DHHS, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, 16-105 Rockville, MD, 20857, USA; kpetroni@samhsa.gov

References

- 1 Merlo J. Multilevel analytical approaches in social epidemiology: measures of health variation compared with traditional measures of association. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2003;57:550-2.
- 2 Liang K-Y, Zeger SL. Regression analysis for correlated data. *Annu Rev Pub Health* 1993;14:43-68.
- 3 Carey V, Zeger SL, Diggle P. Modelling multivariate binary data with alternating logistic regressions. *Biometrika* 1993;80:517-26.
- 4 Petronis KR, Anthony JC. A different kind of contextual effect: geographical clustering of cocaine incidence in the US. *J Epidemiol Community Health* (in press).

Author's reply

I have read with great interest the comments made by Petronis and Anthony on my editorial.¹ I have also read their forthcoming article,² and I believe they apply an analytical approach that seems to be, in my opinion, a step in the right direction for research on contextual influences and health that focus on investigation of clustering. I will be very pleased to write a more extensive comment and send it for consideration and possible publication in the journal.

Measuring clustering with the aim of obtaining substantive scientific information

is so far an uncommon approach in social epidemiology and most multilevel analyses have in fact been plain "contextual analysis"³ focused on measures of association. This seems to be true not only for studies using GEE techniques but also for studies using multilevel hierarchical regression. However, the original standpoint of multilevel hierarchical regression analyses is the investigation of complex patterns of variation⁴ rather than dealing with residual correlation.

Regarding the use of "GEE2" and measurement of clustering, the comment of Petronis and Anthony is certainly right.

From an epidemiological point of view the most interesting question is the conceptual rather than the mathematical approach used. I agree with Petronis and Anthony in their conceptual approach and I believe that they put context back in epidemiology using "GEE2" techniques.⁵ The pairwise odds ratio and other techniques for measuring neighbourhood heterogeneity and clustering like the median odds ratio and the interval odds ratio^{6,7} deserve more development and spreading.

J Merlo

Department of Community Medicine (Preventive Medicine), Lund University, Sweden; juan.merlo@smi.mah.se

References

- 1 Merlo J. Multilevel analytical approaches in social epidemiology: measures of health variation compared with traditional measures of association. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2003;57:550-2.
- 2 Petronis KR, Anthony JC. A different kind of contextual effect: geographical clustering of cocaine incidence in the US. *J Epidemiol Community Health* (in press).
- 3 Diez Roux AV. A glossary for multilevel analysis. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2002;56:588-94.
- 4 Goldstein H. *Multilevel statistical models*. London: Hodder Arnold, 2003.
- 5 Carey V, Zeger SL, Diggle P. Modelling multivariate binary data with alternating logistic regressions. *Biometrika* 1993;80:517-26.
- 6 Larsen K, Petersen JH, Budtz-Jorgensen E, et al. Interpreting parameters in the logistic regression model with random effects. *Biometrics* 2000;56:909-14.
- 7 Larsen K, Merlo J. *Appropriate assessment of neighborhood effects on individual health—integrating random and fixed effects in multilevel logistic regression*. Working paper 2003. (Available on request to the author).

CORRECTION

An editorial error occurred in this article by Kuh and colleagues (2003;57:778-83). In figure 1 the top of the figure should read Time [not Time (min)].