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Abstract

Study objective – The study aimed to reveal associations between dietary antioxidant vitamins and other personal characteristics.

Design – Population based, cross sectional survey.

Setting – Twenty seven rural, industrial, and semiurban communities in six different regions of Finland.

Participants – Subjects included 5304 men and 4750 women aged 15 years or older, who were interviewed about their dietary habits at the baseline study of the Finnish Mobile Clinic Health Examination Survey, 1967–72.

Main results – Intakes of carotenoids and vitamins A, E, and C were estimated from dietary history interviews covering the subjects’ food consumption in the preceding year. In older age groups intakes of all the vitamins studied were low. Occupation had a profound effect on dietary antioxidant vitamins: intakes were highest in white collar workers and lowest in farmers; those classified as service workers, industrial workers, or housewives came in between. Current smoking was inversely associated with dietary carotenoids and vitamin C, especially in men. The vitamin intakes of ex-smokers were equal to or even slightly higher than those of never smokers. Married men had higher intakes of carotenoids and vitamin C than men living alone. Body mass index was not an important determinant of the intake of antioxidant vitamins.

Conclusions – The associations of dietary antioxidant vitamins with sociodemographic characteristics and smoking were strong enough to exert a confounding or modifying effect in studies on diet and diseases.
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Much interest has recently been focussed on antioxidant agents in the diet. Because they can prevent or reduce oxidative changes in tissues, these agents may combat the initiation or progression of degenerative diseases. Dietary nutrients thought to have very strong antioxidant effects are carotenoids and vitamins C and E. There is both experimental and epidemiological evidence supporting a beneficial role for these vitamins in preventing chronic illnesses such as cancer and cardiovascular diseases.1–3 These diseases are, however, multifactorial in their origins, and are known to be associated with several other personal characteristics, such as age, sex, smoking, social standing, and obesity. Because of the suggested inter-relations between diet and other personal characteristics,4–12 their relative importance in disease outcome is complicated. Better knowledge of these relationships would assist in establishing their roles as risk factors of chronic diseases. In this study, the associations between selected demographic and health behaviour related variables and the dietary intake of carotenoids and vitamins A, C, and E were evaluated among the Finnish adults who participated in the Finnish Mobile Clinic Health Examination Survey.

Methods

A large scale health examination survey was carried out in Finland during 1966–72.13 The target population of the study comprised people aged 15 years or older living in 27 rural, industrial, or semiurban communities in six different regions of the country. Collection of dietary data was included in the study schedule in 1967. Approximately one in five of those who participated in the health examination were interviewed about their dietary habits. Altogether, 10 054 dietary history interviews were conducted.

A modified interview method14 15 was used to collect information on the subjects’ diet during the previous year. Intakes of different food items and mixed food dishes were determined in interviews guided by a preformed questionnaire. Intakes of foods with strong seasonal fluctuation were determined separately for different seasons. Food amounts were given per day, week, month, or year according to the choice of the respondent. Foods eaten at breakfast were reported separately. Artificial food models or samples of real food were used to assist the estimation of food amounts. The time taken for the interview averaged 30 to 40 minutes.

More than 500 different individual foods or dishes containing a variety of ingredients were recorded in the interviews. Daily intakes of different food items and nutrients were calculated using food composition tables, which included recipes for mixed food dishes and gave nutrient contents for separate food items. Food values for different tocopherols and tocoferol,16 and for specific carotenoids and retinoids,17 were based on values analysed in
Finnish foods. For liver vitamin A, values ana-
lysed in the late 1960s were used.17 Figures for vitamin C were taken from Finnish food
composition tables.18 Dietary vitamin A from preformed vitamin A and provitamin A caro-
tenoids was calculated in retinol equivalents.29
Dietary carotenoids were estimated in β-car-
etone equivalents, consisting of β-carotene and half of the amounts of α-carotene, γ-carotene,
and cryptoxanthin. Vitamin E values, in α-
tocopherol equivalents, were calculated from α-
tocopherol and other compounds with vit-
amin E activity using conversion factors sug-
gested elsewhere.21 Vitamin intakes were mainly
based on the vitamin contents of uncooked foods.
The dietary method and its repro-
cducibility were described in detail in a pre-
vious study.22 Intraclass correlation coefficients for dietary β-carotene and vitamins A, E, and C,
based on interviews repeated within four to
eight months, ranged from 0.30 to 0.78. The
lowest figure was found for vitamin A and the
highest for vitamin E.

A premailed questionnaire checked by a
study nurse at the examination provided infor-
mation about smoking habits, occupation,
and marital status.13 For smoking habits, sub-
jects were classified into four groups: never
smokers, ex-smokers, light smokers (smoking
less than 15 cigarettes per day or only pipe or
cigars), and heavy smokers (at least 15 ci-
garettes per day). Occupational categories were
formed in the light of information supplied on the
present or the latest former employment,
according to a Nordic adaptation of the ILO
classification.23 The subjects’ weight and height
were measured without shoes, in light indoor
clothing.13 One or two kg were subtracted from
the recorded weight depending on the amount
of clothing, and the body mass index (kg/m²)
was calculated.

Mean intakes of antioxidant vitamins and
their percentage distributions were calculated.
Associations between dietary antioxidant vit-
amin intakes and other personal characteristics
were assessed by linear covariance analysis,24
using the pertinent nutrient as a dependant
variable. Age, geographical region, oc-
cupational group, marital status, smoking hab-
bits, and body mass index were included in the
model as independent variables one at a time.
Age as a potential confounder was included in
all analyses. Likewise in all analyses, secular
confounding that might arise due to seasonal
differences or time elapse was diminished by
adjusting for geographical region. Analyses
were made either adjusting or not adjusting
for dietary energy, and finally multivariate analyses
simultaneously including all non-dietary char-
acteristics as independent variables were per-
formed.

Results
Intakes and centile distributions of carotenoids,
retinoids, vitamins A, E, and C, and some other
related nutrients and dietary energy in the study
population are shown in table 1. Total dietary
vitamin A was mainly provided by preformed retinoids. Carotenoids accounted for 17 and
26% of the total dietary vitamin A in the average
diet of men and women, respectively. Virtually
all provitamin A activity of the diet was at-
tributed to β-carotene intake. Estimated dietary
vitamin E activity was mainly from α-toco-
pherol intake. The distribution of vitamin in-
takes, and that of carotenoids in particular,
was skewed to the right. Intakes of total and
preformed dietary vitamin A, vitamin E, and
dietary energy were higher in men, whereas
those of carotenoids and vitamin C were higher in
women.

Table 2 lists the percentage contribution of
different food groups to the intake of the stud-
ed vitamins in the average diet of men and
women. Vegetables provided the highest propor-
tion of carotenoids, but total vitamin A
was mainly supplied by animal foods, with
a considerable proportion derived from liver.
Several food sources, of both vegetable and
animal origin, contributed to dietary vitamin
E. Fruits and potatoes were the main food
source of vitamin C.

Associations between personal char-
acteristics and antioxidant vitamin intakes ad-
justed for age and geographical region are
shown in table 3. Suggested associations were
not notably changed by further adjustments
for dietary energy or other personal characteris-
tics, with a few exceptions quoted in the text. Results
from all analyses are available from the author
responsible for correspondence. A clear-cut de-
crease in dietary carotenoids was shown with
increasing age (table 3). Married men were in
a better position than men living alone. In
women, marital status did not make a sig-
ificant difference. Occupational group was an
important determinant for dietary carotenoids,
white collar workers having the highest intake, and farmers the lowest intake. Differences between geographical regions in dietary carotenoids were significant. Men who were current smokers had lower intakes of carotenoids than ex-smokers or never smokers. The association between smoking and dietary carotenoids was not significant among women, but our results suggested that intakes were lowest for heavy smokers and highest for ex-smokers. There were no significant differences in carotenoid intake according to body mass index.

Intakes of vitamin A were highest among young adults and lowest in the oldest age group (table 3). Unmarried men and women tended to have lower adjusted intakes of dietary vitamin A than those who were married, widowed, or divorced. Occupational group was closely associated with vitamin A intake in a pattern similar to that shown for dietary carotenoids. There were significant differences in dietary vitamin A intakes between different regions, too. Smoking habits were not an important independent determinant for dietary vitamin A. There was a small decrease in dietary vitamin A in the highest quartile of body mass index in women.

An inverse relationship between age and dietary vitamin E was evident (table 3). Different

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>The average percentage of dietary antioxidant vitamins provided by different foods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food group</td>
<td>Average amount of vitamin provided by the food group (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potatoes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits, berries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margarine, oils</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REq = retinol equivalents.

* Vitamin A mainly derived from liver.

** Table 3 | Adjusted* mean intake (mg/d) of carotenoids, vitamin A, vitamin E, and vitamin C according to different demographic and health behaviour related characteristics in men and women |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)†</td>
<td>597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60+</td>
<td>615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value for trend</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marital status:</th>
<th>Unmarried</th>
<th>Married</th>
<th>Widowed, divorced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>1331</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value for trend</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation:</th>
<th>Agricultural</th>
<th>Industrial</th>
<th>Services</th>
<th>White collar</th>
<th>Housewives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1197</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value for trend</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1053</td>
<td>1162</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value for trend</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smoking status:</th>
<th>Never smoker</th>
<th>Ex-smoker</th>
<th>Light smoker</th>
<th>Heavy smoker</th>
<th>Body mass index (kg/m²)**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1512</td>
<td>3645</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value for trend</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.14</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Adjusted for age and geographical region; † not adjusted for age; ‡ not adjusted for geographical region; § the year of the baseline study; ** quintile of body mass index.

REq = retinol equivalents.
ences in marital status implied a lower adjusted intake of vitamin E among the unmarried. Occupational group was significantly associated with dietary vitamin E; the pattern of the effect, however, was dependent on energy adjustment. Total vitamin E intakes were highest in farmers and lowest in white collar workers. For energy adjusted values, the opposite was true: white collar workers had the highest values and farmers the lowest values (data not shown). Results for geographical regions suggested higher vitamin E intakes in those regions studied more recently. Current smoking was positively associated with total dietary vitamin E, but energy adjustment changed the results of men so that the lowest values were found in heavy smokers and the highest in ex-smokers (data not shown). Body mass index and dietary vitamin E tended to be positively associated in men, but an inverse association was suggested for women. A reduction with age was also evident for dietary vitamin C (table 3). Marital status did not significantly determine the intake of vitamin C among women, but married men had higher intakes of vitamin C than other men. There was a clear increase in vitamin C intake through occupational groups from farmers to white collar workers, with housewives coming in between. Dietary vitamin C intake levels were highest in the southwestern and eastern regions. Heavy smokers tended to have the lowest amount of vitamin C in their diet. Among women, the highest vitamin C intake was demonstrated in ex-smokers. The minor associations suggested between body mass index and dietary vitamin C varied depending on adjustments for other variables (data not shown).

Discussion

In our study intakes of antioxidant vitamins were found to be associated with several other personal characteristics, the most important being age, occupational group, and smoking habits. It seemed that intakes of vitamin C and carotenoids were affected more than those of vitamin A or vitamin E. In most aspects dietary carotenoids and vitamin C behave rather similarly, suggesting that their intakes were associated with a similar dietary pattern, although, in general, they were not derived from the same food source. The associations shown between sociodemographic characteristics and smoking and dietary antioxidant vitamin intakes were largely independent of other personal characteristics. The importance of dietary energy adjustment was evident, however, especially for dietary vitamin E.

Greater intakes of dietary carotenoids and vitamin C among women than among men were evident in all classifications used. Smaller sex differences in dietary carotenoids and vitamin C can be found in other populations, and some studies have shown greater absolute intakes of these vitamins in men than in women. When expressed /kg body weight, dietary vitamin C was reported to be higher in women than men, especially in older age groups. In accordance with previous find-

ings, vitamin E was found to be higher in the diet of men. When related to dietary energy all antioxidant vitamins have been reported to be greater in the diet of women.

The inverse association shown between age and the intake of antioxidant nutrients in this study suggests that an ageing body may be less well equipped to resist oxidative tissue damage, which may increase as body ages. Decreased serum concentrations of α-tocopherol and β-carotene in older groups were reported previously in subjects drawn from the present study population. The relationship between age and antioxidant vitamin intake may vary in different populations, however, and it seems to depend on the expression of nutrient intake data. Although previous results suggesting lower intakes of vitamin E with increasing age are consistent with our findings, some studies have shown similar or even slightly higher absolute dietary intakes of vitamin A and C in older groups. When expressed in terms of /kg body weight, an inverse association between dietary vitamin C and age was found in men, but no significant effect of age was found in women. Nutrient densities for vitamins A, C, and E were reported to be stable or raised with age in one previous study. Our result, suggesting the beneficial effect of marriage on dietary carotenoids in men, agrees with previous findings on serum β-carotene and marital status. Differences in vitamin intake between geographical regions apparently reflected the suggested geographical diversity in dietary patterns. However, differences ascribed to region may be partly due to secular changes in food consumption in Finland during the years of the baseline study.

The importance of occupational group and other measures related to social standing as determinants of dietary intake has been suggested in previous studies from different countries. Most of these, however, deal with the intake of foods and nutrients other than antioxidant vitamins. Dietary habits seem to be less healthy in manual than non-manual workers who are usually associated with a higher standard of education and social esteem. As in previous studies that reported intakes of dietary antioxidant vitamins, our results suggested that people in generally non-manual occupations, for example white collar workers and those employed in services, were in a better position than farmers and industrial workers. In the population studied, occupation was one of the most important non-dietary determinants for antioxidant vitamins, especially for dietary carotenoids and vitamin C. At variance with the findings of some previous studies, our results even suggested that the effect of occupation on dietary antioxidant vitamins was greater than that of smoking habits.

The poorer nutritional status of smokers in respect of antioxidant vitamins has been suggested in previous studies. Although serum carotene and vitamin C concentrations, seem to be more directly affected by current smoking, the nutritional status of smokers may be further compromised by inadequacies of diet. In line with previous studies,
Determinants of antioxidant vitamins

Carotenoid and vitamin C contents were found to be lower in the diet of smokers, especially in men. In contrast to dietary carotene, smoking was not a significant determinant of dietary vitamin A in this study, obviously because of the impact of retinol on total dietary vitamin A. According to most previous studies, dietary retinol is not significantly affected by smoking, or may even be higher in current smokers.11 27 28

The relationship between smoking and vitamin E seems to be enigmatic. In the present study, current smoking and dietary vitamin E tended to be positively associated, a finding that was partly explained by differences in dietary energy. Previous studies have reported lower intakes of vitamin E in current smokers than in non-smokers.12 27 28 Determinations of serum α-tocopherol do not suggest any outstanding association between smoking and vitamin E status.35 However, some previous studies have reported significantly46 or non-significantly47 lower serum α-tocopherol values in smokers than in non-smokers.

Our findings that ex-smokers have higher antioxidant vitamin intakes than current smokers, and that women’s diets have improved more than those of men, are in line with previous studies suggesting that ex-smokers have a healthier diet than current smokers,27 39 42 and that dietary changes appear sooner in women than in men.27 The somewhat different effects of smoking on the dietary habits of men and women, also suggested in the present study, may be associated with differences in social status and health related behaviours between sexes.

To our knowledge, the relationship between body mass index and dietary antioxidant vitamins has not been reported in other populations. In general, the relationship between body mass index and dietary data is complex, and findings concerning adiposity and dietary intakes have been conflicting.3 44 In our study, body mass index and dietary antioxidant vitamins were only weakly related. The slight inverse association between body mass index and some dietary antioxidant vitamins in women shown in this study may refer to lower physical activity or attempts to lose weight among subjects with a greater body mass index, or may reflect potential under-reporting of dietary intakes among obese subjects.44

In our study dietary antioxidant vitamin intakes were calculated from diets surveyed by the dietary history interview method. Although absolute figures for nutrient intakes may not be obtained by these history interviews, it has been suggested that this method is suitable for nutritional epidemiological research, where rating of subjects according to their relative dietary intakes is important.15 The availability of new Finnish food composition values provided by an advanced technique of analysing dietary carotenoids, retinoids, tocopherols, and tocotrienols, enabled us to calculate intakes of carotenoids, and vitamins A and E more precisely than before. Positive correlations were previously demonstrated between β-carotene in the diet and in serum,26 and between dietary vitamin E and serum tocopherol values.41

In conclusion, dietary antioxidant vitamin intakes were found to be closely associated with age, occupational group, and smoking habits. These dietary intakes also risk determinants for chronic diseases such as cancer and coronary heart disease. In general, dietary intakes of carotenoids and vitamin C were found to be affected more strongly and in a very similar pattern. In contrast, the associations of vitamin E and other personal variables were less consistent and depended especially on energy adjustment. Despite potential cultural differences between populations, our results support previous findings suggesting that current smokers and manual workers are nutritionally in a poorer position than non-smokers and non-manual workers. Accordingly, the impacts of dietary antioxidant vitamins and non-dietary risk factors on the occurrence of chronic disease may be compounded by each other, and these inter-relationships should be considered in nutritional epidemiological studies concerning chronic diseases with a multifactorial background.

Cancer patient survival by socioeconomic status in seven countries: a review for six common cancer sites
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Abstract

Study objective – To study the size and consistency of socioeconomic differences in cancer patient survival as reported in published studies.

Methods – A systematic review was conducted. Several criteria were developed to select the study material, which resulted in 14 reports on socioeconomic differences in survival for cancers of the colon, rectum, lung, prostate, breast, and cervix. These present results on patients from the United States, Japan, Australia, United Kingdom, Sweden, Finland, and Germany. The results are summarised in a relative risk of dying or survival ratio for the lowest socioeconomic status group compared with the highest.

Results – For cancers of the colon, rectum, breast, and cervix, patients from higher socioeconomic status groups had a better survival. For lung cancer and cancer of the prostate, results were unclear.

Conclusion – Socioeconomic differences in cancer survival are generally small and their contribution to socioeconomic differences in cancer mortality is probably small too. These findings have implications for the type of health policy measures which should be taken to reduce socioeconomic differences in cancer mortality.

Corrigendum: An article was published in the October issue entitled “Cancer patient survival by socioeconomic status in The Netherlands: a review for six common cancer sites” (J Epidemiol Community Health 1994; 48: 441–6). This title was incorrect and misleading. The corrected title is printed above, together with the abstract.