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Abstract

Objective—The aim of this study was to evaluate the influence on preterm delivery of changes in putative genetic and environmental risk factors between two consecutive births. Low social status is a suspected risk indicator of preterm delivery, but the impact of social mobility has not been studied before.

Participants—The study uses national cohorts in which women act as their own controls. Subjects were identified by means of registries: 10 455 women who gave birth to a preterm child and had a subsequent live birth between 1980 and 1992 and 9849 women who gave birth to a child after 37 completed weeks of gestation and had a subsequent live born child in the same time period formed the cohorts.

Methods—The risk of having a premature infant in the subsequent pregnancy was analysed in each cohort as a function of changes in male partner, residency, occupation, and social status between the two pregnancies.

Results—There was a strong tendency to repeat a preterm delivery (18% v 6% in the general population). Social decline was associated with a moderate increase in the recurrence risk (OR: 1.22; 95% CI: 1.02, 1.47). In the reference cohort the risk of preterm delivery associated with changing from a rural to an urban municipality was 2.03 (95% CI: 1.14, 3.64).

Conclusions—Social decline and moving to an urban municipality may be associated with preterm delivery.

(J Epidemiol Community Health 1999;53:20–23)

Preterm delivery is one of the major determinants of perinatal mortality in industrialised countries, it is frequent and has, in most cases, an unknown aetiology. In Denmark the incidence is approximately 6%. The best established risk factor is a previous preterm birth, and each previous preterm delivery adds to the risk of a new event, which points towards causes that are present over a long time span or exposures with early onset and a longlasting effect, like environmental exposures related to residency, genetic factors or occupational exposures.

Gestational age correlates within the same mother but not over generations, unlike birth weight, which speaks against additive genetic determinants but in favour of non-genetic determinants or non-additive genetic effects.

Genetic factors may, however, operate only through the maternal side. Previous spontaneous abortions, pre-existing induced abortions, social factors, stress, race, infections, and several gynaecological conditions have been associated with preterm birth, though there is no general consensus on some of these factors.

A possible explanation for the association between spontaneous abortions and preterm delivery may be a high degree of HLA sharing between the partners, which is one of the hypotheses for recurrent spontaneous abortions. A similar hypothesis has been put forward for growth retardation. If the degree of HLA sharing plays a part in preterm birth, we would expect a decrease in recurrence of preterm delivery in mothers who change the male partner after having had a preterm infant. Changing residency or lifestyle is a life event that may cause stress and that may indicate changes in environmental factors of potential importance to the outcome of preterm delivery.

The aim of this study was to assess the risk of repeating a preterm delivery according to changes in the male partner or changes in social or environmental factors between the two pregnancies.

Methods

The data for this study were obtained from The Danish Medical Birth Registry and Statistics Denmark. The Medical Birth Registry has registered all births in Denmark since 1973. From this source, 23 580 new borns were identified as preterm deliveries in the time period from 1980 to 1992 and they represented all registered preterm deliveries by mothers who had at least two pregnancies.

The Fertility Database links population-based registries on births, abortions, education, employment, and income. It includes information on all in the fertile age starting from the 1942 birth cohort.

Since 1968 all residents in Denmark are given a unique identification number (CPR), which was used to link data from the Medical Birth Registry to the Fertility Database, which provided information on parity of the mother, the biological father of each infant, and on occupational status at 1 January of the year in which the child was born.

From the 46 920 pregnancies from mothers who had one or more preterm delivery we excluded all records with missing gestational...
Table 1  Characteristics of the study base and changes of potential determinants between the two pregnancies. Livebirths, Denmark, 1980–92

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Exposed cohort</th>
<th>Unexposed cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preterm delivery</td>
<td>1879 (18.0%)</td>
<td>332 (3.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner status: changed</td>
<td>1113 (10.6%)</td>
<td>837 (8.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown for any of the two births</td>
<td>367 (3.5%)</td>
<td>198 (2.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of municipality between the two pregnancies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not changed</td>
<td>8359 (80.0%)</td>
<td>7858 (79.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban-urban</td>
<td>1425 (13.6%)</td>
<td>1391 (14.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural-rural</td>
<td>78 (0.7%)</td>
<td>70 (0.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban-rural</td>
<td>337 (3.2%)</td>
<td>323 (3.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural-urban</td>
<td>256 (2.4%)</td>
<td>207 (2.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changed occupation</td>
<td>4011 (38.4%)</td>
<td>3750 (38.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social mobility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change</td>
<td>7611 (72.8%)</td>
<td>7028 (71.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downward</td>
<td>915 (8.8%)</td>
<td>752 (7.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upward</td>
<td>1929 (18.5%)</td>
<td>2069 (21.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social status at index child</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>3266 (31.2%)</td>
<td>2662 (27.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>4607 (44.1%)</td>
<td>4291 (43.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>2082 (20.7%)</td>
<td>2597 (26.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of the mother</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>276 (2.7%)</td>
<td>171 (1.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–25</td>
<td>2832 (27.1%)</td>
<td>2302 (23.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26–30</td>
<td>4360 (41.7%)</td>
<td>4471 (45.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–35</td>
<td>2295 (22.0%)</td>
<td>2330 (23.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;35</td>
<td>600 (6.6%)</td>
<td>575 (5.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10455</td>
<td>9849 (98.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Exposed cohort: women whose index child was born after less than 37 completed weeks gestation.
† Unexposed cohort: women whose index child was born after 37 or more completed weeks gestation.

Results

The proportion of preterm birth in the outcome pregnancy was 18% in the exposed cohort and 3.4% in the unexposed cohort. Couples in the exposed cohort were of lower social status, younger, changed partner more often, and improved social status less often (table 1).

Table 2 shows the adjusted odds ratios (OR) for preterm birth according to changes in partner, municipality, occupation, and social status between the two pregnancies. In the exposed cohort the only factor that was moderately associated with preterm delivery was decline of social status between the two pregnancies. The risk was present in couples who moved from middle to low social level between the two pregnancies. Social decline of the mother, rather than of the couple, was associated with an increased risk. The OR was 1.26 (95% CI: 1.05, 1.51) for mother driven downward social mobility and 0.96 (95% CI: 0.95, 1.08) for father driven social decline.

In the unexposed cohort moving from a rural to an urban municipality was associated with an increased risk of preterm delivery.
Mothers with parity two at the outcome child had a similar OR associated with social decline (OR: 1.24; 95% CI: 1.01, 1.53; n=7983), while women with higher parity had an OR in the same direction, but lower (OR= 1.12; 95% CI: 0.75, 1.67; n=2421).

In the unexposed cohort, the risk associated with changing to an urban municipality was confined to women with parity higher than two (OR: 3.19; 95% CI: 1.08, 9.41) and change of partner in this group was also associated with high risk (OR: 2.01; 95% CI: 1.04, 3.87). The total number of women in this subgroup was 1456, of whom only 65 had a preterm delivery (4.5%).

When the analysis was restricted to women whose LMPs in both pregnancies were reported as certain, we observed a stronger association between downward social mobility and preterm delivery in the exposed cohort (OR: 1.38; 95% CI: 1.09, 1.74; n=6131), as compared with the analysis in Table 2. No increase in risk was seen for very preterm delivery (<34 completed weeks). The outcome pregnancies in the exposed cohort that had a gestational age lower than 34 weeks were 5.6% versus 0.9% in the unexposed cohort.

The mean differences in gestational age between the pregnancy under study and the index pregnancy were computed according to the length of the previous pregnancy and partner status for the unexposed cohort. Table 3 shows the expected regression towards the mean and no substantial differences according to change of partner was found. A higher correlation between the length of gestation in the two pregnancies was, however, seen for stable couples than for women who changed their partners between the two births (0.26 (n=8814) and 0.19 (n=837), respectively).

**Discussion**

The rationale behind the applied design is derived from the component causal model. By focusing on a pregnancy outcome driven cohort where all were, or had been, exposed to a sufficient set of causes of preterm delivery at enrolment, the risk of recurrence was estimated as a function of changes of potential determinants between two pregnancies. A cohort of mothers with no preterm delivery was, by definition, not exposed to a sufficient set of causes for that event. Changes between the two pregnancies in any of the recorded factors are thus predicted to lead to a higher risk of preterm delivery in the second birth if the factor is in the causal field.

In the exposed cohort 18% repeated a preterm delivery compared with 3% in the unexposed cohort, which confirms a previous preterm delivery as one of the strongest predictors.

A decline in social status was associated with a moderately higher risk of preterm delivery but only in the exposed cohort. Interruption of social network, as loss of social contact, may also be responsible for the risk associated with moving from a rural to an urban area, which, however, was only seen in the unexposed cohort.

Misclassification of gestational age is a possible source of bias and any factor that introduces random misclassification of gestational age will increase the proportion of preterm births without changing the average pregnancy duration in the population. This type of misclassification would include term births in the exposed cohort and preterm births in the unexposed cohort and thus dilute the contrast between the two cohorts.

Misclassification of the outcome birth could explain our findings if misclassification occurred more frequently in the group with downward social mobility, which did not seem to be the case. Restricting the analysis to pregnancies with reported certain LMPs strengthened the association, as expected when random misclassification is present.

Social mobility as a risk factor for preterm delivery has not been previously studied, though low social status has been extensively...
investigated with conflicting results.1 3 10 20–28 The fact that downward social mobility was associated with preterm delivery only in the exposed cohort could imply that this group already was of poorer health, which could lead to a social decline and, if the disease in question (for example, diabetes) is associated with preterm delivery, this could explain the association. We expect, however, only few diseases to have this effect and serious diseases would, furthermore, tend to reduce fertility. We have previously shown that downward social mobility is a moderately strong risk factor for low birth weight in women who had a normal weight child and, to a lesser degree, for women who previously had a low birth weight child29; this is not unexpected as preterm delivery is associated with small for gestational age birth.8 14 22–24 26–28 Furthermore, tend to reduce fertility.

It has previously been shown that gestational age is correlated in different pregnancies within the same mother,14 which was also seen in our study. A slightly higher correlation coefficient was found in women who did not change partner in the unexposed cohort and we found a high OR associated with partner change in women with parity higher than two, which suggested that either paternal genes or stress related to partner change may play a part in timing the duration of gestation. Stress is a possible explanation, but it could be a chance finding because the effect was not seen in the exposed cohort.

Our results speak against a strong paternal effect on preterm delivery and on gestational age as such. They indicate that the uterine environment and/or maternal genetic factors are the most important determinants.

The results of this study, however, show that the studied factors had none or a weak effect on preterm delivery. These findings may be because of the fact that none of the putative factors under study was actually part of the causal field(s) for preterm delivery, or that we used too crude measures. Residual confounding or non-differential misclassification could also be partly responsible for the findings.

We did not have data to discriminate between subtypes of preterm deliveries (spontaneous, with or without premature rupture of the membranes, and induced) that may have aetiological heterogeneity.15 19 As we excluded twins and stillborn, we are not likely to have a high proportion of induced preterm births, but a mixture of different types of preterm deliveries in our data could be a further reason for the lack of findings.28

The results supported, however, the hypothesis that life events or changes in living conditions play a part in the occurrence of preterm delivery.
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