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Abstract

Objective—to compare the prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors and coronary heart disease in Chinese and Europid adults.

Design—Population based, cross sectional survey.


Subjects—Altogether 380 Chinese and 625 Europid adults, aged 25-64 years.

Main outcome measures—Fasting lipid levels, blood pressure, body mass index (BMI), the proportions who smoked, and the prevalence of coronary heart disease based on the Rose angina questionnaire and major electrocardiographic abnormalities on resting 12 lead electrocardiogram (Minnesota codes 1.1-1.2). All figures were age adjusted to the 1991 England and Wales population.

Results—Altogether 183 and 197 Chinese, and 310 and 315 Europid men and women respectively were seen. Compared with Europid men, Chinese men had a lower mean total cholesterol concentration (5.1 versus 5.6 mmol/l, p<0.001) and LDL cholesterol (3.2 versus 3.6 mmol/l, p<0.001); lower BMI values (23.8 versus 26.1 kg/m², p<0.001); and smoked less (23% versus 35%, p<0.01). Compared with Europid women, Chinese women also had lower mean lipid levels (total cholesterol: 4.9 versus 5.4 mmol/l, p<0.001, LDL cholesterol: 2.8 versus 3.1 mmol/l, p<0.001); BMI values (23.5 versus 26.1 kg/m², p<0.001); and far fewer were smokers (1.4% versus 33%, p<0.001). Chinese women, however, had higher mean systolic (121 versus 117 mmHg, p<0.05) and diastolic (75 versus 68 mmHg, p<0.001) blood pressures. The prevalence of coronary heart disease was significantly lower in Chinese than Europid men (4.9% versus 16.6%, p<0.001) but not significantly different in women (7.3% versus 11.1%, p=0.16).

Conclusion—Strategies for UK Chinese are needed to maintain this favourable risk factor profile and prevent any potential increase in the risk of coronary heart disease associated with increasing acculturation.

(J Epidemiol Community Health 1997;51:636–642)
an ancestral origins in China, and includes those born in this country and migrating via other places. Europid refers to people whose ancestry lies in the European continent. The pragmatic nature of these terms is acknowledged.

THE CHINESE POPULATION IN NEWCASTLE
Before the second world war there were very few Chinese in Newcastle. The first few Chinese restaurants opened in Newcastle in the late 1940s and early 1950s, and by 1960 there were 30 restaurants in the area. The 1991 census identified 1114 Chinese in Newcastle. Of these, 65% were born in South East Asia (Hong Kong, Malaysia, and Singapore), 17% in mainland China, and 10% in the United Kingdom, with the rest being born in other parts of the world including Vietnam and the Caribbean. Seventy three per cent of the total UK Chinese population who were born in South East Asia were born in Hong Kong. Of the total Chinese population of the UK aged over 30 years, just under 4% were born in the UK.

IDENTIFICATION AND RECRUITMENT OF SUBJECTS
The methods of identification and recruitment of subjects have been described in detail in a previous publication. In brief, these were as follows:

Chinese adults
All Chinese aged 25-64 years and normally resident in Newcastle upon Tyne were eligible to participate in the survey. The sample was initially identified using a name analysis of the family health services authority (FHSA) register. Students in halls of residence were excluded because most Chinese students in Newcastle were from overseas and not normally resident in Newcastle. The amended lists were sent to GPs for checking against practice age-sex registers.

In addition, because we aimed to recruit all Chinese adults in Newcastle, the survey was widely publicised throughout the Chinese community through radio, television, newspapers (English language and Chinese), and posters. The Chinese Health Link worker, the main Chinese community organisations, and others working with the Chinese community were all informed of the survey and asked to encourage participation. Chinese subjects were recruited and screened between June 1991 and March 1993. Subjects identified from the FHSA register were sent a letter giving information about the project, followed by a letter with an appointment for screening. Non-responders were sent a reminder letter and, if they did not make contact, were followed up by telephone or by home visiting on up to three occasions.

Subjects who were not on the FHSA register and who responded directly to the publicity aimed at the Chinese community made appointments for screening either by telephone, or via the Chinese Health Link Worker, the Chinese project worker, or relatives or friends who attended.

KEY POINTS
- This is the first population based survey of coronary heart disease and associated risk factors in a UK Chinese population.
- Levels of coronary heart disease and associated risk factors are currently low in UK Chinese.
- Chinese in China and elsewhere are experiencing an increase in coronary heart disease and associated risk factors.
- Increasing risk of coronary heart disease in the Chinese migrant populations has been associated with increasing acculturation.
- Health promotion strategies for UK Chinese are needed to maintain their currently favourable risk factor profile and prevent any potential increase in the risk of coronary heart disease.

Europid adults
In the postal questionnaire based Newcastle Health and Lifestyle Survey, every 30th person on the Newcastle FHSA register aged between 16 and 74 years was chosen (representing 6448 adults). Men and women aged over 25 years from this sample were used as the sampling frame for the present study. Any Chinese or South Asian sounding names were removed. The sampling frame was divided into 10 year age and sex bands, and the names within each band were randomly ordered. The first 180 names in each age and sex band formed the Europid sample.

Europid adults were recruited and screened between April 1993 and October 1994. Each subject was initially sent a letter informing them about the study, followed by an invitation to attend for screening. Up to three invitations were sent. Those with whom no contact was established after three invitations were sent a letter by recorded delivery mail.

DATA COLLECTION
All subjects attended the Clinical Research Centre at the Royal Victoria Infirmary in Newcastle between 0800 and 1000 hours after fasting from 2200 hours the night before.

MEASUREMENT OF LIPIDS
Lipids were measured on fasting blood samples. Cholesterol was estimated using a cholesterol oxidase/peroxidase method and calibrants traceable to the Centers for Disease Control definitive method. Triglycerides were estimated using a lipase/glycerol kinase method and HDL cholesterol was estimated by measuring the supernatant cholesterol concentration after precipitation of apolipoprotein B-containing lipoproteins with heparin and manganese. LDL cholesterol was calculated using the Friedwald formula. Apolipoproteins A-I and B were estimated by an immuno-turbidimetric method using a DPA analyser (Bayer).

Until May 1994 the lipid analyses were performed on a Cobas Bio centrifugal analyser (Roche Products Ltd, Welwyn Garden City,
UK) and after this date a DAX analyser was used (Bayer plc, Basingstoke, UK). The relationship between results for the two analysers are shown by the following equations where $x = \text{Cobas Bio}$ and $y = \text{DAX}$ results.

Cholesterol, $y = 1.02x - 0.08$ ($r = 0.994$);

HDL cholesterol, $y = 1.04x + 0$, ($r = 0.987$);

Triglycerides, $y = 1.11x - 0.02$ ($r = 0.998$).

The regression equations for the relationships between the two methods of lipid analyses were derived from analysing 46 clinical samples by both methods during a period of one week. Throughout the whole period the laboratory participated in an external quality assurance scheme which showed no changes in bias (inaccuracy) for cholesterol or HDL cholesterol during the study. The data for triglycerides confirmed the positive bias of the DAX compared with the Cobas Bio data, and therefore results obtained with the DAX were adjusted according to the regression equation. No external quality assurance for apolipoproteins was available but internal quality assurance was used throughout the study and no changes in bias occurred.

**PHYSICAL MEASUREMENTS AND ECG**

Height was measured without shoes to the nearest 0.5 cm and weight was measured with the subject lightly clothed to the nearest 100 g. Waist and hip circumference were measured to the nearest centimetre at the mid point between the lower costal margin and the superior iliac crest, over the greater trochanters of the hips with the subject standing. Waist circumference was measured with the waist unclothed and hip circumference over the subject’s undergarments.

Blood pressure and pulse were measured twice after the subject had been seated quietly for at least 20 minutes after any venepuncture. The reported results are the mean of two measurements. In the Chinese subjects, blood pressure and pulse were measured using a Takeda electronic machine and a 12.5 x 23 cm cuff. In the Europid subjects, blood pressure and pulse were measured manually by observers trained to follow the method of the British Hypertension Society using a mercury sphygmomanometer and an alternate size cuff (12.5 x 35 cm). A correction factor was applied to the blood pressure measurements taken with the Takeda automated machines to enable them to be compared with those made using the standard mercury sphygmomanometer in the Europids. Correction was done by applying a linear regression coefficient and constant to the systolic and diastolic Takeda readings respectively. These coefficients and constants were taken from a study conducted by ourselves comparing the Takeda with the standard mercury sphygmomanometer. This showed that on average the Takeda machines read 2.4 mmHg lower on systolic readings and 2 mmHg lower on diastolic readings. A 12-lead ECG was performed.

**QUESTIONNAIRE**

The questionnaire included the Rose questionnaire for angina and chest pain. The Chinese questionnaire was translated into written Chinese by the translation service at Newcastle City Council. It was partly completed by interview and partly self completed. The interview section was administered by a trained Chinese interviewer. Subjects who had difficulty with the self completion section were helped by the interviewer. The Europid questionnaire was entirely self completed. Those with literacy problems were helped by a trained interviewer. The questions used in the Chinese and Europid questionnaires were identical where appropriate.

**ETHICS, FEEDBACK, AND INTERVENTION**

The study was approved by the Newcastle upon Tyne Joint Ethics Committee and informed consent was obtained from all participants. With their consent, subjects received the results of their cardiovascular screening and a copy of the results was sent to their general practitioner. Those with hypertension, hypercholesterolaemia, anaemia, and overweight/obesity were advised to see their general practitioner. Chinese subjects were invited to discuss any queries about their results with the Chinese project worker or the Chinese Health Link Worker.

**DEFINITION OF RISK FACTORS AND CODING OF ECG**

Where appropriate, risk factors for CHD were defined in accordance with WHO criteria. Subjects were classified as hypertensive if their mean systolic blood pressure was ≥160 mmHg or mean diastolic blood pressure was ≥95 mmHg. Subjects who were currently taking medication for hypertension were classified as hypertensive. Cut off points of ≥6.5 mmol/l and ≥7.8 mmol/l were used to define hypercholesterolaemia in men and women respectively. Hypertriglyceridaemia was defined as serum triglyceride ≥2.3 mmol/l. Total cholesterol to HDL cholesterol ratios were calculated and a ratio of greater than 5 was considered high. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kg divided by height in m$^2$. Subjects were classified as overweight if their BMI was ≥25 for women and ≥27 for men, and obese if the BMI was ≥30 in either sex. ECGs were Minnesota coded by two trained and independent coders and those with discrepant reports were passed to a third independent coder. Probable CHD was defined as Minnesota coding 1.1-1.2 (large Q and QS); and possible CHD as Minnesota coding 1.3 (small Q and QS), or 4.1-4.4 (ST-T depression), or 5.1-5.3 (T wave inversion and flat), or 7-1-1 (complete left bundle branch block).

Angina and previous possible myocardial infarction were defined from answers to the Rose questionnaire. Subjects with either a positive ECG result, or angina, or previous myocardial infarct from the questionnaire were classified as having suspected CHD.
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Table 1  Cardiovascular risk factors (mean (SD)) for Europid and Chinese men and women aged 25 to 64 years (age adjusted to the 1991 England and Wales population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Europid</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>Difference (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>0.5 (0.3, 0.7)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.6 (1.1)</td>
<td>5.1 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.3, 0.7)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol</td>
<td>1.3 (0.4)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.4)</td>
<td>-0.1 (-0.135, 0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoA (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.5)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.28, 0.38)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol</td>
<td>3.6 (0.0)</td>
<td>3.2 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.2, 0.6)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoB (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.2, 0.3)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.9-2.0)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7-1.5)</td>
<td>0.4**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>126 (17)</td>
<td>122 (15)</td>
<td>3 (0.2, 6)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>78 (11)</td>
<td>77 (10)</td>
<td>1 (-1, 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting pulse (per minute)</td>
<td>70 (11)</td>
<td>70 (9)</td>
<td>0 (-2, 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist (cm)</td>
<td>174 (10)</td>
<td>166 (6)</td>
<td>8 (6, 10)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>79 (14)</td>
<td>66 (10)</td>
<td>13 (11, 16)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.1 (4.1)</td>
<td>23.8 (3.2)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.7, 3.0)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist (cm)</td>
<td>91 (11)</td>
<td>88 (8)</td>
<td>8 (6, 9)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip (cm)</td>
<td>100 (7)</td>
<td>95 (7)</td>
<td>7 (6, 9)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist/hip ratio</td>
<td>0.90 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.002, 0.023)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.78 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.06)</td>
<td>-0.06 (-0.07, -0.05)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Difference (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Europid</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>0.5 (0.3, 0.7)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.4 (1.2)</td>
<td>4.9 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.3, 0.7)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol</td>
<td>1.6 (0.4)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.4)</td>
<td>0 (-11, 0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoA (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.3)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.42, 0.52)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol</td>
<td>3.1 (1.0)</td>
<td>2.8 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.17, 0.51)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoB (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.19, 0.28)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.8-1.8)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.6-1.2)</td>
<td>0.4**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>117 (18)</td>
<td>121 (19)</td>
<td>-4 (-7, -1)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>68 (10)</td>
<td>75 (11)</td>
<td>-7 (-9, -5)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting pulse (per minute)</td>
<td>75 (10)</td>
<td>76 (11)</td>
<td>-1 (-3, 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>160 (10)</td>
<td>155 (5)</td>
<td>7 (5, 8)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>68 (13)</td>
<td>56 (8)</td>
<td>11 (9, 13)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.1 (4.1)</td>
<td>23.5 (3.2)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.8, 3.3)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist (cm)</td>
<td>79 (12)</td>
<td>77 (9)</td>
<td>2 (0.1, 4)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip (cm)</td>
<td>101 (9)</td>
<td>92 (8)</td>
<td>9 (8, 11)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist/hip ratio</td>
<td>0.78 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.06)</td>
<td>-0.06 (-0.07, -0.05)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Median (interquartile range).

p values: * < 0.05-0.01, ** < 0.01-0.001, *** < 0.001.

Apo=apolipoprotein, BP=blood pressure, BMI=body mass index, LDL=low density lipoprotein, HDL=high density lipoprotein

DATA ANALYSIS
The data were coded, double entered on computer to check for errors, and analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS).12 All variables compared between the Chinese and Europids were examined initially by 10 year age groups. Because there was no systematic difference by age group only age adjusted comparisons are presented. (Tables of the variables by 10 year age group are available from the authors). Figures were directly standardised (using the 1991 England and Wales population as standard) by applying weights within SPSS such that the age structures of the study populations were the same as the standard population but the overall size of each study population was unaffected.12 Confidence intervals on proportions and the differences between proportions were calculated using the computer programme Confidence Interval Analysis (BMJ, 1991). The distribution of all variables except triglycerides closely approximated to a normal distribution, and differences between Chinese and Europid men and women for these continuous variables were assessed using the independent samples t test. Data on triglycerides are presented using medians and inter quartile range, and differences were assessed using the Mann-Whitney U test.

Logistic regression analysis was carried out in SPSS with CHD as the dependent variable and ethnicity as an independent variable. Risk factors were sequentially entered to determine if differences in the prevalence of CHD between Chinese and Europids were “explained” by differences in risk factor levels. Odds ratios are presented with 95% confidence intervals.

Results
RESPONSE
The FHSA register for Newcastle yielded 1702 individuals with Chinese sounding names, from which 638 students living in halls of residence were excluded. Of the remaining 1064 individuals, 658 were no longer resident at the address given on the FHSA register, 21 were not Chinese, and no contact was established with 18 individuals. Of the 367 Chinese adults identified, 217 took part in the survey, giving a participation rate for subjects recruited from the FHSA register of 59% (54% for men and 64% for women). Respondents and non-respondents from the FHSA register did not differ with respect to age and male:female ratio, the only variables for which we had information on non-respondents. A further 163 individuals, who were not on the FHSA register but who satisfied our entry criteria, came forward in response to the publicity campaign and took part, giving a final study sample of 380 Chinese subjects (183 men and 197 women). There were no statistically significant differences in age, male:female ratio, marital status, socio-economic status, educational level, and smoking status between FHSA and non-FHSA respondents. Mean levels of total serum cholesterol, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, BMI and waist/hip ratio were similar in both groups. The overall likely response in the Chinese is addressed in the discussion.

A total of 1260 invitations were sent to Europid population men and women aged 25-64 years. Three hundred and twenty six people were no longer resident at the address given on the FHSA register. Thus, 944 individuals (444 men and 500 women) received an invitation, and 625 (66.2%) Europid adults attended for screening: 310 men (response rate 69.8%) and 315 women (response rate 63.0%).

PREVALENCE OF CHD AND RISK FACTORS
Table 1 shows that Chinese of both sexes had a favourable lipid profile compared to the Europid men and women. Mean levels of total cholesterol, apolipoprotein A, LDL cholesterol, apolipoprotein B, and triglycerides were significantly lower in Chinese men compared with Europid men, and Chinese women compared with Europid women. The exception was HDL cholesterol which was not significantly different in the two ethnic groups.

Systolic and diastolic blood pressure were similar in Chinese men and Europid men.
Chinese women had significantly higher mean systolic and diastolic blood pressure than Europid women. Resting pulse was similar in Chinese and Europid men, and in Chinese and Europid women.

Chinese men were significantly shorter and lighter than Europid men. They had lower mean BMI, and narrower waist and hip measurements. The mean waist hip ratio was similar in men in both ethnic groups. Chinese women were also significantly shorter and lighter than their Europid counterparts with lower mean BMI and significantly narrower hips and waists. Interestingly, however, Chinese women had a significantly higher waist hip ratio than Europid women.

The prevalences of hypercholesterolaemia and hypertriglyceridaemia were lower in Chinese than in Europid men and women (table 2). This difference was statistically significant for hypercholesterolaemia ≥6.5 mmol/l, hypertriglyceridaemia and the total cholesterol:HDL ratio in both men and women. The prevalence of hypertension was not significantly different between Chinese and Europid men. In women the prevalence of hypertension was significantly higher in the Chinese.

Chinese men and women were half as likely to be overweight than their Europid counterparts (p<0.001 in both sexes). Three times as many Europid men, and seven times as many Europid women were obese as Chinese men and women respectively (p<0.0001). Chinese men and women were less likely to be current smokers than Europid men and women. This was especially true of Chinese women, only 1.4% of whom were current smokers. The prevalence of CHD in Chinese men and women was lower than in Europid men and women (table 3). The prevalences of angina and possible previous myocardial infarct (Rose questionnaire), and probable CHD (major ECG abnormalities) were low in the Chinese in both sexes. Chinese men had lower levels of angina, possible myocardial infarction, and ECG abnormalities than Europid men. When data from the Rose angina questionnaire and ECG results were combined, Chinese men had a significantly lower level of CHD than Europid men.

The prevalence of possible myocardial infarction was lower in Chinese women compared with Europid women. The prevalence of possible CHD was significantly higher in Chinese women than Europid women due to the higher prevalence of ST-T wave abnormalities in the former. When the Rose angina questionnaire data were combined with data on major ECG abnormalities, the prevalence of CHD was not significantly different between Chinese women and Europid women. When minor ECG abnormalities were included in the definition, the prevalence of CHD in Chinese and Europid women was similar.

Logistic regression analysis was carried out to determine if differences between Chinese and Europids in the prevalence of CHD (based on the Rose questionnaire and major ECG abnormalities) were explained by differences in the risk factors. In fact controlling for the risk factors made little difference whether taking the men as group or the men and women together. For example, taking men and women together and controlling for age and sex the odds ratio for CHD (Europid versus Chinese)
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was 2.46 (95% CI 1.48, 4.10, p<0.001). With the addition of LDL cholesterol, triglycerides, and current smoking status the OR was 2.27 (1.34, 3.86, p=0.0024); and with the addition of systolic and diastolic blood pressure and BMI and waist hip ratio it was 2.16 (1.22, 3.83, p=0.0085).

Discussion

This is the first population based study of CHD in a Chinese population in Europe. The paucity of information on the health and health needs of Chinese populations in the UK has been noted and research on this group has been identified as a priority. This lack of research is at least partly explained by the difficulties in accessing study populations. We have discussed previously the strengths and weaknesses of our strategy for recruiting Chinese subjects. Suffice to note here that in the absence of an accurate sampling frame we can only provide an estimate of the response rate which is based on comparison to 1991 census figures. We estimate that between 60 and 70% of the target population were screened. In the Europid population we screened 66% of those who received an invitation. These response rates are similar to those in several studies comparing the prevalence of CHD in Europid and South Asian populations in the UK.

There were some differences in the methods used to collect the data in the Chinese and Europid surveys. In addition, the surveys took place at different points in time which raises the possibility of laboratory drift and changes in observer error. Ideally for the comparisons made here the surveys would have been conducted at the same time using the same methods. We have attempted to address these issues in a number of ways. For the measurement of lipids internal and external quality control checks were applied throughout the study period. These are detailed in the methods section and when the lipid analyser changed it was possible to correct for the small differences between the two analysers. In the case of blood pressure measurement, we undertook our own study to compare the automated Takeda machines with the standard mercury sphygmomanometer. For the measurement of anthropometric variables, we used the same protocols and undertook regular retraining sessions for all observers throughout the period of the study. Finally, the Rose angina questionnaire was completed by interview in the Chinese survey and by self completion in the Europid survey. We have been unable to find any published information comparing interview with self administration of the Rose angina questionnaire. We note that the questionnaire was originally designed for administration by interview but that Rose and colleagues also indicated that “with slight modifications it is suitable for self-administration in literate populations.”

In comparing risk factor levels, the caveat must be applied that the risk conferred by a certain level may differ between ethnic groups. This caveat is particularly important in the interpretation of the comparisons made in table 2, which are based on cut off points for the different factors. The cut off points may not have the same significance in both groups (we have presented them because such cut off points are widely used and provide a readily accessible summary of the data). With this caveat in mind it appears that compared with the Europid population in Newcastle, the Newcastle Chinese have a low prevalence of CHD and a favourable risk factor profile. Both Chinese men and women had favourable lipid profiles, smoked less and had lower levels of obesity than the Europid men and women. However, mean systolic and diastolic blood pressure levels were higher in Chinese compared with Europid women. We undertook a logistic regression analysis to test the hypothesis that differences in prevalence of CHD between the Chinese and Europids are “explained” by differences in risk factor levels. Controlling for any of the aforementioned risk factors made little difference to the Europid to Chinese odds ratio. The interpretation of this is not clear cut. On the one hand it does not support the hypothesis that the lower prevalence of CHD is due to the lower levels of the aforementioned risk factors. On the other hand a cross sectional study design is a poor design for examining the relationship between putative modifiable risk factors and an outcome which may take decades to develop.

Although Chinese women had a significantly higher prevalence of suspected CHD (minor ECG abnormalities), this was primarily due to the higher prevalence of ST-T wave abnormalities in Chinese women compared with Europid women. However, minor ECG abnormalities have not been found to be associated with increased longterm risk of CHD in women and may be influenced by other factors. This high level of minor ECG abnormalities has been noted in other populations of Chinese women. Most Chinese in the UK are from Hong Kong (67%) followed by Singapore and Malaysia and mainland China. Identifying an appropriate Chinese comparison population for the UK Chinese therefore is not easy. Published data on the prevalence of CHD and risk factors for CHD in Hong Kong tends not to be population based, but comes from various populations including self referred cholesterol screening programmes and work place based screening programmes.

In the absence of population based data from Hong Kong we compared the Newcastle Chinese with the Chinese in Beijing, China, who were studied as part of the MONICA project as this data is population based and was collected using a protocol similar to our own. Compared with Chinese in Beijing, the Newcastle Chinese appear to have higher cholesterol levels, but a lower prevalence of smoking and lower blood pressure levels.

Studies of Chinese populations in Singapore, Malaysia, USA, and Mauritius have demonstrated high levels of CHD and associated risk factors. For example, Gerber and Madhavan found that Chinese in the USA had higher levels of mortality from CHD than Chinese in China, and that the risk of
CHD increased with the length of residence in the USA. Chinese born in the USA were at a higher risk than foreign-born Chinese. 

In a study of Chinese in New York City's Chinatown, the serum cholesterol levels and prevalence of hypercholesterolaemia in the Chinese approached those in the Caucasian population. These facts suggest that lipid levels in the Newcastle Chinese may increase in future with increasing acculturation with an associated increase in the rate of CHD.

In conclusion, this first study of a Chinese population in Europe has shown a low level of CHD risk factors in comparison with the local indigenous Europid population. Assuming this risk factor profile is also found in other Chinese populations in the UK, we suggest that it would be appropriate to develop coronary prevention strategies now to maintain this favourable profile, and to prevent the likely increase in CHD rates with increasing acculturation.
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