Birth weight and special educational needs: effects of an increase in the survival of very low birthweight infants in London
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Abstract

Objectives – To assess the contribution of children with different birth weights to special educational needs within a single health district, and to determine whether this pattern changed over the time when the survival of very low birthweight (VLBW) infants was increasing.

Setting – An inner London health district.

Study design – A cohort of children born to local parents between January 1974 and December 1980 was selected from birth notifications, including only those infants who survived for more than one month. Community child health records were then inspected to identify children from the cohort who had been formally assessed for special educational needs before their 8th birthday. The risk of special educational needs was compared for the years 1974–77 and 1977–80 (the first and second halves of the period studied).

Subjects – The infant cohort consisted of 31,846 children. Altogether 260 (0.8%) of these were later assessed formally.

Results – VLBW infants were 4.4 times more likely to be assessed than normal birthweight infants. Formal assessment within the district occurred in three of 68 VLBW infants from the first half of the period studied, and three of 120 from the second half.

Conclusion – Although VLBW infants are at higher risk, an increase in their survival was not associated with any increase in their contribution to the group with special educational needs within our district. Their contribution, as a group, to the total number of children with special educational needs is very small.
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cational needs may vary over time, and many different types of problem may be included in this category.

The provision of education within Camberwell Health District at the time of this study was the responsibility of the Inner London Education Authority (ILEA). From the late 1970s, children with special educational needs have increasingly been integrated into normal schools; this was already taking place in our district before the process was accelerated by the 1981 Education Act. It was therefore apparent that we would need to look beyond the population in designated special schools. If all children with special needs were to be included we would have to include those who were ascertained to be in need of special education but who were placed in normal schools and given extra help.

We have documented changes in the survival of VLBW babies over this time period, and attempted to examine whether this had any effect on the composition of the population with special educational needs.

Methods

SPECIAL EDUCATIONAL NEEDS GROUP
A formal “statingment” procedure, very similar to the “full assessment” procedure stipulated at present by the Education Act, had been used by the ILEA before 1981, and the community health department had been notified of “statedamment” children as well as those admitted to special schools. Full assessments carried out under the provisions of the Education Act had also been notified to the community health department.

The records of the Camberwell Community Child Health Department were inspected to identify any children born between 1974 and 1980 who had either been admitted to a special school within the district or who had undergone formal assessment for special educational needs. This information was available even for those children who had moved out of the area after assessment, but was not available for those who moved before they were assessed. For the purposes of this study children were only included in the special educational needs (SEN) group if their admission to a special school or the request for assessment had occurred before their 8th birthday.

To check that we were not missing any children who were in special schools without the knowledge of the Community Child Health Department, we carried out a pilot study in which we obtained a list of all those children in special schools who were born in 1979.

INFANT COHORT
All the original birth notification cards for Camberwell Health District were available for the period January 1974 to December 1980. These cards were received by the authority for all births where (a) the mother was resident in the health district at the time of birth or (b) the birth occurred in a hospital within the district. All the cards included the mother’s name and address, the baby’s name, the date and time of birth, the baby’s sex, and the birth weight. Other details such as mother and father’s occupation and presence of congenital abnormalities were less likely to have been recorded.

The infant cohort consisted of all births occurring between 1 January 1974 and 31 December 1980 where the parental address was within a “SE” or “SW” London postal district. This geographical restriction was applied to exclude possible effects from increasing rates of in utero and ex utero perinatal transfer. Births were divided into four groups according to birth weight:

- Group I (VLBW) ≤ 1500 g
- Group II 1501–2500 g
- Group III 2501–4000 g
- Group IV > 4000 g.

Since we were collecting this cohort primarily as a denominator against which we would contrast the later educational needs of survivors we excluded all stillbirths and neonatal deaths. However, for the VLBW births only, we listed separately live births who died in the first month.

MOBILITY AND BIRTH WEIGHT
The number of children from the infant cohort who were still resident in the district at the time of their 8th birthday remains unknown. To assess the residential stability of children from different birthweight groups we examined a sample from the infant cohort who were born in 1979–80.
Table 1 Numbers of infants in the infant cohort in each birthweight group, with the numbers who went on to be assessed for special educational needs (SEN). The relative risk of SEN (*=p<0.001) has been calculated for groups I, II & IV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Birthweight (g)</th>
<th>Infant cohort</th>
<th>SEN</th>
<th>Relative risk of SEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>&lt;1500</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>1501–2500</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>2501–4000</td>
<td>27700</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>&gt;4000</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>31 846</td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We listed the names and dates of birth of the 50 VLBW survivors who were born between August 1979 and December 1980. Fifty survivors were also randomly selected from each of the other birthweight groups over the same time period. The names and dates of birth were then given to the local family practitioner committee who traced those children in each group who were still registered with a local general practitioner within our district.

Results

INFANT COHORT

The infant cohort consisted of 31 846 survivors (fig 1). Of these, 0.59% were in birthweight group I (VLBW), 6.2% in group II, 87% in group III, and 6.2% in group IV (table 1).

There were no clear trends with time in the total number of infants in the infant cohort. The annual numbers ranged from 4183 in 1977 to 4857 in 1974. No trends were seen in the numbers in groups II, III, and IV (fig 2).

The annual number of VLBW survivors increased from 11 in 1974 to 32 in 1980, whereas the number of neonatal deaths remained about the same (fig 3). The increases in the number of survivors was due to an increase in the total number of notifications and an increase in the survival rate. In the years with the greatest number of survivors, the mean birth weight of survivors was lower.

MOBILITY AND RELIABILITY OF RECORDS

An unknown number of children from the infant cohort had left the district, and some of these children would have been assessed for SEN elsewhere without our knowledge. Residential stability was assessed in a sample of children born in 1979–80, and after eight years 43% of the sample were still registered with general practitioners within the district. There were no major differences in the mobility of the different birthweight groups, with 20 of 50 of those from group I still registered within the district after eight years, compared with 25 of 50 from group II, 19 of 50 from group III, and 22 of 50 from group IV. As a result of this degree of mobility, our estimates of the risk of SEN in each birthweight group will be roughly half of the true value, assuming the risks for those who stay and those who move are not too dissimilar. It is reasonable to calculate the relative risk of SEN in the different groups, making the assumption that mobility does not vary with birth weight (table 1).

In the pilot study of children born in 1979, 43 out of 45 children in special schools were found to have been included in the community child health records; the two who were not included had been born elsewhere and thus were not named in the infant cohort. This confirmed that the central records were a reliable source to determine which children from the infant cohort were receiving special education.
SPECIAL EDUCATIONAL NEEDS

Altogether 478 children born between 1974–80 had been formally assessed for special educational needs within the district, but only 260 (54%) were from the infant cohort (fig 1). Of these 260, six (2.3%) were in birthweight group I, 34 (13.1%) were in group II, 203 (78%) were in group III, and 17 (6.5%) were in group IV.

The relative risk for special educational needs of VLBW infants was 4·4 times that of infants weighing 2501–4000 g (χ² squared with Yates's correction = 12·1, p<0·001). The relative risk that special education would be required was 2·4 for infants in group II (χ² = 21·3, p<0·001) and 1·2 for those in group IV (χ² = 0·25, NS).

As there were very few infants with SEN from group I, we did not analyse annual trends for the risk of SEN, but instead compared the first and second halves of the period studied (table 2). There was very little difference in the numbers assessed for SEN between the first and second period, for all of the birthweight groups of the infant cohort.

Between the first and second half of the study the numbers of group I infants in the cohort increased from 68 to 120. This meant that the risk of SEN for group I infants was actually slightly lower in the second half of the study, but because of the small numbers involved it is impossible to calculate the relative risk with any confidence. There were similar numbers of children in the first and second period in groups II, III, and IV, so that the risk of SEN was virtually unchanged for these groups.

Details of the neonatal course and educational problems of the six VLBW infants who were assessed for SEN are shown in table 3. Three had cerebral palsy (one from the first half, two from the second half of the study).

Of these, two attended schools for physically handicapped children, and one attended a mainstream school with part-time attendance at a school for the visually impaired. Two of the remaining children had a combination of language delay with mild to moderate learning difficulties, and one had attention deficit with patchy learning difficulties. One attended a school for children with moderate learning difficulties, and two attended schools for “delicate” children.

The most severely disabled child was born in the second half of the study. She had cerebral palsy with moderate learning difficulties and a sensorineural hearing loss of 50dB.

Discussion

Within our district, the numbers of VLBW babies surviving after 1 month of age increased dramatically over the period of the study. This was the result of an improvement in the survival rate and an increase in the incidence of VLBW births. The fact that a greater number of notified live births was accompanied by a fall in mean birth weight for both survivors and neonatal deaths suggests that there was a change in notifications, rather than a true change in incidence. It seems likely that as the outlook for the VLBW baby improved, the management of preterm labour was more active, and smaller infants were resuscitated, notified as live births, and offered intensive care.

Despite the fact that the number of VLBW survivors had nearly doubled in the second half of the study, they did not make a greater contribution to the population of children with special educational needs in the district. For VLBW infants, the relative risk of SEN was lower in the second half of the study, but this was not statistically significant because of the small numbers involved.

The relative risk that VLBW infants would have subsequent special educational needs in this study (4·4 times more than normal birth-weight infants) was similar to that in a Finnish study of the 1960s (3·5 times as likely). This increased risk of the need for special education may be the result of social as well as biological factors in the VLBW group.

---

Table 2 Numbers of survivors in the infant cohort for the first and second halves of the study (January 1974–June 1977, and July 1977–December 1980). The numbers from each period who went on to be assessed for special educational needs (SEN) is shown, with the percentage SEN rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Birth weight (g)</th>
<th>No of survivors</th>
<th>No with SEN</th>
<th>Survivors with SEN (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1500</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1501–2500</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2501–4000</td>
<td>13 790</td>
<td>13 920</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;4000</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>1104</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Table 3 Details of the neonatal course and educational problems of the six very low birthweight infants who were assessed for special educational needs. Neonatal characteristics are birth weight, gestational age (GA), whether mechanical ventilation was given, and the main neonatal diagnoses (PDA = patent ductus arteriosus; IUGR = intrauterine growth retardation; IVH = intraventricular haemorrhage). The nature of the problems at school age are shown, with the type of school placement after assessment for educational needs (CP = cerebral palsy).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Neonatal characteristics</th>
<th>Function at school age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Birthweight (g)</td>
<td>GA (wks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974–77</td>
<td>1220</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1420</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1340</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977–80</td>
<td>0950</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0880</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Birth weight and special educational needs

We do not have complete ascertainment of handicap, since we do not have information about children whose special needs were recognised outside our district. Given our figures on residential stability, it is likely that the true risk of SEN is roughly twice that of our estimates. If those who stay are more likely to have SEN than those who move, then the true risk would be less than twice that of our estimates. This factor would apply equally to all birthweight groups, so that the relative risk figures would be reliable. However, it is possible that disabilities might be discovered earlier in children brought to the attention of medical services by their VLBW birth, and that this might lead parents to stay close to services with which they were familiar. If such children were less likely to move than larger children whose disability was discovered later, the estimate of relative risk for SEN in VLBW infants might be inflated.

One argument that is used against the provision of increased facilities for neonatal intensive care is that an increased “burden” arising from a greater number of handicapped children will have to be borne by the community. We have not found this to be the case in the late 1970s, in spite of a marked increase in VLBW survival in this period. The numbers of VLBW infants who went on to have SEN were small, limiting the accuracy of our conclusions. Nevertheless, the fact that their numbers were so small emphasises that even major increases in their survival may have little impact on overall patterns of disability in the school age population. Although the most severely disabled survivor was from the second half of the study period, there was no major difference in the type of educational provision required for the children from the two halves of the study.

Changing definitions of SEN and the recognition of a new spectrum of disabilities in VLBW survivors may lead to increasing numbers of such infants being assessed for SEN. Future studies of the contribution of VLBW infants to SEN should take account of the changing scope of the statementing process. Alternative measures of long term disability, such as the need for placement in a school for severe learning disability, are not likely to remain constant either, as increasing numbers of children with learning difficulties are integrated into mainstream education.

Rapid changes are occurring in the field of neonatal care, and today’s survivors are smaller and sicker than those included in this study. As the scope of neonatal care includes lower gestations and birth weights, careful audit of outcome in distinct birthweight and gestational categories must continue. This constitutes an important tool which will help when deciding whether intensive care should be given to an individual baby. There was no evidence from the time period studied that increased survival of VLBW infants resulted in an increase in the total number of children with SEN in our community. The difficult decision of whether to offer intensive care to very small babies should continue to be made on the basis of the outlook for the individual baby, rather than on the basis of perceived effects on the community.
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