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Abstract
Background—Recent evidence from Eastern Europe of a positive association between alcohol and cardiovascular disease has challenged the prevailing view that drinking is cardioprotective. Consuming amounts of alcohol comparable to those consumed in France has been linked to detrimental cardiovascular effects. One possibility is that this could be related to the particular consequences of binge drinking, which is common in Russia.

Methods—A systematic review of literature on the relation between cardiovascular disease and heavy drinking and irregular (binge) drinking.

Results—Most existing reviews of the relation between alcohol and cardiovascular disease have examined the amount drunk per week or month and have not looked at the pattern of drinking. These have consistently shown that alcohol has a cardioprotective effect, even at high levels of consumption. In contrast, studies that have looked at pattern of drinking, either directly, or indirectly, using indicators such as frequency of hangovers or reports of the consequences of drunkenness, have consistently found an increased risk of cardiovascular death, particularly sudden death. A separate review of the physiological basis for a difference between regular heavy drinking and heavy binge drinking demonstrates that the two types of drinking have quite different effects.

Conclusion—An association between binge drinking and cardiovascular death meets the standard criteria for causality. It is important that future studies of alcohol related harm examine the pattern of drinking as well as the amount drunk.

(J Epidemiol Community Health 2000;54:328–332)

Events in the Soviet Union in the 1980s have questioned the conventional wisdom in the West about the cardioprotective effect of alcohol consumption.2 This view is exemplified by the statement in the recent WHO/World Bank report on the global burden of disease that “alcohol is cardio-protective at all levels of consumption”.1 In 1985, Russian life expectancy at birth increased by two years within a single calendar year attributable, largely, to a fall in cardiovascular deaths, coinciding with the anti-alcohol campaign instituted by Secretary General Gorbachev. At this time,1 and subsequently,8,9 there has been a close temporal and geographical association between trends in deaths from cardiovascular disease and from causes traditionally associated with alcohol consumption. Further questions emerge from Russia10 and in Poland11 that have found high rates of cardiovascular death in young men without many traditional risk factors but who were heavy drinkers. However, any suggestion that alcohol could be playing a part seems perplexing, given that France has the lowest death rate from cardiovascular disease in Europe despite official figures suggesting that the amount of alcohol drunk is similar to that in Russia.9

In this paper, we argue that this apparent paradox can be explained by the effects of different patterns of drinking. There are important cultural differences between countries that influence how alcohol is consumed. In Mediterranean countries, alcohol is typically consumed as wine with meals, whereas in Russia it is much more likely to be drunk as spirits, in binges.12 A clue that this might be important is the observation that, in Moscow, there is a significant increase in sudden cardiac death (death within one hour of onset of symptoms) at weekends, most marked among young and early middle aged men,10 which is markedly similar to the pattern of deaths from alcohol poisoning and from accidents and violence.

Methods
A systematic review of relevant published literature was undertaken, based on a search of MEDLINE (years 1966–97) and EMBASE (years 1980–97), follow up of cited references, and information provided by researchers active in alcohol and cardiovascular research. The detailed search strategy is described in a separate report, in which full details of the studies included are given.12 The key words used included alcohol, drinking, beer, wine, spirits, mortality, death, coronary heart disease. MeSH terms included “alcoholism”, and “death,-sudden,- cardiac”, although binge drinking lacks a clear definition in the epidemiological literature, elsewhere it is defined as “indulging in uncontrolled eating or drinking”.12 It involves episodic drinking to a level usually sufficient to cause inebriation, although quantification is difficult because inebriation reflects factors such as acquired tolerance and, inevitably, such drinking takes place in circumstances where measurement is imprecise and recall problematic. For the present purposes, a loose definition is necessary, encompassing episodic drinking of large amounts of alcohol, often manifest by effects such as drunkenness, hangovers, difficulties with work, and involvement of the police or other authorities. A better definition is, however, required.
Results

The relation between alcohol and cardiovascular disease has been examined by several reviewers, taking both narrative and systematic formats. Although some of these narrative reviews have discussed the potential impact of pattern,14,15 all have categorised exposure in terms of average weekly consumption (sometimes given as a daily equivalent). These studies have focused, almost entirely, on the debate about a cardioprotective effect of moderate drinking.16–28 This theme has also been investigated in the systematic reviews,29–31 although, again, the potential importance of pattern is identified, although not investigated.32

The studies that have examined the association between alcohol and cardiovascular disease have consistently reported a protective effect of moderate alcohol consumption, extending up to at least 80 g/day. There are, however, two exceptions, both of which are particularly relevant to the question under consideration. The only study that has looked specifically at Russia33 failed to find a significant protective effect, after adjustment for the risk profile of the abstainers. The second study that failed to find a protective effect was of the sub-set of subjects in the Nurses’ Health Study34 who were in the lowest quartile in terms of folate intake. This finding is especially interesting given that the traditional Russian diet is likely to be low in folate.

To explore the issue of binge drinking further, it is necessary to examine in detail those few studies that have examined pattern of drinking or, in the absence of direct measures of quantity and frequency, those that use proxy measures, such as drunkenness, hangovers or a history of problem drinking.

Cohort studies (see Table 1)

Kauhanen et al19 found that middle aged Finnish men having at least one hangover a month had 2.4 times the risk of cardiovascular death, after adjusting for age and total alcohol intake, than those experiencing fewer hangovers.

Kozarevic et al34 determined the drinking habits of more than 10 000 Bosnian and Croatian men using an alcohol history questionnaire. While drinking was associated with a reduced risk of myocardial infarction and non‐sudden cardiovascular death, there was no protective effect for sudden cardiovascular death with a suggestion that the risk increases in the highest consumption category. Of men dying from cardiovascular disease, the more recently a man had been drunk the more likely it was that the death would be sudden. This relation remained after adjustment for factors such as age, blood pressure, smoking, and cholesterol.

Rosnow and Amundsen39 followed up more than 40 000 Norwegian conscripts for 40 years. Alcohol abusers had 2.5 times the risk of cardiovascular death than non‐abusers. Before the age of 40, the relative risk was 1.3, between the ages of 40 and 49 it was 2.6, and between ages 50 to 59 it increased to 2.8.

Dyer et al,37 in the People’s Gas Company study in Chicago, defined “problem drinkers” as men unable to perform their jobs properly because of chronic problems with alcohol, men with a history of coming to work intoxicated and men suspended from work at some time for alcohol abuse. After 15 years of follow up, alcohol abusers had a 5.2 times increased risk for coronary heart disease mortality, after adjustment for age. The comparable figure for sudden death was 6.5. The significant relation still existed after further adjustments.

Poikolainen40 used information provided in 1953 by 2073 Finnish men on drinking habits including frequency, intoxication, hangovers, hangover drinking (morning drinks) and blackouts. After a 12 year follow up, compared with never being intoxicated during the year, those intoxicated once a week (in the upper social group only) had a 2.5 times increased risk of cardiovascular death. Those with weekly hangovers compared with those never experiencing hangovers had twice the risk of cardiovascular death.

Table 1  Cohort studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Length of follow up (y)</th>
<th>Adjustments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kauhanen J, et al 1997</td>
<td>Kuopio town and rural communities, Finland</td>
<td>Non‐abstinent men aged 40–60 years</td>
<td>2 160</td>
<td>6.7 (mean)</td>
<td>Age and total alcohol consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kozarevic D, et al 1982</td>
<td>Bosnia and Croatia</td>
<td>Men aged 35–62</td>
<td>11 034</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Smoking, blood pressure, age, education, serum cholesterol, liver size, platelet index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosnow I and Amundsen A 1997</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Male conscripts aged 18–19 years</td>
<td>41 399</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>No adjustment for confounding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyer AR, et al 1977</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>White male industrial workers aged 40–55 years with no CHD</td>
<td>1 233</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Age, diastolic blood pressure, serum cholesterol, smoking, weight, heart rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poikolainen K, et al 1983</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Random stratified sample of males who purchase alcohol</td>
<td>2 076</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Age and marital status</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
men registered with the Swedish Temperance Board were more than twice as likely to experience sudden cardiac death. Sexton et al. found that 14% of Tasmanian men experiencing sudden cardiac death drank more than 56 drinks per week compared with only 1% of matched controls selected from the electoral roll. McElduff and Dobson found that, after adjusting for age, smoking, high blood pressure, cholesterol and several other risk factors, men who drank nine or more drinks a day on one or two days a week had 2.6 times the risk of a major coronary event compared with nondrinkers and who had never been moderate to heavy drinkers. Additional case-control studies are given in the full report.  

Importantly, there is a clear physiological basis for the apparent difference between the cardiovascular effects of regular drinking and episodic binge drinking. These have been reviewed in detail elsewhere but, in brief, the two types of drinking have different effects on lipids, clotting, and cardiac muscle. Binge drinking, in animal models, is associated with both a failure to produce the cardioprotective changes in high density lipoprotein that are seen with regular moderate consumption as well as with an increase of atherogenic low density lipoproteins, which is not seen with regular moderate drinking. Episodic binge drinking is associated with an increased risk of thrombosis, occurring after cessation of drinking, in contrast with the reduced risk of thrombosis associated with regular moderate drinking. Episodic binge drinking predisposes to histological changes in the myocardium and conducting system that increase the risk of arrhythmias. Finally, binge drinking reduces the threshold for ventricular fibrillation.  

Discussion  
The starting point of this paper was an attempt to reconcile the apparent contradiction between research, largely undertaken in Western countries characterised by a largely moderate and regular pattern of alcohol consumption, which has been interpreted as showing that “alcohol is cardio-protective at all levels of consumption” and evidence from Eastern Europe, where drinking in binges is much more common, which suggests that alcohol has been an important factor in the high rates of cardiovascular death experienced in these countries. We have concluded that there is considerable evidence to identify the pattern of drinking as the explanation, with a growing volume of research, from both cohort and case-control studies, that “problem-drinkers”, whom it is plausible to assume contain many binge drinkers, are at greater risk of cardiovascular death. It is noteworthy that many of these studies are from Scandinavia, where the pattern of drinking resembles that in Russia. Finally, there is also evidence that alcohol is specifically associated with arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death. We suggest that this holds true for both men and women but our findings are hampered by the lack of data on women. Possible interactions with social class, age and environ-
drinking is beneficial for coronary heart disease in this region, in the absence of further research on the interaction between nutrition and drinking. A better understanding of this relation and of the micronutrient status of the population of central and eastern Europe is a priority for research.

Secondly, it is likely that some deaths associated with alcohol are actually attributable to drinking contaminated products. White has catalogued a series of examples of people drinking mixtures containing methanol, ether, and a diverse variety of other solvents. However, it is not possible to quantify this contribution or how consumption of adulterated products has changed over time and, given the evidence of this review, it is not necessary to invoke it as a major cause of the Russian mortality crisis. Furthermore, there is a danger that a focus on illicit, contaminated alcohol will detract from the need to promote sensible consumption of legal alcohol products.

The conclusion that the association between binge drinking and cardiac death is likely to be causal has wider implications. For many years Ledermann's theory on the distribution of drinking within a population was widely accepted. In brief, this stated that, within a population, knowledge of the mean level of alcohol consumption was sufficient to describe the distribution of those drinking at different levels. It has subsequently been argued that this is incorrect so that those seeking to assess the harm arising from alcohol in a particular society must determine the actual distribution of levels of drinking. The evidence reviewed here emphasises the additional importance of determining the pattern of drinking. A recent study of the epidemiology of cirrhosis, which showed the importance of episodic drinking other than with meals also indicates that the pattern of drinking may be important for other aspects of alcohol related damage to health. It also has important implications for policy makers in the west, especially those seeking to promote “sensible drinking”. It is essential that they present clearly the message that, while regular moderate drinking (defined as 2–3 units for women and 3–4 units for men per day by the UK Government) may be good for your heart, the same total weekly amount drunk irregularly, in binges, may have the opposite effect. This is especially important as those most likely to drink in binges are often clustered on the margins of society. This message should also take account of the increasing frequency of binge drinking among women in some societies.

It has not been possible, on the basis of the evidence available, to estimate the extent to which the burden of cardiovascular disease in central and eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union is attributable to alcohol. This review has shown that existing models of attribution are likely to be of limited validity and that this topic requires further research. On the basis of the observed relation between mortality from directly alcohol related and cardiovascular disease since the mid-1980s, it is likely to be substantial.
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Addendum

The finding that there is a significant increase in sudden cardiac deaths at weekends has subsequently been replicated in Scotland, where the effect was seen only in those dying suddenly, who had no previous hospital admissions for heart disease.
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