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Abstract

Study objective—To identify the main determinants of self assessed health among community dwelling elderly.

Participants and setting—A representative sample of 677 people aged 65 and over of the city of Madrid, Spain.

Design—Cross sectional study. Information was collected through personal interviews at the homes of the selected subjects. The five category dependent variable was grouped into two categories: good and poor self assessed health. Age, sex, social class, use of physician services, number of chronic conditions, and functional capacity, were included as main explanatory factors. Adjusted odds ratios were estimated through multiple logistic regression models.

Main results—A total of 49.5% of subjects rated their health as good or very good. Those aged 85 and over rated their health higher than those aged 65–74 (adjusted odds ratio (OR): 0.37; 95% confidence intervals (CI): 0.18, 0.77). The adjusted OR for an increase of three chronic conditions was 3.48 (95% CI: 2.49, 4.85). Functional capacity also showed a strong independent effect (OR: 3.64; 95% CI: 1.89, 7.02). Social class was one of the main determinants for the youngest group, with those in the upper class reporting a better health perception (OR: 3.28 95% CI: 1.70, 6.35), but showed no effect in the oldest old (OR: 1.05; 95% CI: 0.57, 1.96).

Conclusions—Age, chronic conditions, and functional status were the main determinants of perceived health among Spanish elderly. The effect of social class on perceived health markedly decreases with age. This study may contribute to a better utilisation and interpretation of self ratings in research and in general practice.

(J Epidemiol Community Health 1999;53:412–416)

In some instances self assessed health forms part of the main hypotheses but this is not the case in the majority of papers in which it appears, regardless of the specific focus of study. Self assessed health is being used in an ever increasing number of papers as a variable of adjustment, or is simply included as a covariate in a routine fashion, like age or sex. This being so, the complex field of study into its determinants calls for further in depth research.

With regard to the determinants of self assessed health among the elderly, the only factors that have shown a consistent association in most of the elderly populations studied are chronic conditions and functional status. Other factors such as age, sex, social class, marital status, and lifestyle habits, have been studied with very heterogeneous results in different countries, suggesting that the explanation for these differences may depend on cross cultural factors.

The main objective of this study is to identify the principal determinants of self assessed health among a representative sample of non-institutionalised elderly people in the Madrid metropolitan area, Spain. There is a substantial body of research on aging showing that old persons are a very heterogeneous population. More specifically, there is extensive evidence showing important differences by age and sex. Thus, we also carried out a separate analysis of the pattern of determinants by age group and by sex.

Methods

This study uses data from a survey conducted in the period May 1994–March 1995. The sampling frame comprised all non-institutionalised persons aged 65 or older who were registered on the Madrid Municipal Roll. A sample of 1001 people was obtained. Random sampling was carried out with proportional stratification by sex and municipal district. To ensure that the sample contained a sufficient number of persons from the oldest age groups, non-proportional age stratification, with three equal sized strata were selected (65–74, 75–84, and 85 and over).

A structured questionnaire was used to collect information. Interviews were conducted at the homes of selected subjects, by adequately trained social workers. For the purposes of the analyses presented here, subjects on whom information had been gathered via proxies were excluded. The main variables covered by this study are described in greater detail below.
SELF ASSESSED HEALTH

This variable was evaluated by the question: In general terms, How would you describe your health: very good, good, fair, poor or very poor? A card, supplied to interviewers showing the alternatives, was used to facilitate the reply process. No health related questions that could influence the response choice were made before asking people to rate their health (the preceding questions were: age, marital status, living arrangements and family members).

CHRONIC CONDITIONS

Subjects were asked whether they suffered from any of the following chronic conditions: osteoarthritis or rheumatism, bronchitis or asthma, deafness, diabetes, prostate disorders, high blood pressure, heart diseases, nervous problems or depression, cataracts, other eye-sight related problems, varicose veins in the legs, difficulty holding urine, hip fracture, stroke, and cancer. In case of a positive answer interviewers were encouraged to obtain from the respondents a confirmation that the doctor had said that they suffered from this specific condition.

PHYSICIAN CONTACT

Subjects were asked whether they had contacted a physician in the previous two weeks.

SOCIAL CLASS

An adapted version of the British occupation-based social class classification was used in conjunction with the 1979 Spanish National Classification of Occupations.15 In this study, subjects were classified as manual or non-manual workers.

FUNCTIONAL STATUS

Subjects were asked as to the degree of difficulty experienced in performing the following basic activities of daily living (ADL) for themselves: eating, going to the toilet, bathing or showering, dressing/undressing, standing up/sitting down, walking, going outdoors, shaving/brushing and combing their hair, and use of stairs. In this study, subjects were classified into the following three mutually exclusive categories: (1) Able in all ADL; (2) Able with difficulty in at least one ADL; and (3) Unable in at least one ADL.

Because of the sampling format used, a weighting variable was created (see appendix). All analyses used these weights to re-establish proportionality of age except in those cases where results are shown as stratified by age group.

One main logistic regression model was constructed in which the five categories of the dependent variable were grouped to two: (1) Self assessed good health (the result of combining the “very good” and “good” categories); (2) Self assessed poor health (the result of combining the “fair”, “poor” and “very poor” categories). The following independent variables were included in the model: sex, age (three groups), physician contact, social class, number of chronic conditions (as a continuous variable) and functional status. We further explored the impact on the main model coefficients of some other variables potentially related with self perceived health such as smoking status, alcohol intake, body mass index (BMI), any drug consumption in the previous two weeks, hospital admission in the previous year and living arrangements (with others/alone). To evaluate potential differences by age and sex, multiple logistic regression models were computed separately for each age group and sex category, including the main variables.

Pearson’s $\chi^2$ test was used for comparison of proportions and the $t$ test for comparison of means. All p values are two tailed. Analyses were run using the SPSS/PC+ 4.0 statistical software package.

Results

Of the initial sample of 1001 people, 196 refused to participate and 33 could not be located or failed to provide reliable information. The study sample comprised 772 people, with an overall response rate of 79.8%. In this study, we excluded 95 interviews answered by proxies, making the final number of subjects studied 677.

Table 1 shows certain sample characteristics. The mean (SD) age was 74.0 (6.73). A total of 49.5% of the population rated their health status as “good” or “very good”. The proportion of women who lived alone was notably higher than that of men (23.9% v 5.2%, p<0.001). Use of physician services was also higher among women than among men (40.5% v 32.8%, p=0.042). Prevalence of functional impairment in one or more basic ADL was higher in women than in men (16.9% v 6.8%, p<0.001). A high prevalence of chronic conditions was observed: 81.5% of subjects stated that they suffered from two or more chronic conditions. The mean (SD) number of chronic conditions was 3.3 (1.9), being 3.5 (1.9) for women and 2.9 (1.9) for men (p<0.001).

Table 2 presents the results of the logistic regression analysis in which all the main variables were included. The number of chronic conditions and functional status were strongly associated with self assessed health.
Those over the age of 85 perceived their health as being appreciably better than did younger participants, once the main variables had been controlled for (odds ratio (OR): 0.37). On additional adjustment being made for nervous problems and depression, only a slight dilution of the previous effects was observed, except for the case of physician contact, which showed a stronger effect.

We further explored the impact exerted in this main model by other variables: smoking status, body mass index (BMI), drug consumption in the previous two weeks, hospital admission in the previous year and living arrangements. None of these variables substantially modified the estimators of the main model except BMI: a clear improvement in the better self-perception of the oldest group with respect to the youngest was obtained when BMI was included (the previous OR of 0.43 changed to 0.25; 95% CI: 0.10, 0.56).

Table 3 shows the results of the analysis stratified by age group. In general, the effect exerted by the main variables on health perception tends to decrease markedly as age increases. The effect of social class diminishes in importance with age, proving one of the major determinants of health perception in the youngest but showing no effect in the oldest group. A similar pattern can be noticed with respect to physician contact. For the oldest age group, the determinants of self rated health were basically limited to the number of chronic conditions and ADL disability. In this age group, difficulty in any ADL seemed to have an impact exerted in this main model by other variables: smoking status, body mass index (BMI), drug consumption in the previous two weeks, hospital admission in the previous year and living arrangements. None of these variables substantially modified the estimators of the main model except BMI: a clear improvement in the better self-perception of the oldest group with respect to the youngest was obtained when BMI was included (the previous OR of 0.43 changed to 0.25; 95% CI: 0.10, 0.56).
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Determinants of self assessed health

with an adjusted OR of 3.48 for an increase of three chronic conditions. A high prevalence of
impairment in unaided performance of one or
more ADL was also observed (13.0 %). This
variable was another of the principal determin-
ants of self assessed health, particularly in the
middle age group (adjusted OR: 5.68).

Our study showed that women had a worse
perception of their health than men, a finding
that has been reported in a number of studies,
both in Spain and elsewhere. Women, not only suffered from a greater number of
chronic conditions and disabilities, but also
tended to experience more nervous disorders
and depressions. In our study, we observed that
this effect was considerably reduced (OR: 1.17) when adjustment was made for these
variables and for others, such as age, social
class, and use of medical services. Indeed, in
the 85 and over age group the effect became
inverted, with women having better perception
(OR: 0.66). There are also studies that showed
no meaningful differences or even a poorer
perception by men. As for the remaining sex
related determinants in our study, the only
aspect worthy of note was the relatively greater
effect of the physician contact variable for
women.

With respect to age, the crude results showed
no meaningful differences in self assessed
health except for a slightly more pessimistic
outlook in the middle compared with the
youngest age group. However, when the effect
of the remaining variables was controlled for,
an important change was observed: self percep-
tion of health was clearly better among the old-
est compared with the youngest group (OR:
0.37). However, we have found that this effect
is only applicable to women (table 4). Although
the magnitude of this effect is very high in our
population, this same phenomenon has been
observed in other studies and seems to respond
to a process of adaptation to, or acceptance of,
comorbidity and disabilities as normal in the aging process. In a study with
longitudinal data, Idler has shown that, in
addition to this mechanism, two other explana-
tions seem to apply: (1) a cohort effect, in that
differences are not attributable to age changes
but to different life experiences of the cohorts,
and (2) a selective survivorship: those with
poor health perceptions and greater risk of
mortality leave the cohort.

Examination of the pattern of determinants
in each age group brings to light a number of
noteworthy facts (table 3). The effect of social
class is markedly modified by age—that is,
whereas social class is an important determi-
ant among the youngest group, no such social
class related differences are observable among
the oldest group. To our knowledge no other
study has run a comparable analysis against
which this finding could be compared. Again it
is also possible a cohort effect as an explana-
tion of this finding, possibly involving meaningful
differences in levels of education among
cohorts. It should be noted that for all variables
studied, the estimates are compatible with a
pattern of dilution of effect with advancing age,
with the exception of functional status that
showed the strongest effect in the middle age
group.

As regards social class (measured here by
occupation), a considerable effect was ob-
served. Lower class persons tended to have a
worse perception of their health (adjusted OR:
1.98). This effect was not explained by possible
differences in lifestyle variables like alcohol
consumption, tobacco use, body mass index,
and consumption of medicines. We believe that
differences in the actual state of health between
manual and non-manual workers are well con-
trolled by the variables included in the model,
but some degree of residual confounding is also
possible. The association between self per-
ceived poor health and social class has been
observed in other studies independently of the
variable used, be it occupation or income level
or education.

Another leading determinant of self assessed
health is use of physician services. Inclusion of
this variable was considered important as a
potential confounder, as it allows to control for
several factors linked both to current objective
health and to symptoms and minor ailments
but, because of the cross sectional nature of the
study, the interpretation of the estimates is
complicated. In fact, there may be a two way
effect, in that poor perception of health may
lead to use of medical services or, conversely,
recent use of medical services may induce to
make a worse assessment. Studies reporting
results adjusted for functional capacity also
found a strong association.

Certain considerations should be borne in
mind when interpreting these results. Firstly, it
should be pointed out that the estimates might
be affected by a certain degree of residual con-
 founding, because of the failure to include a
given variable. Some measure of disease sever-
ity would have improved the adjustment.
Another variable that could arguably have cer-
tain weight is the functional capacity in Instru-
mental Activities of Daily Living. This variable
could not be included in this study because of
the high number of missing values. Even so, we
believe that the effects found are strong enough
to be explained by the lack of inclusion of this
or other variables. A further consideration is
that all the information was gathered on a self
reporting basis and, though there is evidence of
this method's reliability as compared with
physician-based assessments, it could give
rise to a certain degree of overestimation as
regards the real effect of morbidity or disability
on perceived health.

To conclude, the general pattern of determin-
ants of self assessed health observed in Span-
ish elderly people diverges little from that
observed in other elderly populations with
apparent cultural differences. The most nota-
ble differences are linked to the surprising vari-
ety of age related effects, particularly those
related with social class. Our study confirms
the growing body of evidence pointing to the
fact that the oldest old are a subgroup that
behaves very differently and that any purported
study into perception of health within this
group ought to adopt a suitably modified
approach. Finally it should be remembered that results must be generalised to populations that can make a self assessment of their health. These populations are healthier than the total elderly population, because of the exclusion of proxies. These differences may become substantial in the case of the oldest old, a group with a high proportion of proxy interviews.

Appendix

For each age group (65–74, 75–84, 85+) weights for statistical analysis were computed by using the following formula:

\[
w_i = \frac{t/T}{n_i/N_i}
\]

Where:

- \(w_i\): Weight for \(i^{th}\) age group
- \(t\): Total number of subjects in the sample
- \(T\): Total number of the population
- \(n_i\): Number of subjects in \(i^{th}\) age group in the sample
- \(N_i\): Number of subjects in \(i^{th}\) age group in the population
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