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Abstract

Study objective – To review the incidence of leukaemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma in children and young adults in the area less than 25 km from the Dounreay nuclear installation and the remainder of the Kirkwall postcode area in the full time period for which data are now available (1968–91), and to determine whether the excess incidence reported in the period up to 1984 has continued in subsequent years.

Design – Geographical incidence study.

Setting – The Kirkwall postcode area of Scotland.

Subjects – Children and young adults resident in the area in the period 1968–91.

Main results – Observed numbers of cases of leukaemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma and observed to expected ratios with expected numbers based on Scottish national rates were determined. In 1968–91, 12 cases were observed compared with 5·2 expected in the zone <25 km from the Dounreay plant (p = 0·007). In the latest period, 1985–91, which has not previously been examined, four cases were observed compared with 1·4 expected (p = 0·059).

Conclusion – The observation of an excess of borderline statistical significance in 1985–91 following the substantial excess incidence which occurred in the early 1980s suggests that the incidence of leukaemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma in this area should continue to be a matter of concern. The phenomenon of high incidences of childhood and young adult leukaemia and lymphoma near some nuclear installations in isolated areas is yet to be explained, but certain aspects of the data examined in the present report are consistent with the hypothesis of an infectious aetiology for leukaemia in very young children.

(J Epidemiol Community Health 1994;48:232–236)

In 1986 the Information and Statistics Division of the Scottish Health Service Common Services Agency (ISD) was asked to provide information on the incidence of cancer in the population living near the Dounreay nuclear reprocessing plant in Caithness, Scotland. This was prompted by a public local inquiry into a proposed development of the plant.1 With the exception of a higher than expected incidence of leukaemia in the 0–24 age group, no general excess of cancer was found.2 The findings in relation to leukaemia were referred by the inquiry reporter to the Committee on Medical Aspects of Radiation in the Environment (COMARE) and formed the basis of the committee’s second report.3

Six cases were observed among people aged 0–24 years resident within 25 km of Dounreay in the period 1968–84 compared with 3·0 expected on the basis of Scottish national rates (observed to expected ratio (O/E) 2·0, p = 0·08). All six cases occurred in the period 1979–84 (O/E 6·5, p < 0·01). COMARE concluded that ‘the incidence of leukaemia amongst young people from the Dounreay area was substantially above the national expectation for the period 1968–84’ and that ‘the distribution of cases over time, although unexpected and therefore difficult to evaluate, increases the concern about leukaemia incidence in this area’.4

Further epidemiological investigations were recommended, including a study which compared the incidence of leukaemia and other cancers in a cohort of children born locally with those who attended school in the area but had been born elsewhere,4 and a case-control study which examined a number of possible risk factors for leukaemia.5 Both of these studies included cases of leukaemia and of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma who were registered after 1984, the last year of data examined in the second COMARE report, but details of these new cases have not previously been reported in the context of a formal geographical study of incidence. Analysis of the recent incidence of childhood cancer near Sellafield, the other main site of nuclear reprocessing in the United Kingdom, has been conducted by Draper et al.6

The aim of this paper is to present incidence data for the period 1985–91 and for the full period for which reliable incidence data for Scotland are now available, 1968–91. While the authors are aware of the limitations of studies involving very small numbers of cases, it is felt that a formal update of the second COMARE report is justified by the considerable scientific and public interest in the incidence of leukaemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma in young people in this part of Scotland.

Data and methods

CASE ASCERTAINMENT AND VALIDATION

The main source of information about the
incidence of cancer in Scotland is the Scottish National Cancer Registry. The ascertainment rate for new cases of cancer in children in Scotland is thought to be in excess of 90%. Registrations of leukaemia in children and young adults in Scotland have been subject to particular scrutiny in order to ensure completeness and accuracy. Glass et al. compared registrations in 1968–82 with hospital discharge and mortality records in an attempt to trace possible missed cases. All cases were then submitted for panel review of diagnosis and addresses of cases were checked from case notes and postcoded. A further review of cases of leukaemia and also of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma in the Dounreay area was conducted by members of COMARE. This showed that some of the cases previously registered as non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma would have been classified as leukaemia using contemporary diagnostic criteria. The original validation study of leukaemia in 1968–82 is now being supplemented by a case finding and validation exercise covering all cases of childhood cancer in Scotland in the period 1975–90. This is the first stage of a study of the incidence of childhood cancer near all nuclear installations in Scotland. The original cancer registration records have been matched against those of the National Registry of Childhood Tumours, the database held by the Medical Research Council’s Clinical Trials Service Unit at the Radcliffe Infirmary, Oxford, and hospital discharge records (SMR1) held by ISD.

Potential cases were followed up by checking demographic details, particularly postcode, and by confirmation of diagnosis or, where necessary, panel review of diagnoses. This work in respect of leukaemia is now complete and the validated information has been used in the data analysis for this report. A proportion of the non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma cases have not yet been validated and only basic cancer registration data have been included in the analysis. A full report of the methods and outcome of this validation exercise will be published elsewhere. All cases in the 0–14 age group diagnosed since 1 January 1991 have been admitted to the Scottish Case Control Study of Childhood Cancer and are validated as a matter of routine. Details of leukaemias and non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas diagnosed in persons aged 15–24 years have not been extracted from the records of the cancer registry. In order to maintain the comparability of incidence data for the study areas with data for other areas of Scotland, the present report considers only the combined incidence of leukaemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma.

**POPULATION DATA**

Population estimates for the study areas defined in the earlier reports were based on the censuses of 1971 and 1981. In Scotland, the geography of the 1991 census permits direct matching of output areas with 1981 and henceforth also with the study areas defined in these earlier reports. Age specific, usually resident, population counts were extracted from the small areas statistics for the censuses of 1971, 1981, and 1991 and linear interpolation was used to calculate intercensal population estimates for the study areas. Populations used in calculating background rates were drawn from mid-year population estimates for Scotland.

**STATISTICAL METHODS**

The form of presentation used in the present paper follows that adopted in the second COMARE report. The overall study area was the KW (Kirkwall) postcode area which includes Caithness District, part of Sutherland District, and the Orkney Islands (fig 1). Study zones were defined in terms of distance from the Dounreay site. The ‘full Dounreay area’ was defined as the area less than 25 km from the site and includes an ‘inner zone’ (less than 12.5 km from the site) and an ‘outer zone’ (from 12.5 km to less than 25 km from the site). The ‘full neighbouring area’ was defined as the remainder of the KW postcode area, including the ‘mainland residue’ (the mainland KW area 25 km or more from the site) and Orkney.

Data for the time periods 1968–91 and 1985–91 and the age groups 0–24 and 0–14 were tabulated separately, again in the manner of the second COMARE report. Observed numbers of cases were compared with expected numbers calculated by applying age specific Scottish national rates for leukaemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, based on the validation.
study described above. In order to maintain compatibility with the second COMARE report, no adjustments were made for socio-economic variables or urban-rural status. The p values shown denote the probability, based on the Poisson distribution, of observing n or more cases, given the number expected.

Results

Population counts for the study areas from the censuses of 1971, 1981, and 1991 are shown in Table 1. With the exception of Orkney, there has been a decline in the population aged 0–24 years of the KW area between 1971 and 1991. For example, the population of 0–24 year olds in the zone within 25 km of Dounreay fell from 6334 to 5834 to 4896 in successive censuses. Table 2 summarises details of all cases of leukaemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma in the 0–24 age group resident in the study areas in the period 1968–91. Eight cases of leukaemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma were registered in the 0–24 age group in 1985–91, bringing the incidence in the full period 1968–91 to 25. The table includes diagnostic information based on the most recent review.

The distribution of cases between study areas in the full period 1968–91 is shown in Table 3. Twelve cases in the 0–24 age group occurred in the area within 25 km of Dounreay (O/E 2.3, p = 0.007) and 13 in the remainder of the KW area and Orkney (O/E 1.1, p = 0.412). The observed cases exceeded expectation in both the inner and outer zones of the area within 25 km of Dounreay, although the excess was statistically significant only in the inner zone (O/E 3.0, p = 0.006). Table 4 shows that of the eight cases in the most recent time period, four were resident within 25 km of Dounreay (O/E 2.8, p = 0.059) and the remaining four in the ‘full neighbouring area’ (O/E 1.1, p = 0.495). Thus, while the numbers of cases observed exceeded expectation in all single areas and combinations of areas, none of these was statistically significant. Tables 3 and 4 also show equivalent comparisons for the age group 0–14. The results are broadly similar to those for the 0–24 age group. In the ‘full Dounreay area’, nine of the total 12 cases were aged 0–14 while in the ‘full neighbouring area’ all cases were aged 0–14 years.

Figure 2 shows the age-specific incidence rates of leukaemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma for the ‘full Dounreay area’, the ‘full neighbouring area’, and the whole of Scotland for the full time period 1968–91. Children aged 0–4 years at diagnosis resident in both the ‘full Dounreay area’ (rate 19.34 per 100 000) and the ‘full neighbouring area’ (18.04 per 100 000) exhibited rates more than twice those recorded for all Scotland (6.52 per 100 000). The incidence in each of the older age groups for the ‘full Dounreay area’ exceeds that for Scotland as a whole. For the ‘full neighbouring area’, incidence rates in the 5–9 and 10–14 age groups are lower than the all Scotland figures. No cases aged 15 years or older were diagnosed in this area.
Discussion
In observational studies of disease incidence in small geographical areas, the categorisation of data in terms of age and diagnostic groups and spatial and temporal boundaries invites the criticism that results may be unreliable because of the particular categorisation chosen, or even that the categorisation was not made independently of the data. A more fundamental difficulty arises when the motivation for such a study is pre-existing concern about disease incidence in a particular area based, for example, on a survey of many small areas or on the perceptions of local residents. In the case of the original Dounreay study, this latter point does not apply since the study was undertaken in order to provide information to the public inquiry initiated following a proposal to redevelop the Dounreay site. The categorisation of data in the original study was arbitrary and subdivisions of the spatial and temporal boundaries were used in order to avoid reliance on a single set of boundaries which might misrepresent the data. In their consideration of the Dounreay incidence data, COMARE chose to base their conclusions on the most conservative categorisation of the data: the area less than 25 km from Dounreay and the full time period 1968–84. On the same basis, the main conclusion from the present study is that there was a statistically significant excess incidence of leukaemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma in the area less than 25 km from Dounreay in the full period 1968–91. In both the second COMARE report and the subsequent study of the incidence of leukaemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma in birth and schools cohorts in the Dounreay area it was noted that the most unusual feature of the series of cases was their concentration in the most recent years studied. The findings of the present study in relation to the period 1985–91 reinforce concern about this aspect of the data.

Following reports of high incidences of leukaemia near both Sellafield and Dounreay, two very detailed case-control studies of possible risk factors have now been conducted in these areas. At Sealscale, near Sellafield, Gardner et al. found a significant association between leukaemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma in children and paternal preconceptional occupational exposure to ionising radiation. This association was not found in a comparable study of cases and controls in the Dounreay area in the period up to 1986. Indeed, only two of the eight cases with leukaemia in the area within 25 km of Dounreay had fathers who had worked at the plant at the time of the child’s conception. The only finding of potential significance in the Dounreay study was a higher proportion of parents of cases than of controls who reported recreational use of the beaches near Dounreay, although the strength of evidence for this association was very weak.

Early reports of leukaemia excesses near Sellafield and Dounreay led Kinlen et al. to test the hypothesis that risk of leukaemia in isolated rural areas was raised following large influxes of population. A series of studies showed that in particular circumstances, such as the development of new towns in previously isolated areas, high rates of leukaemia were associated with rapid population growth. These data were interpreted as evidence of an aetiology involving infectious exposures for childhood leukaemia. Kinlen reported incidentally that the burgh in Scotland with the greatest proportional increase in population size (147%) between 1951 and 1961 was Thurso. This was due to the development of the Dounreay plant leading up to the start of operations in 1958. However, the temporal distribution of leukaemia cases near Dounreay, which appeared mainly after 1979, is not consistent with Kinlen’s hypothesis of population mixing leading to increased incidence of childhood leukaemia as specified in these early studies. More recently Kinlen has studied population mixing due to the large numbers of workers from many areas employed away from home in the North Sea offshore oil industry. In areas categorised as rural with high proportions of male adult populations employed in the oil industry there was a significant excess incidence of leukaemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma in the age group 0–24 in the ‘early post-mixing period’ 1979–83 (O/E 1.4). This was mainly due to an approximately twofold excess in children aged less than 5 years (O/E 1.9). The Dounreay area was included in this category, and Kinlen has suggested that the local excess of leukaemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma in young people previously reported in the period 1979–84 was due to temporary effects of intense population mixing among the adult population employed away from home in the oil industry in an area in which the proportion of such workers had previously been low. In rural, high oil worker areas in the ‘later post-mixing period’, 1984–88, there was a small excess which was not statistically significant (O/E 1.1). The results of this paper have shown that the local excess incidence of leukaemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma near Dounreay has continued into the ‘later post-mixing period’ and beyond (O/E 2.8). There has also been a tendency for the later cases to be older. These
trends have been noted by Kinlen and interpreted as due to specific local aspects of population mixing. While Kinlen provides an intriguing possible explanation of the excess incidence in the early 1980s, the strength of evidence for population mixing in the aetiology of the later cases is difficult to evaluate.

A more general hypothesis relating high rates of childhood leukaemia, particularly acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, to conditions of rural isolation and high socioeconomic status has been suggested by Alexander et al. and Greaves and Alexander. It is argued that high risk of acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in such populations is related to immunological isolation in infancy and subsequent late exposure to an infectious agent(s) before the appearance of leukaemia. In sparsely populated areas isolated from urban centres, Alexander et al. found an exaggerated age incidence peak of acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in very young children and a relatively low incidence in older children and young adults. A similar pattern can be seen in the data for the 'full Dounreay area' near Sellafield also reported an unusual age distribution in comparison with other parts of the north of Scotland. The lifestyle and population mixing or socioeconomic status of rural isolation and high socioeconomic status may also be operational.
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