Should we be frightened of bracken? A review of the evidence
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Abstract
Objective—To assess the risk to human health of the plant bracken (*Pteridium sp*).
Design—An evaluation of studies of human and animal populations exposed to bracken, together with a review of expert reports and advice to the public.
Main results—Bracken induced disease has been demonstrated in animals in both laboratory and field studies. Depending on the species, diseases in animals associated with the plant have included: cancers of the alimentary and urogenital tract, lung and breast; haematuria; retinal degeneration; and, thiamine deficiency. Potential exposure of human populations is through: food either directly (people in some parts of the world eat bracken as a traditional dish) or indirectly by consuming animals fed on bracken; milk; water; inhalation and ingestion of spores; and insect vectors. Four studies of human populations (two analytical and two observational) failed to assess adequately confounding factors and other sources of bias, so that conclusions about a risk to human health from bracken cannot firmly be drawn. Establishing exposure is also extremely difficult in populations (such as the United Kingdom) where direct consumption of bracken is rare.
Conclusion—Bracken is a common plant worldwide. It is toxic to many animal species and to several organ systems. There is no tumour (or other disease) that is pathognomonic of exposure in animals, though cancers of the alimentary and urogenital tract seem to be the most commonly associated. It is not possible to extrapolate from animal models to humans. Studies of human populations, do not establish a clear risk of bracken to human health, largely because of methodological problems. Testing the evidence against traditional criteria of causality only fulfils the criterion of biological plausibility. Despite this, current public information implies a serious risk to human health from bracken, and increasing media coverage of the subject is likely to lead to greater public concern. Further epidemiological studies are required.

Should walkers and agricultural workers be warned to avoid bracken covered areas in the sporing season or wear facemask protection? Is there good evidence that bracken damages human health? Headlines such as “Curse of the killer bracken” and a warning on the British Broadcasting Corporation’s *Countryfile* programme to avoid bracken or use masks during the sporing season are capable of causing considerable public alarm about this issue. The Bracken Advisory Commission’s leaflet on risks associated with bracken’ provides helpful advice about avoiding sheep ticks in bracken, but also states that “it is highly advisable to keep well away from it. When it is sporing, avoid being downwind of it,” and comments on “the cancer threat posed by inhaling bracken spores.”

In the light of this range of practical advice to the public that implies that there are dangers, is there evidence that bracken presents a threat to human health?

Background
The fern, bracken (*Pteridium sp*) is an ancient plant that grows in abundance worldwide except in very cold or dry conditions. Many interest groups have focused their attention on bracken, either because of the belief that as a plant mass it is interesting or because of concerns about its ability to have deleterious effects on animals, human activities and even, human health. An International Bracken Group was established in 1985. Table 1 shows the potential range of interest in bracken by expert bodies.

The area of land covered by bracken is difficult to assess and calculations are often imprecise. Estimates of bracken encroachment are also problematic. Aerial photography and land survey are the most commonly used methods. Bracken invades land when the conditions are favourable, typically land that is underused or woodlands in decline. Such conditions may be because of: replacement of cattle by sheep; overgrazing; woodland clearance; drainage of moorland and rough pasture; cessation of bracken cutting; and, farming “set-aside” policies. Global warming may also accelerate encroachment of bracken onto highlands.

Table 1  Potential range of expert interests in bracken

| Biological sciences | — zoology, botany, genetics, taxonomy, toxicity, carcinogenicity, spore studies |
| Environmental health | — risk to animals and humans |
| Social sciences | — change in land use, economic and cultural changes, cultural history |
| Rural sciences | — agricultural systems, forests and bracken, agricultural economics, land use, bracken control |
| Medical and veterinary services |
| Government | — Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (MAFF), Department of Health, Department of the Environment |
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### Table 2 Diseases in animals associated with bracken

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Induced thiamine deficiency in horses and pigs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute poisoning (causing bone marrow dysplasia) and enzootic haematuria in cattle and sheep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancers of urogenital and alimentary tract in cattle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder tumours in guinea pigs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive retinal degeneration (bright blindness) in sheep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ileal adenocarcinomas in rats fed on bracken</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction of papillomavirus and bracken consumption associated with cancer of the alimentary canal in cattle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Effects on animal health

Bracken carcinogenicity in animals was first demonstrated in 1965. Subsequent studies (in the field and laboratory) have associated the ingestion of bracken (or its extracts) with diseases and cancers of many sites in many animal species. Rats, guinea pigs, sheep, cattle, hamsters, quails, toads have all developed tumours after the ingestion of bracken or its extracts. Table 2 illustrates some of the animal diseases that have been associated with the consumption of bracken or its extracts.

### Possible toxic agents in bracken

Crude bracken extracts have been found to be positive in the Ames mutagenicity assay but only after bioactivation with liver microsomal systems. Several agents within bracken have been investigated for evidence of carcinogenicity, including quercetin, shikimic acid, and ptaquiloside. The toxic constituents have not been unequivocally identified. Studies are being carried out to determine the chemical nature of the toxin. These studies have revealed that many of the constituents of bracken have low cytotoxic activity and therefore are not directly linked to the aetiology of acute fern poisoning. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) reported in the late 1980s that, although there is sufficient evidence for the carcinogenicity of bracken in animals, there is inadequate evidence for it to be regarded as a human carcinogen.

### Possible toxic agents in bracken

Ptaquiloside is a toxin isolated from bracken, which has been described as almost certainly the major carcinogen in bracken fern by Smith and Seawright. The Dutch Expert Committee on Occupational Standards state that ptaquiloside is or should be considered as carcinogenic to humans. As ptaquiloside can be potentially transferred to humans via milk, it is necessary to monitor the grazing habits of dairy herds. Japanese workers have looked at the clastogenic effects of ptaquiloside and conclude that the carcinogenic potency may result from these clastogenic effects. The spectrum of DNA damage caused by ptaquiloside resembles that of classic alkylating agents.

### Positive and negative aspects of bracken

#### Positive aspects

- It is an attractive plant in the countryside
- It can be used for controlling access to land as a physical barrier
- It is a useful habitat for some flora and fauna

#### Negative aspects

- It can be a useful compost to replace peat
- It may have passed to the o
- It may be possible to apply these methods to determine human exposure. These studies also
showed that DNA reactive compounds were released slowly from spores. These bracken induced DNA adducts may have arisen from ptaquiloside and their formation may result in the carcinogenic action of ptaquiloside. As with all biological monitoring techniques, it is necessary to synthesise the putative carcinogens before it is possible to definitively identify their mode of action.

Boiling bracken fronds in alkaline conditions seems to render them less harmful, presumably by inactivating some of the toxic agent(s). The Japanese boil fronds in water and soda ash, this process would reduce the carcinogenicity before eating.37

Possible pathways of bracken through humans
Figure 1 shows theoretical pathways between bracken and humans.

FOOD
Bracken is not traditionally part of the British diet, but in Japan and some areas of South America the fronds are eaten.38 Bracken rhizomes were a traditional food of Maori and Aborigines.39 Some observational studies have found an association between consumption of bracken and human stomach and oesophageal cancers. Daily intake of bracken in Japan was associated with increased risk of oesophageal cancer (2.1-fold in men and 3.7-fold in women).40 The risk of eating meat from animals fed on bracken is unstudied and unknown.

MILK
Ptaquiloside has been found in milk from bracken fed cows41 and drinking such milk has been associated with cancers in animals.25 However, it is thought that dilution resulting from the bulk processing of milk would make any risk from milk in the UK quite remote. This route of exposure may be more relevant in small, geographically remote rural communities, such as parts of Costa Rica.42

WATER
There is no evidence that water contaminated by bracken causes human disease despite the fact that it has been shown that some toxic compounds in bracken are water soluble and therefore could possibly leach into water sources.43

INHALATION AND INGESTION OF SPORES
As spores have been found to be carcinogenic in mice,17 there is a theoretical risk that inhalation and subsequent ingestion could be carcinogenic in humans. There have been no studies of the effects of exposure of animals to airborne spores, only direct ingestion of spores. The study by Povey et al36 shows that, in mice, spores administered by gavage produce DNA adducts. The significance of these adducts needs further investigation.

SKIN
There have been no studies of the effects of bracken on humans or animals resulting from direct skin contact. This route would seem an unlikely cause of disease.

TERATOGENICITY
There is some evidence that mice whose mothers had been fed milk from bracken fed cows developed more pulmonary and mammary tumours than controls.25
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INSECT VECTORS OF DISEASE
Bracken is an important habitat for sheep ticks (Ixodes ricinus) in the UK, which are the major vector for Lyme disease caused by Borrelia burgdorferi.14

Studies on human health
Much of the speculation about harmful effects of bracken on human health is based on its effects in animals. However, studies exploring the relation between bracken and human health have concentrated on stomach and oesophageal cancer. Stomach cancer incidence is highest in Japan, Central and South America. Within the United Kingdom, the highest incidence is in North Wales. Incidence is also higher in the north than the south and in western areas compared with eastern areas of Britain. Other risk factors associated with gastric cancer include blood group A, atrophic gastritis and, pernicious anaemia, cigarette smoking, dietary factors (such as spicy foods and nitrate consumption), and lower social class. It appears to be commoner in coal miners and some chemical workers.15 Residents from areas with a high incidence of stomach cancer seem to maintain this risk after migration, but their children do not, suggesting an environmental factor in the aetiology. Like many cancers, stomach cancer is probably multifactorial.

In common with many environmental exposures, bracken exposure is very difficult to measure. Studies estimate exposure using: past or present residence or occupation in a bracken rich area; recall of past or recent buttermilk consumption in a bracken rich area; history of eating bracken. The few studies looking directly at associations between bracken and human disease are discussed below.

A case-control study was prompted by the high incidence of gastric cancer in North Wales.16 It was part of a larger study of gastric cancer. A questionnaire elicited intake of various foods, particularly those containing retinol, salt, β carotene, and vitamin C.17 No clear hypothesis regarding bracken formed part of the study’s aims. One hundred and one histologically confirmed gastric cancer cases were compared with 77 cancer controls and 202 non-cancer controls. All patients were residents of Gwynedd, Wales and were recruited from three local hospitals. Controls were not eating any special diet, nor were they suspected of having peptic ulceration. Cases and controls were matched for age, sex, and social class. The 101 cases represented just over one third of all cases with oesophageal cancer, but only when combined with drinking hot gruel tea (chagayu).18

Age specific incidence rates of cervical, gastric, and oesophageal cancers in people born in bracken infested and bracken free areas in Costa Rica were compared by Villalobos-Salazar et al.19 Incidence data were extracted from the National Cancer Registry. “Bracken-
infested” and “bracken-free” were defined according to height above sea level, a method validated in a previous study. The authors did not present any tests of statistical significance but reported that the age standardised incidence of gastric and oesophageal cancer in women and all persons born in bracken infested areas were at least twice that of those born in bracken free areas.

No studies of the health effects of bracken on forestry and other outdoor workers regularly occupationally exposed to inhalation and ingestion of bracken spores appear to have been performed.

Assessing the evidence
Using the so called “criteria for causality”49 the quality of the evidence of an association between bracken and human cancer can be explored.

Strength of association—a strong association between a factor and a disease is less likely to occur by chance or error than a weak one. In animals, the strength of association between bracken consumption and cancers is high, but in humans the strength of association is approximately double that of controls.42 46

Consistency upon repetition—this refers to whether the same results have been found by different persons, in different circumstances and at different times. Many animal studies have confirmed the role of bracken in producing various diseases and cancers. For bracken spore carcinogenicity, two studies have found spores to be carcinogenic in mice.81 7 Natural experiments of human populations in Gwynedd and Costa Rica found consistent results—that living in a bracken infested area may be associated with increased gastric cancer by some mechanism.82 46

Temporal relation—a risk factor must occur before the onset of disease if it is a causal agent. The onset of tumours is often difficult to define. Studies of the association between human health and bracken have not been prospective and could not therefore establish a temporal relation.

Biological gradient—this refers to a dose response relation, where the greater the level of a risk factor that a subject is exposed to, the higher is the likelihood of them contracting the disease. Of the human studies, only one Japanese study was large enough to assess the dose response relation. It was found that among hot gruel tea drinkers a dose response relation existed between the frequency of bracken consumption and the risk of oesophageal cancer. The risk was highest in those eating bracken daily, lowest in those who ate it rarely or never did so, and intermediate in those eating bracken occasionally.40

Plausibility—there should be a plausible biological explanation between the risk factor and the disease. The animal evidence of cancers caused by bracken certainly makes the same association in humans biologically plausible. Also some tumours in mice are similar histologically to human tumours.

Coherence with explanation—the association should not conflict with what is known about the natural history and biology of the disease. The evidence about bracken and health does not conflict with what is known about oesophageal and gastric cancer in humans. However, it is accepted that gastric cancers are likely to be multifactorial and therefore bracken (even if causally associated) may be only one of many contributory causes. Gastric cancers also occur in areas where bracken is sparse.

Experimental evidence—natural experiments may give clues to whether an association is causal. Remote areas where people live an isolated life among the bracken and drink milk from bracken fed cattle could provide a type of natural experiment, but with no local control group.

Analogy—the association between bracken exposure and human cancer would be strengthened if there was already a different plant exposure known to be causally associated with a human cancer.

Conclusion
Bracken, a ubiquitous plant, is toxic to many animals species. It affects a high percentage of animals that are tested and affects many organ systems. There is however no tumour in animals that is pathognomonic of bracken exposure. It is not possible to extrapolate from animal models to humans.

Establishing whether the plant constitutes a risk to human health is extremely difficult. There are problems in the measurement of exposure to this environmental agent. Moreover, seeking to establish exposure through history taking risks bias caused by selective recall. Published data in human populations consist of two analytical42 48 and two observational studies,45 most of which fail to comment on confounding risk factors for gastric or oesophageal cancer or to describe their methods and analyses sufficiently. Only two of these studies are relevant to exposure in the United Kingdom,46 48 where eating bracken is extremely rare and milk processing on a large scale is likely to dilute any toxins in milk.

The only one of eight traditional criteria for causality that is adequately fulfilled is that of biological plausibility.

When considering the possible harmful properties of bracken, distinguishing between the concepts of hazard and risk is useful. A hazard is a set of circumstances that may have harmful consequences; risk is the probability of harmful occurrences occurring from a hazard.50 For example, lightning is a hazard, but the risk of being struck by lightning is very small, approximately one in ten million.51 Bracken may be a hazard to humans, but, as yet, there is no convincing evidence that people are at serious risk from it. The capacity of the plant to destroy productive farmland and limit human food supply may be a more serious risk for health in some countries, than any theoretical risk of carcinogenesis.14

The people most at risk from any bracken hazard are people who work or play among bracken, especially in the sporing season and in some countries, people who eat bracken or drink milk from bracken fed cows. If the groups
most exposed to bracken in the United Kingdom could be defined, this could offer further research opportunities.

There is a possibility of a risk to human health from bracken warrants further research, especially in light of the plant’s apparent increase. Analytical studies, using a carefully considered measure of bracken exposure are required to clarify this risk. A case-control study of gastric cancer would be the next logical step.
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