WILL THE SARS EPIDEMIC RECUR?

Host and environment are key factors

A Lee

On 5 July 2003, the WHO removed Taiwan from its list of areas with recent local transmission of SARS meaning that all known chains of person to person transmission of the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) virus have now been broken. However, the WHO executive director for communicable diseases advised that public health should not let down its guard, as more cases could still surface somewhere in the world. It is therefore an important public health issue whether the SARS epidemics will recur.

If you had a crystal ball to view the future, this question would be answered. We would make some prediction based on the epidemiological triangle (fig 1) recognising the three main factors—agent, environment, and host in the pathogenesis of disease. If we can control any two of the main factors, we would prevent the occurrence of a communicable diseases.

Coronavirus has been identified in playing an aetiological part of SARS. A lot of work has been done to understand the genome of the virus that would lead to development of vaccine and treatment, but time is needed for such development. To prevent the recurrence of epidemics we should look at the host and environmental factors.

The agent must be capable of infecting the host for infection to develop. This depends on whether the environment is favourable for its survival and transmission, and also the susceptibility of the host. The susceptibility of the host depends on its ability to fight off the infection, which can be a disease specific defence mechanism such as vaccine, or non-specific defence mechanism. The ability of non-defence mechanism to fight off infectious disease will depend on the host's general health status, nutritional status, age, coexisting chronic illness, etc. If you have a population that is healthy, fit, and well nourished, the chance of infection would be low.

Epidemics are an increase in the frequency of occurrence of a disease in a population above its baseline level for a specified period of time. To calculate this, estimate the basic reproductive number that is defined as the expected number of new infectious hosts that one infectious host will produce during the period of infectiousness in a population that is susceptible. It depends on number of contacts per unit time, transmission probability, and duration of infectiousness. Apart from infectivity of the agent and host suscept-

Virus pathogens suggest an autumn return

A S M Abdullah

SARS is one of the deadly new emerging infectious diseases identified in the 21st century. Since its emergence in November 2002, SARS has created public panic and raised many issues among healthcare workers and policy makers around the world. Although
healthcare communities together with public vigilance around the world seem to have halted the SARS outbreak, at least for the time being, the question remains to be answered is whether the infection will reappear? I believe if SARS follows the pattern of other respiratory viruses, it is probable that it will reappear next autumn during the influenza season. I have the following explanations in support of my opinion.

The causative agent of SARS is a novel coronavirus—a virus of the corona family. About one third of all common colds are caused by viruses from the same family and these show a winter and spring seasonality. The emergence of SARS outbreak in China and Hong Kong during the influenza season (December–March) suggests that possible common environmental factors may influence transmission. Some human pathogens such as influenza, measles, and rotavirus follow a cyclical pattern, waxing in colder and drier months and waning when weather turns warmer, which may also be the case for the SARS virus. While the importance of effective quarantine and preventive measures cannot be ignored, the decline in the SARS prevalence with increasingly warmer weather supports that seasonality may be a contributing factor. This may explain the limited spread of the SARS virus in the rural areas of Guangdong, which has only basic medical facilities and lower hygienic standard. Anecdotal reports suggest the source of the coronavirus remains to be confirmed, civet cats and other wild animals sold in food markets in southern China are believed to be the source. If confirmed the animals will be a reservoir in ready contact with humans that could initiate a second SARS epidemic.

Finally, whether or not SARS reappears, lessons learned from the recent outbreak such as greater vigilance about health and hygiene and the open sharing of medical information should be a norm in future. In the absence of any effective vaccine or treatment, the only way to combat SARS is to limit its spread. We should also be aware that if SARS does return in autumn its epidemiology could be different. In the recent outbreak, most of the SARS cases with the exception of Amoy Garden outbreak in Hong Kong were confined to the healthcare workers indicating limited community spread. Given the high case fatality rate, if the rate of transmission should increase in the community the consequences could be devastating.
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Identification and control are the priority

G Rezza

The global crisis brought about by the sudden appearance of the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) seems to be over. The rapid and efficient control measures triggered by the alert launched by the World Health Organisation (WHO) have lowered the coefficient of reproduction to below one in all of the outbreak areas, and as of this writing more than 20 days have passed since the last case of SARS was reported. None the less, we do not know whether or not SARS has been eradicated. It is possible that SARS-CoV continues to be transmitted at a low level among humans or that it persists in an animal reservoir or in another environmental source and that it could cause outbreaks once certain conditions are again met. This uncertainty derives from a lack of knowledge on several aspects of the disease, knowledge that could aid in defining the dynamics of the epidemic and in formulating projections. In particular, the following questions still need to be answered: (1) Is the occurrence of SARS seasonal?; (2) What is the clinical spectrum of SARS-CoV infection and for how long do patients who have recovered continue to excrete SARS-CoV in respiratory secretions or stool?; and (3) Does an animal cycle or an environmental source of infection exist?

As is the case with infections caused by other human coronaviruses, such as 229E, the occurrence of SARS could be seasonal, peaking in late winter. If so, then the interruption in the chain of transmission is only temporary, and the virus is still present in human beings. We know that other severe viral infections, such as Ebola and Lassa fever, can be asymptomatic, although persons with asymptomatic infection are not necessarily able to transmit the infection to other susceptible hosts. With regard to SARS, the few studies that have been conducted have shown no evidence of infection (that is, RNA sequences or seroconversion) among healthy contacts of SARS cases or other SARS-free people. To determine whether or not SARS-CoV can be excreted in the long term, possibly in faeces, we need data from the long term follow up of persons who have recovered, yet these data are not available. Moreover, misdiagnosis or under reporting, especially in cases of atypical or mild clinical presentation, may occur, and this could maintain the chain of transmission at an undetectable level.

As found with Ebola, it is possible that SARS-CoV continues to circulate in an animal reservoir and that under certain conditions it may again cross into the human population. A coronavirus similar to SARS-CoV but with an extra stretch of 29 nucleotide bases has been found in palm civets and a raccoon dog. However, as viruses tend not to gain stretches when they jump across species, it is very unlikely that SARS-CoV moves from humans back to animals and then back to humans. None the less, SARS-CoV or similar viruses might survive in another animal reservoir and cross the barrier again, yet we cannot predict when. Finally, whether other environmental reservoirs exist remains unknown.

With these caveats in mind, it can be said that predicting the recurrence of SARS is quite difficult. Our priority thus becomes that of strengthening the capacity to identify and control future outbreaks of the disease.
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Sporadic contact with unfamiliar source makes epidemic unlikely

T W Wong

Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) has caught the world off guard. The outbreak first started in November 2002 in Guangdong province of China and reached Hong Kong in February 2003. It then spread quickly through jet travel to various countries. After several months of menace to healthcare workers and the community, SARS died down as suddenly as it appeared, sparking a question whether it will return this winter or later. To help us make an “educated” guess, we have to consider several factors.

SEASONALITY

Many viral respiratory diseases demonstrate a distinct seasonal pattern. However, the underlying reasons for this seasonality have not been fully understood. Influenza peaks in the winter months in temperate countries, which has generated hypotheses ranging from closer indoor
Future outbreaks will be less dramatic

L Y Hsu, N I Paton

History is replete with accounts of novel viral epidemics. Many of these viruses, like the human immunodeficiency virus or influenza, have become endemic. Others, like Ebola or Sin Nombre viruses, cause outbreaks when conditions facilitating their transmission from animal to human hosts (still unknown in the case of Ebola) are met. Some epidemics, such as the one caused by SARS coronavirus, are new and may recur under conditions that have not yet been identified.

In the case of SARS, the virus was transmitted from animal to human hosts, probably through a natural reservoir such as the palm civet cat. However, the source of infection is still unknown, and it is possible that SARS will make a comeback, even in the absence of a subclinical carrier. Vigilant disease surveillance and rapid and effective public health response are vital in controlling SARS, both when it occurs and when it returns.
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Vigorous controls minimise risk

S H Lee

After a trying period of three months battling against the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) epidemic, Hong Kong was ultimately removed from the list of infected areas by the WHO on 23 June 2003. As we were struggling along our path against this epidemic, the disease unfolded its characteristics. It was a disease that would bring rapid progression to respiratory failure, it posed greatest threat to the health workers, the origin of its aetiological agent coronavirus was not firmly established, hypothesised to be of animal origin, there was no formalised standard way of treatment, no rapid method of diagnosis, and the disease was spreading rapidly internationally within Asia and to Europe and North America.

After introducing vigorous control measures and with the strong commitment of the government and the support of the healthcare workers and the community, assisted by experts from the WHO, the SARS epidemic in Hong Kong was brought under control after this hectic period of three months from March to June 2003.

After the victory over the epidemic, Hong Kong has quickly started its post-SARS activities to revivise its economy, to strengthen its surveillance measures both locally and regionally including the Pearl River Delta region in mainland China, step up research activities to establish the origin of the aetiological agent, and to develop vaccines and new rapid diagnostic methods.

Three committees have been set up by government to take up specific responsibilities after the SARS epidemic. One committee was responsible for the overall cleansing campaigns and environmental improvements of the housing estates in the city. The second committee was responsible for drawing up plans and programmes to revivise the economy of the city including tourism, trade, and employment. The third committee undertook to draw up strategies and plans to promote community involvement and partnership in improving the physical, social, and economic environments of the city.

In June 2003, the government announced that an expert committee would be set up to review the
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management of the recent SARS outbreak in Hong Kong. The objective of the committee was to identify lessons to be learnt, and to make recommendations on areas of improvements to better prepare the system for any future outbreaks. Members of the committee comprise both overseas and local experts. The committee started its work at the beginning of July 2003. It will submit its report to the government by September 2003. In the meantime, considerable amount of activities after the SARS epidemic have started. These include the introduction of a “Hygiene Charter” to promote personal and environmental hygiene in all settings such as schools, communities and workplaces, the mounting of city wide cleansing campaigns, joint meetings of public health workers from Hong Kong, Macau and Guangdong Province in mainland China to strengthen communication and expand the surveillance system on SARS and other major infectious diseases, and joint research activities on SARS between the academic institutions in Hong Kong and Guangzhou. Additional resources have been allocated by the government to promote research, to improve the ward conditions in hospitals including their isolation and ventilation facilities, to set aside specially designed bed facilities for the isolation and treatment of infectious disease patients, and to establish outbreak management plans in hospitals to deal with future outbreaks.

In the coming months, Hong Kong will continue case identifications through surveillance, to determine whether the infection is endemic or seasonal, or whether it has disappeared from human populations. It will continue collaboration with mainland China, particularly Guangdong Province in studies to identify animal reservoir and risk factors for transmission to humans. This measure is necessary to manage the risk and prevent future outbreaks. It will continue to participate in major WHO networks of global surveillance for influenza and other infectious diseases. This step is necessary in order to identify major emergence of new influenza strain or other infection of international importance.2

These activities could well demonstrate that Hong Kong will continue to maintain a high level of vigilance and preparedness to deal with another SARS epidemic should it occur in future. In the light of these observations, it is anticipated that in the coming autumn of 2003, Hong Kong is unlikely to face another SARS epidemic of such a magnitude as the last one. However, as respiratory illness is usually more prevalent in the cold season and bearing in mind the large volume of people travelling between Hong Kong and China, some sporadic cases of atypical pneumonia may occur, but it is not expected that another SARS outbreak will come again in such a short time.
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Avoid complacency—prepare for the worst

J J Y Sung

Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) is the first severe and readily transmissible disease that has emerged in the 21st century. It has a unique capacity of spreading quickly in hospitals and clinics affecting thousands of healthcare workers in a short period of time. The booming of international air travel in recent decades has also empowered the infection to be quickly spread across the continents. In March 2003, one infected tourist from China checked into a hotel in Hong Kong and spread the infection to eight countries within two weeks. By July 2003, 32 countries were involved and 8439 patients were infected around the world. Although the WHO has announced that the initial crisis is over, we should not be complacent. There is, in my personal view, a high possibility that the epidemic will come back during the winter. This prediction is based on three reasons. Firstly, despite much effort contributed by virologists and molecular biologists, we are still not sure of the source of the infection. Early reports suggested that SARS-CoV resembled the bovine coronavirus or mouse hepatitis virus. Yet, after the sequence of the whole viral genome was completed, it becomes clear that the SARS-CoV is a distinctly new pathogenic strain that does not arise from a simple recombination of known existing strains.3 But, we are still not sure where it comes from. Secondly, serological studies have reviewed that asymptomatic or subclinical infection of SARS-CoV is uncommon. We examined 674 healthcare workers at the Prince of Wales Hospital, where the first hospital outbreak occurred in Hong Kong, among them 43% had direct contact with SARS patients. None of them had IgG antibody to SARS-CoV.2 Similar studies conducted in China confirmed that asymptomatic carriers are few. This implies that immunity to SARS-CoV in the community will develop slowly. Thirdly, although RNA viruses are known to mutate more readily than DNA viruses, genomic studies of SARS-CoV including strains isolated from Singapore, Hong Kong, Guangdong, and Beijing showed a remarkable genetic conservation of the virus since the outbreak was first started in November 2002.3 Unlike most other infectious agents transmitted by direct person to person contact, the SARS-CoV is unlikely to mutate to a benign infection and attenuated symptoms. Without herd immunity and attenuation of the
Out of the woods or in the eye of the storm?

R A Chotani

As of 4 July 2003 there have been 8439 cumulative cases of SARS-CoV globally, which were identified in over 28 countries resulting in 812 deaths (a case fatality proportion of 9.6%). With the most recent date of onset of probable cases of SARS in Taiwan and Canada 16 and 19 days ago respectively, in four days’ time 20 days (two incubation periods) would have passed, the time required for the chain of human to human transmission to be considered broken. With the rapid decline in new probable cases there is jubilation that perhaps the scourge has been conquered. Fear prevails among researchers and scientists that the virus will persist in humanity indefinitely and will re-emerge with a vengeance during the winter of 2003.

The reason for this fear is valid and based upon past experiences with the influenza virus. Comparisons have been made between SARS and influenza, in particular the 1918–19 Spanish flu pandemic. The outbreak started in the winter of 1918 and fizzled off during the summer, re-emerging with a vengeance in 1919 resulting in millions of deaths globally. Eerie similarities exist between these two mutually exclusive viruses. The 1918–19 influenza strain, like the SARS-CoV, was highly transmissible, virulent, had moved from animals to humans, shared the same seasonal pattern, and the main source of transmission was through droplets. Moreover, there was no effective antiviral or vaccine. One of the advantages during the SARS-CoV epidemic was that the incidence of influenza globally was comparatively low, making it easier to identify SARS. The good news is that the virus is transmitted through large virus laden droplets (travel distance one to two metres) compared with influenza, which is lighter. The disease can be identified, the genetic code of the virus is being deciphered, and it is seasonal and stays quiet during summer, which provides the time to prepare.

Lessons learned from the SARS-CoV outbreak clearly demonstrate that delayed reporting of unusual disease events can lead to a global catastrophe; stringent infection control practices are the cornerstone for curtailing the spread of the disease; and cooperation, collaboration and a united front by the global public health communities works. Interestingly, quarantining possible cases was perhaps the most effective tool for curtailing this outbreak. Still, a lot of questions remain unanswered. The most significant is why has there been such a wide range in mortality among different countries? Why do certain people act as super-spreaders? The question of period of infectiousness, age distribution, and transmissibility through routes other than droplets remains to be thoroughly investigated. Moreover, risk of transmission in households, airplanes, and ships need to be evaluated. Furthermore, there is good possibility that the SARS-CoV has been circulating and exists among exotic animals in Chinese markets.

Thus, there is a strong possibility that the virus will re-emerge during the next winter season. The most critical measures for effectively combating SARS-CoV, would be for the global healthcare communities to come together by providing better surveillance, reporting and response capability; educating healthcare workers to identify the disease; developing rapid laboratory diagnostic tests; investing in hospital pandemic plans to control nosocomial transmission and housing of large number of possible cases; community preparedness plans; and vaccine and antiviral research and development. Moreover, strict measures need to be taken to control and contain the virus in the animal markets where they have been identified. Misdiagnosis of SARS cases still remains a critical issue if influenza incidence is higher during the next winter.

It remains to be seen if SARS-CoV will re-emerge in the winter of 2003 but it is better to be prepared.
Learn from influenza epidemiology

M Regan

The World Health Organisation announced in early July 2003 that there were then no countries where local transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) was continuing and that all known chains of person to person transmission have been broken. This had followed an intense period of international cooperation and aggressive public health measures since March to detect and control the spread of the disease. Altogether 8476 cases had been reported globally with 812 deaths. There had been some cautious optimism that such an outcome was possible even in the early phases of the outbreak and that SARS could be “driven back to nature”. The observed epidemiology of this newly emerged infection suggested high virulence, particularly in the elderly population, but low transmissibility within the exception of super spreading events in hospital and some community settings. Rapid detection and isolation of suspected cases formed the back bone of control measures put in place in those countries affected, coupled with the effective implementation of infection control measures in hospitals. We could however be merely in the eye of the storm as part of a cyclical perhaps seasonal trend in the incidence of epidemic SARS. What can we glean that may help us decide whether SARS has truly been eliminated from the human population? Has SARS merely temporarily receded into the background noise of the multitude of other respiratory infections that assail us?

We can perhaps learn from observation of the epidemiology of influenza. The influenza virus shows minor short term antigenic drift and major long term antigenic shift that are respectively typified by epidemics and pandemics. In temperate climates these short and long term cycles are overlaid on a background of distinct seasonal variation. In the northern hemisphere influenza appears to “fade out” in the early spring and summer only to return later in the autumn. Fade out is consistent with low level endemicity of the virus that falls below the threshold of detection of existing surveillance systems. A similar effect may be responsible for the current observed experience of SARS. It is known that the existing surveillance case definition for SARS has comparatively low sensitivity and consequently some cases will be missed. Until a more sensitive case definition can be agreed, supported by a specific and timely diagnostic test, a seasonal increase in SARS will not be easy to detect to focus infection control procedures and public health management. Furthermore, the animal reservoirs of SARS associated coronavirus remain and therefore the potential for the chain of events to re-occur that lead to outbreaks of SARS in China and elsewhere must be assumed to still exist.

On the balance of the current evidence it is possible that further cases of SARS will re-occur later this year riding on the tide of other seasonal respiratory viruses. How the next chapter on SARS will unfold will depend on effective targeting of improved surveillance programmes and rapid isolation of hospitalised cases. What is needed now is to translate the high level of international collaboration on research and control measures into developing more sophisticated integrated syndromic and virological surveillance systems for SARS to promptly detect and isolate suspected cases. Only then can we be sure of containing the public health and economic impact of this challenging newly emerged infection.
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