The author tends to state assumptions or suggestions as definitive truths. Referencing is minimal. It would have been helpful if many more references had been given so that readers could continue the research and would be able to update themselves.

Useful for newcomers in the field, especially when working in the United States.

MARI LOUISE NEWELL
Department of Paediatric Epidemiology, Institute of Child Health, University of London


This atlas seeks to display spatial variations in mortality from various cancers in the EEC. The geographical disaggregation is at a regional level, corresponding to counties in Britain and départements in France, for instance. Unfortunately, the maps, which are very well done and are bunched in colour code in an "annex", which turns out to be a separate paperback volume: I cannot see why they could not have been published in a single volume with the text. The text itself comprises some useful introductory remarks on cancer mapping, including comments on sources of bias (such as international variations in coding practice). A series of brief vignettes on each country follows. These have some value, but the descriptions are rather simple-minded from a geographical point of view. We learn, for example, that in the UK "coal and iron have been mined for centuries" and, in Ireland, "there are many lakes"! The text also referred to a table of population data, which seems to have been omitted (though one of the maps is of variations in median age).

The text discusses each of the commoner, and some rarer, cancers (a total of 23 sites are discussed and mapped). Brief remarks on the aetiology of each are offered, together with useful references. Some of the remarks are rather sweeping, such as the observation that "employment in 'electricity' related occupations is associated with an increased risk of adult leukaemia" (p 110).

The maps show age-standardised rates, the statistical significance of which are flagged in accompanying tables (though we are referred to another publication for details of how significance is established). Seven classes are used in the maps. As the authors note, "presence of a group of areas with higher or lower than average cancer mortality...which are contiguous...is always of interest" (p 10). Ideally, we need to go beyond the maps to see whether the patterns are random or spurious; in other words, we need to accompany the maps with a test for spatial autocorrelation. That said, there are some intriguing geographical puzzles; for instance, why are rates of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma so high in the UK, Netherlands, and Denmark?

Geographers, statisticians, and epidemiologists are well aware of the problems of this kind of mapping, notably that of small numbers. For some of these cancers, even in the quite large areas mapped here, such numbers are indeed small. Nowondering these problems the authors have performed a useful function in assembling and mapping this data set. It is a pity, however, that it is the "old" EC that is mapped. Greece (which joined in 1981), Portugal and Spain (1986) are missing. It does seem rather odd that a country that has been a member for 12 years has been left out!

TONY GATRELL
Department of Geography, Lancaster University


A suitable slogan for this collection of 10 research based essays might be "context is all", as the book is highly interlinked. The relevant setting may be that of individual's biographies as in Mildred Blaxter's piece on the attribution of responsibility for health and illness, or the confines of temporal and physical location, as in Martha Nuss- seed's on how nurses come to know their patients. Alternatively, the appropriate context may be the nature and distribution of a particular condition such as closed head injury (Paul Bellamy's chapter) or the ways in which medicine defines, controls, and shapes beliefs about a condition; the latter is explored in Tom Kitwood's piece on Alzheimer's disease. In all the chapters the analysis is thus grounded. Other examples are the examination of the relationship between types of employment and concepts of health and illness, and the way in which moral dimensions are integrated into responses to health and illness. The second of these is a theme in more than one chapter.

It would be invidious to single out individual chapters in terms of how useful or interesting they are; all are creative in the way in which the research material is handled (be it statistical or interview data) and each generates fresh insights. While every chapter is clearly structured, however, this book is not an "easy read". Indeed, I found it useful to keep a dictionary nearby! Nevertheless, the assiduous reader is amply rewarded. In this well-matched collection authors from a range of backgrounds, such as sociology, anthropology, psychology, and nursing (both academic and clinical) have made a valuable contribution to the burgeoning literature of sociological perspectives on health and illness. The narrowness of regarding responses to these as the isolated attributes of individuals or of particular diseases becomes plain. This book is a striking testimony to the richness of such phenomena, embedded as they are in a wider world.

RUTH PUNIKIN
Department of Public Health and Epidemiology, Manchester University


The basis of this book is the author's research into the operation of the General Medical Council from 1976 to 1984 during which time she was a "lay" member of the council and Professor of Sociology in the University of Warwick. That research is used as a "springboard...4 off the...a more general review of the council as a crucial part of medical self-regulation". This poses a fundamental question—is it possible to reconcile membership of a regulatory body with simultaneous research into its activities? Reaction to the book stands or falls on how it answers that question.

There are five main parts. The first is essentially historical and describes the evolution of the General Medical Council (GMC) to the end of the 1960s. The analysis is interesting, and certainly differs from that to which we have become accustomed. There are occasional points which raise the eyebrows—for example, on page 19, the author appears as the advocate of the unregistered practitioner, a sympathy which recurs at least subliminally throughout the text. Part 2 describes what is called "The Decade of the Profession" starting with "The Professional Revolt". This is presented in dramatic form. Things may well have been as described but it is difficult to accept that the "freebies" Pulse and World Medicine represented two of the five "key medical publications of the time".

Part 3 gives a full exposition of the working of the council—with a certain bias towards "inside" reporting. It contains a wealth of historical detail amplified by much personal opinion.

Part 4, entitled "The Decade of the Consumer", recapitulates many of the causes célèbres of recent years and includes a comprehensive précis of Jean Robinson's publication A Peace of Your Own. The scene for part 5—"Fit for the Twenty-first Century"—which is the most provocative section in the book. The arguments in favour of change are, in general, well put, although some comments are irritating. It is, for example, possible that social scientists might also be proud of honours bestowed on them by way of recognition of service to the community—and remain unchanged. The discovery and neutralisation of a man falsely claiming to be a registered medical practitioner should be funnier.

Despite much that is said, and much that has happened, it is still possible to see the function of the GMC not, as the book's title indicates, in regulating British medicine but, rather, the British medical profession and, here, as is conceded on page 203, it has not done a wholly bad job. The author clearly foresees this function being extended, under pressure, in the future; but the problem remains—are the critics of the GMC asking it to do too much? Professor Stacey acknowledges that more than one regulatory body may be needed, although it seems to the reviewer that the structure is already in place and needs only to be refined. Would not patient's rights be better met by greatly strengthening the existing disciplinary mechanisms in the NHS? Protection of the public from the incompetent doctors lies in retraining; is this not best achieved by cooperation between the GMC and the royal colleges rather than through a struggle for power which is said to exist today?

Professor Stacey offers us her own solutions in forceful and readable style. Few will agree with all, but this is the test for active reconsideration of the status quo. Does the book pass my opening test? On the