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Abstract

Study objective—The aim was to compare digital and palmar dermatoglyphics in subjects with dementia of Alzheimer type and in mentally healthy elderly controls.

Design—This was a case-control study.

Setting—The study was carried out in geriatric units and retirement communities in the Paris area.

Participants—Cases were women with clinically diagnosed Alzheimer type dementia according to DSM III-R criteria (n = 82), mainly with late onset of the disease. Controls were women aged 85 years or older without cognitive deterioration (n = 76).

Measurements and main results—Finger and palm prints obtained from both hands by the classical ink method were examined. Fingerprints were classified into four types of figures. On palms, palmar flexion creases, palmar axial triradii, true patterns of the hypothenar area, and main line terminations were described. Examinations were performed by two examiners blind to the subjects's diagnostic category. For the different patterns studied, no major differences between dementia patients and elderly controls were found. Nor was there evidence of high frequencies of features commonly observed in Down's syndrome (trisomy 21), which have previously, though sporadically, been reported.

Conclusions—On one of the largest samples of Alzheimer dementia patients studied, and with evaluation blind to diagnosis, no evidence has been found that particular dermatoglypic patterns occur like those observed in Down's syndrome, a disease which is related to dementia of the Alzheimer type.
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Dementia of the Alzheimer type is now recognised as the major cause of dementia in the elderly, but little is known about its aetiolojy.1–3 It has been proposed that a genetic mechanism related to chromosome 21 is involved.4 Down's syndrome is related to triplication of all or part of chromosome 21. Intriguing relationships between Down's syndrome and Alzheimer dementia have prompted an accelerated search for a genetic base for Alzheimer's disease.5 Neuro-pathology has given us important evidence in this field, indicating similarities between Alzheimer dementia and Down's syndrome. Adults with Down's syndrome tend to show Alzheimer type changes in their brains by the age of 30.6 7 Both senile plaques and neurofibrillary tangles are ultrastructurally identical in the two disorders, as is the β amyloid deposited in the senile plaques. However, the existence of clinical Alzheimer dementia has not been well documented in mentally retarded adults with Down's syndrome.8 The gene of β amyloid protein, which is the major component of Alzheimer lesions, has been located on chromosome 21. A mutation within the amyloid precursor protein has been reported as the possible cause of Alzheimer dementia in two families in which the disease was apparently inherited as an autosomal dominant disorder.9 In addition to this protein, segregation of different restriction fragment polymorphisms from this chromosome is currently being studied in families with multiple affected members.10

There is a need to understand better the relationships between Alzheimer dementia and Down's syndrome and it has been proposed that a further level of their association could be identified in the correspondence of phenotypic particularities observed in Down's syndrome, such as dermatoglyphic patterns. Of the many physical stigmas seen in Down's syndrome, characteristic features in dermatoglyphics (configuration of the dermal ridges of palms and palmar creases) have repeatedly been described.11–13 Although the genetic mechanisms involved in the determination of dermatoglypic patterns are obscure, these patterns reflect the influence of multiple additive genetic effects operating in utero, and they remain unaltered throughout an individual's lifespan. Three characteristic patterns are frequently described on Down's syndrome hands: a complete simian crease, cubital palmar loops associated with palmar axial triradius in distal position, and ulnar loops on fingertips.

Some studies on dermatoglyphic patterns14–19 have been conducted on Alzheimer patients, suggesting similarities between Alzheimer's disease and Down's syndrome. An excess of digital ulnar loops was first described by Weinreb14 on a sample of 50 Alzheimer patients, and by Barclay16 on 12 patients. In a study by Seltzer and Sherwin,17 men with early onset Alzheimer dementia (n = 47, mean age 56–3 years) but not those with late onset (n = 35, 72–7 years) had significantly more ulnar loops than the control group. In two further studies, by Okra-Podrabinek et al18 on 34 female Alzheimer patients, and Luxenberg et al19 on 56 patients, differences in fingerprint pattern frequencies were also shown. Only three studies have examined palmar configurations.15 18 19 In the study of Weinreb,15 bilateral simian creases
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appeared to be significantly more prevalent in Alzheimer dementia cases (11%) than in controls (4%). This increase has not, however, been confirmed by others. Moreover, Weinreb\(^{15}\) was the only one to report an excess of palmar hypothenar patterns in Alzheimer patients, while Okra-Podrabinek \(^{18}\) et al. were the only investigators to find a higher transversality index value in Alzheimer patients. A combined analysis of right and left hands was used by Luxenberg \(^{19}\) et al. Although this study artificially increased the power of the analysis, it failed to show any differences between Alzheimer patients and controls.

These conflicting results are too scattered to support any hypothesis on a relationship between the two pathologies. Only one study\(^ {17}\) used evaluations blind to the diagnosis even though these are difficult and can be influenced by the subjectivity of the examiner. We therefore designed a study on a larger sample to compare digital and palmar dermatoglyphics of subjects diagnosed as probable Alzheimer cases with a mentally healthy control group, using an evaluation blind to the diagnosis.

Methods

Population

Female subjects were recruited from different geriatric units and retirement communities in the Paris area. Because of the highly significant racial differences in dermatoglyphics,\(^ {13}\) only Europeans were included in both the patient and control groups.

'Alzheimer dementia case.—'All women patients with Alzheimer type dementia were recruited from geriatric units. There was no age limit for inclusion. Diagnosis was made in agreement with DSM-III-R criteria for dementia\(^ {20}\) and the NINCDS-ADRDA criteria for probable Alzheimer's disease.\(^ {21}\) Other causes of dementia were excluded by a complete clinical and neurological examination, routine biological tests, and a computerised tomography (CT) examination. The level of cognitive deterioration was assessed by the mini-mental state examination (MMSE)\(^ {22}\) performed within three months of inclusion in the study. MMSE ranged from 0 in subjects with severe mental deterioration to 30 in normal individuals. Age at onset of dementia (earliest manifestations of cognitive changes reported) was based on data from medical and family records.

Controls.—We only included controls who were 85 years of age or older. This lower age limit was advocated in order to minimise the inclusion of controls likely to develop a dementing illness later on. Women were mostly recruited in retirement communities. They were eligible if they had no history of memory complaints or cognitive deterioration reported by family members or care givers. A value greater than 23 in MMSE was required at the time of examination.

Dermatoglyphic Examination

Finger and palm prints were obtained from both hands, using the standard ink method. The inked hand was pressed on a thin sheet of paper supported by a pad to allow full printing of concavities. Evaluation and classification of the different features were performed independently by two examiners (CB and NOP) who did not know the subject's diagnosis category. Both were similarly trained in interpretation of dermatoglyphics.

Figure 1. Example in a normal subject. Diagram of palm showing areas, triradii, and main line exit numbers. Nomenclature of finger pattern types is also indicated.

--- Dermal ridges
--- Palmar creases
DTC = Distal transverse crease
PTC = Proximal transverse crease
TC = Thenar crease

Index of transversality = \(4 + 5 + 9 + 7 = 25\)

Figure 2. Example in a subject with Down's syndrome. Diagram of palm showing simian crease and high index of transversality.
The different features presented on figs 1 and 2 were studied on palms and fingers.

**Palm**—On the palms, two major palmar flexion creases were described: the proximal and distal transverse creases. When those two major palmar flexion creases are replaced by, or joined into, one single crease that traverses the entire palm, a simian crease and its variants (also called a single transverse crease) are described. Single transverse creases and their variants are observed in 30–60% of hands of persons with Down’s syndrome compared to 1–8% of controls. The Sydney line is the extension of the proximal transverse crease beyond the hypothenar eminence to the ulnar margin of the palm. The Sydney line, in its complete form or variants, is described in 8% of the general female population compared to 21% for female Down’s syndrome cases.

**Hypothenar area**—In the hypothenar area, true patterns were described. Configurations were classified into loops and combined patterns including whirls. Loops are further designated as radial, ulnar, or carpal in relation to the aspect of the palm towards which they open. Hypothenar configurations are described in 25–35% of normal subjects, with mostly radial loops. Cubital loops are frequent in Down’s syndrome, and are described in 55–79% of hands in affected individuals.

**Palmar axial triradii**—Palmar axial triradii (a triradius is formed by the confluence of three ridge systems) were described in relation to their orientation and proximal-distal position on the palm; a proximal position is where this triradius tends to have the form of a $\lambda$ (designated by a symbol $\lambda$), a distal position is where it lies near the centre of the palm, where it resembles a $\gamma$ ($\gamma'$), the intermediate position between $\lambda$ and $\gamma'$, and the extrimal border or cubital triradius ($\gamma''$). In the general population, there is an axial triradius in the proximal or intermediate position in nearly 95% of all hands. A distally displaced axial triradius $\gamma''$, frequently associated with a hypothenar pattern, is present in nearly 70% of Down’s syndrome hands.

**Main line terminations**—In the distal portion of the palm at the base of digits I, II, III and IV, there are four digital triradii. From each digital triradius emanate main lines, which indicate the generalised ridge direction over the palm, either transverse or longitudinal. These lines are indicated by the capital letters A, B, C, and D. Their terminations are assigned numbers distributed along the periphery of the palm in order to convey information about their course (see figs 1 and 2). The value of the index of transversality (or total main line formula) is conventionally defined by the sum of the exit numbers of the main lines extending from the four digital triradii. If this sum has a low value, say around 21, this indicates that the alignment tends to be vertical. On the other hand, if the sum is greater than or equal to 31, this indicates that the alignment tends to be transverse. This value is equal to or greater than 31 in 20% of controls and 75% of Down’s syndrome subjects. Right hands generally have higher scores than left hands.

**Fingerprints**—Fingerprint patterns were classified into plain and tended arches, central pocket loops, and ulnar or cubital loops. A marked increase in ulnar loops on the fingertips is virtually a constant feature of the dermatoglyphics in Down’s syndrome—75–80% of all fingertip patterns compared to 60% in controls.

**ANALYSIS**

**Interobserver agreement for dermatoglyphic description**

The identification and classification of patterns can be hindered by technical problems. These problems are particularly acute with old people whose wrinkled hands require several prints, which even then are not always sufficient to allow complete examination. Description can also be influenced by the subjectivity of the examiner. We therefore studied inter-rater reliability. This was evaluated first by the overall proportion of agreement, which is the proportion of subjects for whom the two examiners agreed in classification. Secondly, we calculated the kappa ($\kappa$) value, which is a measurement taking into account the fact that some degree of agreement can be expected by chance alone.

**Statistical analysis**

Dermatoglyphic patterns were analysed separately for right and left hands and for the presence of a sign on at least one hand. For fingerprint patterns, results are presented for each finger separately. Frequencies of dermatoglyphic patterns were compared between the two groups using the contingency $\chi^2$ test or the Fisher exact probability test.

**Results**

**INTEROBSERVER AGREEMENT (table 1)**

Due to the poor quality of prints obtained from elderly subjects, 20 right hands and 22 left hands of the 158 subjects examined could not be inter-
consensus. If no consensus was obtained for a given pattern, this pattern, but not the patient, was excluded from the analysis. The data presented result from the combination of the findings of the two examiners.

POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS
Dermatoglyphic patterns were available on at least one hand for 82 Alzheimer cases and 76 controls. Due to inclusion criteria, the mean age of controls was 89.8 (SD 4.3) years (range 85–104), significantly higher than the mean age of the cases of 81.1(7.2) years (range 60–93). MMSE scores ranged from 0 to 17, mean 7.1(5.3) for Alzheimer cases and from 23 to 30, mean 26.4 (2.2) in controls. Age at onset was below 60 years for seven subjects.

ANALYSIS OF DERMATOGlyphic PATTERNS
Palmar creases (table II)
The frequency of the simian crease and its variants was slightly but not significantly higher in the Alzheimer group than in the controls. No difference was found for the frequency of the Sydney line.

Hypotenar patterns and axial triradii (table II)
Hypotenar patterns were present on the right hand in 41% of the Alzheimer patients and in 30% of the controls, and on the left hand in 40% in both groups. Ulnar loop frequency was low and similar in the two groups. Likewise, axial triradii were quite similarly located in the two groups. The only significant difference found was in the frequency of the extraradial border triradial (12) in the right hands of 36% of the Alzheimer patients compared to 17% of the controls.

Table II  Summary of palmar dermatoglyphics in dementia of Alzheimer type (DAT) patients and controls (C): palmar creases, hypotenar patterns and axial triradii, and index of transversality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Palmar creases</th>
<th>Right hand</th>
<th>Left hand</th>
<th>At least one hand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of hands evaluated</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simian crease and its variants</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sydney line and its variants</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypotenar patterns</th>
<th>Right hand</th>
<th>Left hand</th>
<th>At least one hand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of hands evaluated</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No pattern</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulnar loop</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial loop</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpial loop</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex pattern</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Axial triradii</th>
<th>Right hand</th>
<th>Left hand</th>
<th>At least one hand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of hands evaluated</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triradial t</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triradial t'</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triradial t&quot;</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triradial t&quot;</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triradial t&quot;</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transversality</th>
<th>Right hand</th>
<th>Left hand</th>
<th>At least one hand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of hands evaluated</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of index of transversality ≥31</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Terminations of the main lines (detailed data available on request)
Expected differences between right and left hands were observed in the two groups. Right hands had a higher value for the index of transversality. No differences were found between Alzheimer patients and controls for any termination of main lines considered (A, B, C, or D), and general ridge directions were similar.

Fingerprints (table III).
We did not find any differences in frequency for the different features which are usually described for fingerprints. Examination of fingerprints was more difficult for very old people, resulting in a smaller number of descriptions in the control group, particularly for digit V.

For each of these four features, there was no particular tendency towards bilateral symmetry, as observed in Down's syndrome hands. There was no difference in dermatoglyphic configurations between Alzheimer patients with early onset of dementia (seven subjects with onset prior to 60 years), and other Alzheimer patients and controls.

Table III  Percent of ulnar loops and whorls in individual fingertips in dementia of Alzheimer type (DAT) patients and controls (C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Right hand</th>
<th>Left hand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digit I</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whorls</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit II</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whorls</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit III</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whorls</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit IV</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whorls</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit V</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whorls</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion
Although this study was performed on a sample larger than previously published studies, with dermatoglyphic evaluation blind to diagnosis, we did not find significant differences in dermatoglyphic features between female Alzheimer patients, mostly with dementia onset after 60 years, and elderly female controls. Discrepancies with previous studies14–19 may be explained by differences in methods and in the definition of the control group.

There are several recommended nomenclatures for dermatoglyphic patterns. In this study, we tried to adopt “accepted” criteria as described in Methods. It is unlikely that differences in pattern classification could explain discrepancies between results. Strong classification bias could appear if there is variability or subjectivity in the evaluation of dermatoglyphics. One of the best ways to minimise this bias is to perform evaluation blind to the clinical diagnosis, as we did in this study. Furthermore, we examined interobserver agreement and the fairly good quality of agreement led us to perform double readings in all cases and to
retain only those descriptions obtained by consensus.

Moreover, this work differs from previous studies in the definition of the control group. Classically, controls are matched with cases for age and sex. We tried to reduce the problem of misclassification of subjects by selecting controls at an age when most Alzheimer dementia cases are already declared. Thus we were more likely to detect differences, if any did exist, between Alzheimer cases and very elderly controls than between cases and age matched controls. This choice was possible because there are no grounds for believing that dermatoglyphics are affected by longevity (N Okra-Podrabinek, data to be published).

Although we have studied one of the largest samples in the literature, it is possible that this study may not be powerful enough to detect slight differences, especially if they are attributable to a subgroup of "genetic" Alzheimer's disease. Many studies provide evidence supporting the heterogeneity of Alzheimer cases.28-29 Sporadic cases and inherited forms of the disease coexist but are not always distinguishable, due to incomplete family reports. Ascertainment of pedigrees with multiple affected individuals argue in favour of the existence of a familial form of the disease in more than 50 families with an apparent autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance.30 Most of these familial forms, which are rare, had an early age of onset, defined as prior to 60 years.31 Only seven cases with early onset of dementia were included in our study. This subgroup did not differ from the other patients with dementia after 60 years old.

No significant differences were observed between Alzheimer patients and controls, but it is disconcerting that there was a slight increase in frequency in the dementia group of two of the three signs which are linked to Down's syndrome: simian crease and distal axial triradii. The small number of subjects did not allow us to draw any conclusions with respect to early onset dementia. If the hypothesis of different aetiological mechanisms between late and early onset cases is maintained, then our conclusion must be restricted to late onset Alzheimer cases.

We are grateful to the personnel of the retirement communities for their help in recruiting the control group: Fondation Cousin de Méricourt, Cachan (Dr Flouza), Poyer-Logement Fer à Moulin, Cachan (Mme Masson), Hopital Corentin-Celton, Issy-les-Moulineaux (Dr Delcros). We also wish to thank Marguerite Prieur for her kind and helpful counsel with our dermatoglyphic analysis. This work was support in part by a grant from the IPSEN Foundation (Paris).