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A positive association between black smoke and total mortality has been reported in Barcelona, Spain. A feature of the data was sudden spikes in the mortality data resulting from influenza epidemics. Modelling approaches for weather and methods for removing seasonal or other long wave patterns have been studied, but not different methods for modelling influenza epidemics. In previous work influenza epidemics were controlled for by means of only one dummy variable, “1” denoting the occurrence of an epidemic and “0” otherwise. Using this approach we cannot control for the possible variability in total daily mortality within influenza epidemic periods between years. Other authors have suggested using quantitative information about influenza epidemics, say the daily number of cases of influenza. Our objective is to conduct a sensitivity analysis examining the effect of different methods of modelling influenza epidemics on the estimated association between black smoke and total mortality in Barcelona, in the period 1991–1995.

Methods

The mortality register supplied total daily mortality. Daily number of cases of influenza, and information about influenza epidemics was provided by the epidemiological surveillance system of the Barcelona City Council. A system of monitoring daily numbers of deaths has been shown to be useful in detecting a suspected epidemic of influenza by analysing the mortality data as a univariate time series. However, definition of an influenza epidemic is different for each year, and also had different period lengths: in 1991/92 there was no epidemic period; in 1992/93 the period was from 31 January to 6 March 1993 (66 days); in 1993/94 from 5 December 1993 to 15 January 1994 (42 days); in 1994/1995 there was no epidemic period; and in late 1995 there was a period from 3 to 31 December (29 days). Fitting a single dummy variable for influenza in previous studies we found that it was the most significant coefficient in the Poisson model. However, it is possible that only one dummy variable is insufficient to model the variability in daily deaths attributable to influenza. To control it, influenza epidemics were also modelled under two alternative approaches: using three dummies, one for each epidemic period, and including the daily number of cases of influenza for every day in the study period.

Following the APHEA protocol, Poisson regression was used to model the daily count of deaths on air pollution, after control for weather, long term trend and season. We assess the goodness of fit looking for the model that minimises the Akaike’s Information Criteria (AIC). The analyses were carried out using Stata 5.0.

Results

Table 1 shows three different ways of controlling for influenza epidemics in the relation between total mortality and black smoke. The

Table 1  Adjusted coefficients (β) and standard error (SE) of total daily mortality related black smoke. Data from Barcelona, period 1991–1995

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modelling influenza with a single dummy variable for influenza epidemics</th>
<th>Modelling influenza with three dummy variables for the different influenza epidemics</th>
<th>Modelling influenza with the daily number of cases of influenza for every day in the study period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black smoke (×10^10)</td>
<td>6.17 (2.38) 0.010</td>
<td>6.79 (2.39) 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza epidemic, all period</td>
<td>0.0848 (0.0162) &lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.0326 (0.0224) 0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza epidemic 1992/93</td>
<td>0.0848 (0.0162) &lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.0326 (0.0224) 0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degrees of freedom</td>
<td>1725</td>
<td>1723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviance</td>
<td>1912.4</td>
<td>1900.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean deviance</td>
<td>1.108</td>
<td>1.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC</td>
<td>11753.6</td>
<td>11745.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
coefficient for black smoke changed above 10% when influenza was modelled by the daily number of cases, instead of dummy variables identifying epidemic periods, although standard errors were very close, and the coefficient was still statistically significant. Influenza coefficients are always statistically significant, but when three dummies are included in the model, influenza epidemic variables for each year have a different effect on total mortality, and are non-statistically significant for 1992/93 epidemic period. Using daily number of cases to control for the occurrence epidemics we have obtained a better fit to the data, in terms of a lower mean deviance and a lower AIC.

Discussion
Results obtained suggest that controlling epidemics by using the daily number of cases of influenza is more informative than using dummy variables. The residual autocorrelation structure is the same whether influenza is modelled by daily number of cases or by dummy variables. This suggests that the process for modelling epidemics may not be very sensitive to epidemic intensity. Although the magnitude of the effect of the black smoke is changed, the association with total mortality is not modified. However, changes in the black smoke coefficient, but not in the standard error, suggest that relevant explanatory variables have been mismodeled using a single dummy variable.

These findings could be extended to other pollutants and other causes. However, different models including different confounding variables, give different estimates of the effect of air pollutants on health. A study in the Netherlands showed the disappearance of the association attributable to sulphur dioxides after an appropriate adjustment for temperature. The interpretation of such models needs care, so that it is important to state clearly in the report how the confounding variables have been modelled.
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