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Abstract
Objective – To determine whether the prevalence of HIV infection among injecting drug users in New Zealand has remained low since the introduction of a needle and syringe exchange scheme in May 1988.
Design – Anonymous survey of intravenous drug users attending outlets of the exchange scheme, based on questionnaire and saliva testing.
Setting – Twelve pharmacies and community outreach organisations in six cities.
Subjects – Altogether 620 people provided saliva specimens and completed questionnaires. These represented 73% of those who visited exchange scheme outlets during a three month period in 1992.
Main outcome measure – Saliva was tested for antibodies to HIV-1 and HIV-2 using an IgG-capture enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (GACELISA).
Results – Of 591 specimens eligible for inclusion, only three (0.5%) were repeatedly reactive in the GACELISA test, while two of these were also positive in a Western blot test.
Conclusions – Although surveys show that sharing of needles and syringes was common in New Zealand until recently, the prevalence of HIV infection in intravenous drug users has remained low. This can probably be attributed to the success of educational campaigns and legislative action to allow a needle and syringe exchange scheme to be set up.

(J Epidemil Community Health 1994;48:55–57)
to HIV-1 and HIV-2 using an IgG-capture enzyme linked immunosorbant assay (GACE-LISA, Wellcome Diagnostics). Samples found to be reactive in this test were retested twice. If either of the repeat tests was reactive, the sample was sent for confirmatory testing using the Western blot technique. Such samples were diluted (1:100) before being tested.

Before beginning the study reported here, a pilot study was conducted in a Dunedin pharmacy and community outreach organisation. Saliva samples were also tested from 10 people known to be HIV positive and from nine people known to be HIV negative; the test correctly identified the status of all 19 subjects.

Results

Of the 16 outlets invited to participate, two declined and two failed to forward specimens. The remaining 12 outlets returned 620 saliva specimens and questionnaires. Demographic information was also obtained about the 228 users of these outlets who declined to participate. Thus, the response rate was 73% (620 of 848). The intravenous drug users who refused to participate were similar to participants with regard to sex and age, but included a higher proportion of Maori people (17% compared with 9% of participants).

The 620 people who participated included 17 who indicated on their questionnaires that they had already provided a saliva specimen during the period of the study, and two who stated that they had never injected drugs. Of the remaining 601 subjects, 10 provided insufficient saliva for testing. The sex and age groups of the 591 people tested are shown in the table. There were 507 people of European origin, 52 Maoris, five Pacific Islanders, 20 others, and seven who did not state their ethnic group. The intravenous drug users had obtained their needles and syringes from community outreach organisations in 368 cases (62%) and pharmacies in 223 (38%).

| Characteristics of the 591 intravenous drug users tested |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Age group (y)   | Male            | Female          | Sex not stated  | Total           |
| 15−19           | 11              | 10              | 2               | 21              |
| 20−24           | 73              | 59              | 2               | 134             |
| 25−29           | 144             | 61              | 5               | 205             |
| 30−34           | 98              | 34              | 1               | 133             |
| 35−39           | 47              | 18              | 6               | 65              |
| 40−44           | 15              | 5               | 1               | 20              |
| 45−49           | 2               | 1               | 3               | 6               |
| Not stated      | 3               | 2               | 5               | 10              |
| Total           | 393             | 190             | 8               | 591             |

Discussion

Since the first cases of AIDS were diagnosed in New Zealand in 1983, the epidemic has largely involved men who have had sex with other men. Among 360 cases notified by 31 December 1992, 314 (87%) were in this category (seven of these had also injected drugs).10 Only eight other intravenous drug users have developed AIDS (five men and three women). All of these eight people had injected drugs overseas. Such figures could give false reassurance, however, since HIV can spread very rapidly in populations injecting drugs and there is usually a long delay before the development of AIDS.

New Zealand has a substantial population of intravenous drug users. From a survey conducted in 1990,4 it was estimated that about 1% of New Zealanders aged 15−45 (or 16,000 people) have ever injected drugs.11 The number of current intravenous drug users is believed to be between 3000 and 7000 people.11 In 1992, there were 18 methadone programmes catering for 892 clients, with several programmes reporting waiting lists. While most intravenous drug users state that heroin would be their drug of choice, its availability is limited and many use morphine, other pharmaceuticals, “homebake” (derived from codeine), or opium poppies.6 Surveys in 1985, 1986, and 1987−88 showed that sharing of needles and syringes was common.14 This is reflected in a high prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection in intravenous drug users, with a recent survey finding that 73% attending a treatment centre were infected.12

The best approach for the surveillance of HIV infection in sentinel populations is the unlinked anonymous monitoring of left-over blood specimens collected for other purposes, because this avoids participation bias.13 Unfortunately, this is not feasible for studying intravenous drug users because, unlike other groups such as patients attending sexually transmitted disease clinics,14 they do not routinely have blood taken. Moreover, patients attending treatment clinics such as methadone programmes are not generally typical users. In a recent American survey, 4 HIV infection was found to be twice as common in community-recruited intravenous drug users as in those entering treatment programmes during the same period.15 Since surveillance of intravenous drug users requires voluntary participation, the method of sample collection should not discourage this. Intravenous drug users in Ontario were found to be more likely to agree to provide a saliva specimen than a fingerprint specimen of blood.16 Another advantage of using saliva is that it can be collected safely in difficult field conditions by people with minimal training. The development of IgG capture assays for HIV antibodies (such as the GACE-LISA method used in this study) has made highly sensitive saliva tests available for epidemiological research.17,18

Saliva testing has been used to evaluate needle exchange schemes in central London19 and Toronto,20 and to monitor the prevalence of HIV infection among intravenous drug
users in England and Wales.20 We used this approach in a national survey of intravenous drug users obtaining needles and syringes from community outreach organisations and pharmacies. The study has three limitations: that only a proportion of outlets were included, that a quarter of those using the outlets during the survey period declined to participate, and that those not using the exchange scheme were not included. Since even intravenous drug users with ready access to needles and syringes are liable to share equipment from time to time,7 however, it is likely that any appreciable rise in HIV prevalence among subpopulations would be accompanied by evidence of infection among the people tested. The conclusion from this study that HIV infection is still very uncommon among intravenous drug users in New Zealand is supported by two other observations. Firstly, while exchanges reported the only syringes returned in the exchange scheme in 1990, only two were unequivocally positive for HIV antibody (although it is possible that cleaning of syringes by intravenous drug users, particularly with bleach, could have led to some false negative results).21 Secondly, in unlinked anonymous monitoring of HIV prevalence at sexually transmitted disease clinics between August 1991 and August 1992, only two of 208 heterosexual men and none of 151 women known to have injected drugs were found to be infected.14

Why has the prevalence of HIV infection remained low among intravenous drug users in New Zealand? Spread of HIV among intravenous drug users requires a mechanism for efficient mixing of susceptibles with people infected with HIV. The current rarity of HIV infection among intravenous drug users in New Zealand could possibly stem from relative geographic isolation and good fortune. Nevertheless, New Zealanders travel extensively and a number have developed AIDS after injecting drugs overseas. The high prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection among injecting drug users group suggests that needle sharing behaviour has existed in a form which allowed transmission of blood borne viruses. Thus, it is likely that the low prevalence of HIV is due to changes in behaviour.

The HIV/AIDS epidemic in New Zealand is believed to have started later than in the USA or Britain, so there was the opportunity to introduce prevention programmes while the prevalence of HIV in intravenous drug users was still very low. AIDS education campaigns in New Zealand have included information about the dangers of needle sharing. In 1986 a special pamphlet was widely distributed to intravenous drug users, and this included advice on how to clean equipment. After extensive public debate, the Misuse of Drugs Amendment Act (1987) was passed to enable the establishment of a needle and syringe exchange scheme (under special regulations) in May 1988. This scheme has increased dramatically the availability of clean equipment, and an evaluation conducted after the first year showed a reduction in sharing. Further research could assess whether this reduction has persisted, and whether hepatitis C virus infection becomes common among young people who have started injecting drugs since the exchange scheme began. It is fashionable to imply that attempts to control AIDS by influencing human behaviour are doomed to failure, as if only the availability of a vaccine could modify the epidemic. While there is always the potential for rapid spread of HIV among intravenous drug users in New Zealand, their fortunate experience so far can probably be attributed to educational activities, changes in public policy, and legislative action.
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