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Abstract

Study objective—To analyse the relation between self reported hazardous drinking on the one hand and high sickness absence and/or disability pensions in both sexes on the other hand.

Design—The study is based on data from a health survey, Stockholm Health of the Population Study, conducted in 1984. The mailed questionnaire covered alcohol consumption. Three different measures of alcohol habits were used: usual alcohol consumption, consumption during the previous week, and answers to the four CAGE questions on problem drinking. Information from the health survey and data from a subsequent health examination were related to information from the National Swedish Social Insurance Board for the year 1984 and the years 1986 to 1991 concerning sick leave and disability pensioning.

Setting—Four primary health care districts in Stockholm County.

Participants—The study group included persons who were aged 20 to 52 years in 1984, who answered the questionnaire (by mail or by telephone), and who participated in the health examination. The study group comprised 985 women and 870 men fulfilling the criteria for inclusion out of 6217 subjects aged 18 years and over randomly drawn.

Main results—In both sexes, a consistent pattern of increased sickness absence was seen for high consumers and for those with indications of problem drinking. In most comparisons, a clearly increased relative risk, although not always statistically significant, for an average of at least 60 sick days per year or for a disability pension during follow up was found. In multivariate analysis, controlling for age, socioeconomic group, smoking habits, and self reported health, a small reduction in the relative risks was found, suggesting that these factors could explain only a small part of the relative risks. The risks for abstainers were higher than for low and moderate consumers.

Conclusions—The effects of alcohol on subsequent high levels of sickness absence five to seven years after baseline as well as on the occurrence of disability pensions suggested that there is an effect on working incapacity independent of baseline health status, smoking, and socioeconomic group.

Methods

THE 1984 STOCKHOLM HEALTH OF THE POPULATION STUDY

The 1984–85 Stockholm Health of the Population Study (HPS) was used as baseline material and included both a questionnaire and a health examination. The questionnaire survey was carried out in the spring of 1984, and the health examination started a few months later and went on for about six months. The study was carried out in four of the 42 primary health care districts in Stockholm County. A random sample of 6217 subjects aged 18 and over was
drawn from these areas. The main objective of the study was to broaden the scope for health care planning.21

A postal questionnaire was used to elicit responses on social conditions, diseases and impairment, use of outpatient health care, use of medical drugs, behavioural risks, physical activity, dietary habits, working conditions, social networks, social support, opinions on local primary health care, and alcohol consumption. Where possible, a telephone interview was conducted with those not replying to the questionnaire. In addition to the questionnaire, questions on alcohol habits were included in a health examination conducted some months later by local district nurses.

STUDY POPULATION
Because of our specific objectives, we included only those who were between 20 and 52 years of age in 1984. At that time (up until October 1991), anyone aged 60 or above may well have been granted an early retirement because of shortage of work. Early retirement for medical reasons was also easier to obtain for those 60 or older. Furthermore, the study includes only people who were financially qualified to receive sickness benefits from the social insurance office; no sick days were registered for people without this qualification.

To obtain a population that could be followed up for the entire period of seven years, and that could be said to be largely fit for work from the outset of the study, the following categories were excluded: those who died during follow up, disability pensioners in 1984, and those claiming 90 or more days of sickness allowance during 1984.

The analyses were done for those who both answered the questionnaire (by mail or by telephone) and who participated in the health examination. After our exclusions, the study population consisted of 985 women and 870 men.

To assess how well the results could be generalised, additional analyses were done on separate participation subgroups.

INFORMATION ON SICK BENEFITS AND DISABILITY PENSIONING
For the years studied (1984–1991), all spells qualifying for sickness benefits (see below) were compensated by the social insurance office. Information concerning sickness absenteeism, disability pensions, and income qualifications for sickness benefits was obtained from the National Swedish Social Insurance Board, with the aid of the Swedish personal identification number, during 1994. Data for 1984 were obtained at the end of the survey in 1984. As information on sickness benefits is stored for only 10 years and as considerable changes were made in the sickness insurance system in 1992, the follow up data concern 1986 up until 1992. Our main interest was the influence of alcohol habits on very high sickness absence, irrespective of the distribution of absences or of the kind of compensation for loss of income from the social insurance system. Therefore, we thought it most meaningful to concentrate on the total number of disabled days (sick days) per person during a certain period. In addition, there is evidence that measuring the total days of sickness absence discriminates more consistently between high and light/moderate consumers of alcohol.10–13

Absence during part of the day was converted to parts of a whole day.

Sickness spells lasting more than seven days have to be certified by a physician. There was no upper limit for the duration of sickness allowance. All Swedish inhabitants with a minimum annual income of 6000 Swedish Kr are included in the insurance for sickness allowance from the age of 16 years.

Disability pension, as laid down by Swedish law, may be granted to a person between 16 and 65 years of age whose working capacity is judged to be permanently diminished because of illness or other physical or mental impairment. A temporary disability pension is granted, however, if a person’s working capacity is judged to be reduced for a considerable time, but not permanently; such a pension is generally reviewed every year. There are no income criteria for getting a disability pension. In practice, it is unusual for a person with a temporary disability pension to return to work.

Persons who became disability pensioners during follow up were considered to have 365 sick days per year from the year when the disability pension was granted (or 180 days per year for half a disability pension).

The terms “sickness absence,” “sick days,” and “sickness allowance” are used interchangeably in this paper to mean absence from work reported to, and compensated by, the social insurance office as sickness allowance or occupational benefits. In addition, in this context, days compensated for by disability pensions or temporary disability pensions are included in the concept of sickness allowance. In some analyses, we also handled disability pensioning specifically. The term “disability pension” also includes temporary disability pensions.

High sickness absenteeism was defined as an average number of at least 60 sick days per year during follow up.

MEASURES OF ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION AND OTHER INDICATORS OF HAZARDOUS DRINKING
Alcohol consumption
Usual alcohol consumption was obtained from the postal questionnaire and from the telephone interview. Questions with fixed reply alternatives concerned the usual consumption of liquor, fortified wine, table wine, strong beer, and ordinary beer, and one further question enquired about occasions of high alcohol intake. Alcohol consumption during the past week was obtained from the questions asked during the health examination. If the participants had drunk alcohol during the previous week, they were asked about their consumption of each alcoholic beverage between Monday and Thursday and between Friday and Sunday. Consumption was converted to grams of 100% ethanol (100 g of alcohol correspond to approximately 30 cl of spirits, 120 cl of table wine, or 220 cl of strong beer). The cut off
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DATA ANALYSIS

Bivariate analyses were made using 2 × 2
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was estimated with 95% confidence intervals.

The multivariate analyses were then made by

logistic regression. The models we used

controlled for potentially confounding vari-

ables, such as age, smoking, socioeconomic

group, and self rated health. Values for these

variables were obtained from the postal ques-

tionnaire in 1984. The results are presented as

relative risks (RR) with 95% confidence inter-

vals.

Results

NUMBER OF SICK DAYS AMONG DIFFERENT

PARTICIPATION GROUPS IN THE 1984 STOCKHOLM

HEALTH OF THE POPULATION STUDY (HPS)

The mean number of sick days listed in 1984

for those who participated only in the telephone

interview or in the health examination, sickness

absence during follow up was considerably

higher for both men and women (see table 1).

NUMBER OF SICK DAYS RELATED TO THE

DIFFERENT INDICATORS OF ALCOHOL

CONSUMPTION

Reported alcohol consumption

Among all groups of participants, the average

sick absenteeism per year during follow up was

approximately doubled. The relation of alcohol

consumption to sickness absence appears to be

J shaped. Men, however, who reported at the

health examination that they had abstained

from drinking alcohol during the previous week

had higher sickness absence both at baseline

and during follow up than did men who were

high consumers during the same period (see

table 2).

According to the questionnaire, men with

high consumption took an average of 28.5 sick

days during follow up, whereas women with

high consumption averaged 30.6. Low con-

suming men had 14.2 and low consuming

women 22.2 sick days. According to answers

reported at the health examination (consump-

tion previous week) high consuming men had

20.8 and high consuming women 35.5 sick

days. The corresponding figures for low

consumers were 12.3 and 21.6 for men and

women, respectively (see table 2).

CAGE QUESTIONS

Both men and women who answered positively

to two or more of the CAGE questions had

higher sickness absenteeism in 1984 than did

those with one or no positive answer. During

follow up there were pronounced differences

in the median number of days with sickness

allowances. There was a large increase in sick-

ness absence in men and in women (n=6) with

three or four positive answers (see table 2).

RISK OF HIGH LEVELS OF SICKNESS

ABSENCE/DISABILITY PENSION

Higher age, daily smoking, low socioeconomic

group, and self rated rather bad–very bad

health were consistently all predictors of high

sickness absence/disability pension in women.

In men no such consistent risk pattern (with

statistically significant increased relative risks)

was found (see table 3).

The relative risks were increased for almost

all of the various indicators of hazardous

point for high alcohol consumption was set at

35 g per day for men and 25 g for women, con-

sistent with recent recommendations.22 23

35 g per day for men and 25 g for women, con-

Sick days were distributed between the four

categories shown in table 1.

The four CAGE questions were included in the

health examination interview.24 A positive

answer to two or more of these was taken to

indicate problem drinking.

DATA ANALYSIS

All analyses were stratified for sex. For most

analyses, the average number of sick days in

1984 and/or the average number of sick days

per calendar year during follow up from 1986

to 1991 was used. We used the mean as the

average number of sick days as it is the

prevalent measure of sick leave. As the

distribution of sick days was skewed, we also

present the results as the median in the

descriptive analysis (see table 2).

In men no such consistent risk pattern (with

statistically significant increased relative risks)

was found (see table 3).

The four CAGE questions were included in the

health examination interview.24 A positive

answer to two or more of these was taken to

indicate problem drinking.

As the

Bivariate analyses were made using 2 × 2

contingency tables in which the relative risk

was estimated with 95% confidence intervals.

The multivariate analyses were then made by

logistic regression. The models we used

controlled for potentially confounding vari-

ables, such as age, smoking, socioeconomic

group, and self rated health. Values for these

variables were obtained from the postal ques-

tionnaire in 1984. The results are presented as

relative risks (RR) with 95% confidence inter-

vals.

Results

NUMBER OF SICK DAYS AMONG DIFFERENT

PARTICIPATION GROUPS IN THE 1984 STOCKHOLM

HEALTH OF THE POPULATION STUDY (HPS)

The mean number of sick days listed in 1984

for those who participated in both the

questionnaire/telephone interview and the

health examination was 10.3 days for men and

13.4 days for women, respectively (see table 2).

Table 1 Mean number of days with sick

allowance in 1984 and the mean number of days

with sick allowance/year during follow up from

1984 to 1991. Persons with more than 90 days of

sick leave and persons who were disability

pensioners in 1984 are excluded (1809 men and

1737 women are included)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Women</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>84</td>
<td>86–91</td>
<td></td>
<td>84</td>
<td>86–91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants in both the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>questionnaire/telephone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interview and the health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>examination 52.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation only in the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>questionnaire survey 18.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>participation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=879)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation only in the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>telephone interview 6.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>participation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=350)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation only in the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>health examination 5.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>participation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=132)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No participation at all</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(16.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In men no such consistent risk pattern (with

statistically significant increased relative risks)

was found (see table 3).
and persons who were disability pensioners in 1984 are excluded (870 men and 985 women are included).

Table 3: Relative risks among men and women for high levels of sickness absence and disability pensioning at the end of follow up according to age, smoking, self rated health and socioeconomic group at baseline. Bivariate relative risks with 95% confidence intervals. Persons with more than 90 sick days per year were exclusion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Men 60 days/year</th>
<th>Disability pension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RR (95% CI)</td>
<td>RR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–35</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36–52</td>
<td>1.39 (0.84, 2.28)</td>
<td>3.40 (0.94, 12.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.74 (1.07, 2.85)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.29, 2.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self rated health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very good/neither good nor bad</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rather bad/very bad</td>
<td>1.17 (0.30, 4.51)</td>
<td>2.77 (0.38, 20.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-manual employees, lower level</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual workers</td>
<td>1.24 (0.67, 2.30)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.23, 3.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-manual employees, medium and higher level</td>
<td>0.18 (0.06, 0.54)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.06, 2.09)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The relative risks were similar to the risks for those participating in both the questionnaire and the health examination. This indicates that the results also are valid for the rest of the participants.
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Table 4  Relative risks among men and women for high levels of sickness absence and disability pensioning at the end of follow up according to three different indicators of hazardous drinking of alcohol. Bivariate and multivariate† relative risks with 95% confidence intervals. Persons with more than 90 sick days and persons who were disability pensioners in 1984 are excluded (870 men and 985 women are included)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 60 days/year</td>
<td>Disability pension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
<td>RR multi (95% CI)</td>
<td>RR univ (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usual consumption</td>
<td>2.2 (1.1, 4.4)</td>
<td>4.1 (0.9, 18.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low consumption*</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate consumption*</td>
<td>1.1 (0.6, 2.2)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.2, 7.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High consumption*</td>
<td>3.7 (1.8, 7.6)</td>
<td>5.6 (1.2, 27.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health examination</td>
<td>2.9 (1.4, 5.4)</td>
<td>6.8 (1.1, 40.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstainers</td>
<td>3.0 (1.6, 5.6)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.6, 8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low consumption*</td>
<td>2.5 (1.3, 4.4)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.4, 7.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate consumption*</td>
<td>1.4 (0.6, 3.1)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.4, 7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High consumption*</td>
<td>2.5 (1.1, 5.7)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.4, 9.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAGE</td>
<td>2.0 (0.8, 4.3)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.1, 9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–1 positive answers</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 positive answers</td>
<td>0.3 (0.0, 1.6)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.4, 3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–4 positive answers</td>
<td>0.2 (0.0, 1.6)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.4, 3.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Definitions in grams of 100% alcohol/day as in table 2. †Model adjusted for age, socioeconomic group, smoking habits, and self rated health.

Discussion

MAIN FINDINGS ON ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION AND SICKNESS ALLOWANCE

In this group of young to middle aged men and women, which from the baseline of the study excluded disability pensioners and persons with extremely high sickness absence, there was, in both sexes, a consistent pattern of increased sickness absence for high consumers and for those with indications of problem drinking. In most comparisons, a clearly increased sickness absence for high consumers/problem drinkers may therefore be responsible for this difference. The abstainers presumably are influenced by these great changes. But it is not possible to assess in which direction the relative risks are influenced. These great fluctuations in compensated sickness absence also merits interest as an illustration of the effect of structural factors on sick leave.

Besides the overall increase in sick leave during follow up, the exclusions made, and the aging of the study population, presumably contributes to the great overall increase in sick days during follow up.

CHANGES IN RULES AND REGULATIONS DURING THE FOLLOW UP PERIOD

During follow up the annual sick leave rate in the whole of Sweden was increased from 18.8 days per sick leave insured in 1984 to 25.3 days per insured in 1988 and 22.5 days in 1991. The period coincided with some important improvements in the Swedish sickness insurance system. Thus, in 1987, the qualifying day was taken away. Most insured persons, suffered no income loss because of sickness because many of those employed received financial top up from their employers. The follow up also coincides with a period of high employment rates and low unemployment rates. In 1990, 81.7% of the women and 86.0% of the men between the ages of 16 and 64 were members of the labour force; the unemployment was 3.1% in 1984 and less than 2% during the follow up period. In March 1991 and in 1993, these rules were changed in a restrictive way. The sick leave rates were strongly reduced. At the same time there were dramatic changes in the labour market. In less than a year the high employment rates were followed by the highest unemployment rates in Sweden since the post-war period. The results of this study presumably are influenced by these great changes. But it is not possible to assess in which direction the relative risks are influenced. These great fluctuations in compensated sickness absence also merits interest as an illustration of the effect of structural factors on sick leave.

In addition, among moderate consumers, a tendency toward increased sickness absence and very high sickness absence/disability pension was found, compared with levels found in low consumers. As in some other studies, risks for abstainers were found to be increased over those for low and moderate consumers. Presumably, causes other than potentially beneficial effects of alcohol are responsible for this difference. The abstainers probably constitute a heterogeneous group, differing in other aspects from low and moderate consumers. In addition, subgroups of non-consumers abstain because of earlier high consumption or because of poor health. Recovered alcoholics are known to have greater absenteeism than controls do.
ACCURACY OF THE VARIABLES

Underreporting of alcohol habits is common. The reliability of the alcohol consumption measures are more thoroughly described in an earlier report on the HPS study.29 Under-reporting leads to underestimation of the relative risks for increased sickness absence and disability pensioning for high consumers/probem drinkers.

Another plausible cause of systematic error is non-participation. Earlier reports from Sweden show that alcohol problems, as stated in social registers and/or from hospital records, are more common among non-respondents than among respondents.30–32 One report based on the HPS study found a higher percentage of inpatients with alcohol related diseases among non-participants.32 In the same way, high consumers with alcohol related consequences among patients in the primary health care and social registers and/or from hospital records, show that alcohol problems, as stated in social registers and/or from hospital records, are more common among non-participants than among respondents.30–32 One report based on the HPS study found a higher percentage of inpatients with alcohol related diseases among non-participants.32 In the same way, high consumers with alcohol related consequences among patients in the primary health care and social registers and/or from hospital records, show that alcohol problems, as stated in social registers and/or from hospital records, are more common among non-participants than among respondents.

The dependent variable, namely, information from the National Swedish Social Insurance Board on sick leave and disability pensioning, can be regarded as reliable. Indirectly, however, there might be a systematic error as people with no or very few registered sick days may include people who are in fact not present in the labour market, even though they qualify for sickness benefits (such as students and parents on parental leave). This kind of misclassification of sick leave in which people are in fact sick but are not listed as being on sick leave is probably the same for abstainers, moderate drinkers, and higher consumers and therefore will not influence the relative risk figures. People who can be off from work without being listed on sick leave (private entrepreneurs and high level employees) will cause another kind of misclassification. This problem probably affects all studies on sick leave because higher socioeconomic groups and entrepreneurs have more opportunities to control their own work and to be off work without registering. In addition, this type of misclassification is only a problem if you want to study the effect of hazardous drinking on absence from work, irrespective of whether the incapacity is compensated with sick allowance. Thus, being listed as sick is not a perfect measure of absence from work because of sickness. However, as our focus has been on very high sickness absence, the effect of this problem ought to be minimised because the possibility of being off work without being listed as sick for other than short periods would be very small.

PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS

The study shows that at a group level, alcohol habits have a great effect on sickness absence. A natural preventive consequence, aside from preventive efforts to reduce the alcohol intake of the general population, is to consider alcohol habits in all health examinations, as well as among patients in the primary health care and occupational health services. In this way, hazardous drinking habits could be identified at an early stage in many more subjects than is done today. Primarily, preventive efforts could be established through small and relatively low cost interventions. A review of brief interventions for alcohol problems made by Bien et al indicates that such interventions are more effective than no counselling at all and that the course of harmful alcohol use can be effectively altered through relatively brief contacts.33 In Sweden, Kristenson’s study in Malmö has shown that small efforts among middle aged men could give very good results with respect to sickness absence, hospitalisation, and mortality.34 However, a change in attitudes is necessary to change the course of harmful alcohol use on a broad scale. By pretending that the problem does not exist, supervisors in both the medical care and social welfare systems display the attitude that hazardous drinking is so worthy of blame that we cannot even talk about it. Without a strong wish, combined with empathy, to identify high consumers among the general population or in a certain context, professionals in both the medical care and social welfare systems will continue to pay attention to the consequences of hazardous drinking habits rather than to alcohol habits in themselves. If, on the other hand, hazardous alcohol consumption is considered at an early stage, appropriate treatment and rehabilitation measures will be performed at an earlier stage, increasing the chances of recovering and avoiding lifelong exclusion from working life.

Key Points

- Self reported high alcohol consumption in a health survey is a predictor of a subsequent increase in days of sick leave and of disability pensioning among both men and women.
- In all health examinations, as well as among patients in the primary health care and occupational health services, preventive efforts should be targeted against alcohol habits.
- Rehabilitation efforts among people with high sickness absence should take their alcohol habits into consideration.
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