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Abstract

Study objective—The aim was to assess the extent to which routinely collected data on poisoning in adolescents reflected deliberate self-poisoning and, in doing so, to assess the accuracy of the diagnostic information on poisoning in the routine hospital abstracts which form the joint data base of Hospital Activity Analysis and the Oxford Record Linkage Study (ORLS).

Design—A comparison was made (a) of all eligible ORLS records during the study period with an independent source of records; and (b) of a random sample of records from an independent source with ORLS.

Setting—Records of patients admitted to the John Radcliffe Hospital in Oxford were used.

Subjects—These were (a) patients aged 10–20 years between 1980 and 1985 with a diagnosis of poisoning by drugs and medicaments in ORLS; (b) a random sample of 500 patients selected from the self harm monitoring files at the hospital (12 patients were not eligible for inclusion in ORLS and were therefore excluded from the rest of the study).

Measurements and main results—The recorded diagnosis was compared on the records selected from the two files. Of the 1123 events of poisoning identified in ORLS, 1081 (96.3%) were correctly coded as poisoning and 1065 (95%) of these were deliberate self poisoning. Of the 488 cases from the monitoring files, 467 (95.7%) of all cases had a correct diagnosis of injury or poisoning on the ORLS file. Of the 453 poisoning cases 436 (96.2%) were correctly recorded in ORLS.

Conclusions—Deliberate self-poisoning in adolescents can be identified through routinely collected hospital statistics. A very high percentage of the diagnostic information on poisoning in ORLS files is correctly recorded.

Two British research centres, in the cities of Oxford and Edinburgh, have been monitoring the incidence of hospital treatment for deliberate self poisoning and self injury for some time. Elsewhere, information on these aspects of hospital care has usually had to be deduced from routine hospital statistics. 

Methods

The Oxford Record Linkage Study was used to identify all admissions to the John Radcliffe Hospital in Oxford for people aged 10 to 20 years with a main discharge diagnosis of poisoning by drugs and medicaments (ICD 960 to 977) in the years 1980 to 1985. For each of these discharges, the record of self harm patients maintained by the monitoring service of the hospital was searched in order to determine if the episode had been identified as one of deliberate self poisoning. A random sample of 500 records for people aged 10 to 20 years, who had a hospital admission between 1980 and 1985 for self harm (ie, deliberate self poisoning, deliberate self injury, or both together), was drawn from the records of the monitoring service. For each of these patients, the equivalent hospital discharge record was sought in the Oxford Record Linkage Study files.

Information contained in the Oxford Record Linkage Study files and in the records of the monitoring files was compared. Where discrepancies were found, the hospital case notes were used to determine which source contained the correct information.
Results

CARES IDENTIFIED FROM THE OXFORD RECORD LINKAGE STUDY FILES

There were 1123 events of poisoning identified from the Oxford Record Linkage Study files. Of these, 1081 (96.3%) were correctly coded as an episode of poisoning by drugs and medicaments (table I); 1065 (95%) were deliberate self poisoning; 27 (2.4%) were drug related events which should have been coded under other drug related ICD headings; 8 (0.7%) of the episodes were miscoded with no mention of drugs in the hospital case notes. For five discharges it was not possible to trace a hospital record and two cases were duplicate records. (There were 12 additional cases identified from the Oxford Record Linkage Study files with poisoning as the second or subsequent diagnosis on the hospital record. Only four of these proved to be deliberate self poisoning.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deliberate self poisoning (n = 1065)</td>
<td>1081</td>
<td>(96.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accidental poisoning (n = 16)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>(3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I Events of poisoning identified from Oxford Record Linkage Study files

CARES IDENTIFIED FROM THE MONITORING SERVICE

The monitoring service includes all cases of self harm and therefore includes self injury as well as self poisoning. However, the sample of 500 cases of self harm from the monitoring service were predominantly self poisoning: 463 records were for self poisoning alone; 18 were both self poisoning and self injury; and the remaining 19 were self injury alone. Four of the 500 patients proved to be outside the age range of the study and eight had not in fact been admitted to hospital. These are therefore excluded from further consideration (table II).

For 467 of the remaining 488 cases (95.7%, 95% confidence interval: 94.4%–97.1%) there was a hospital record on the Oxford Record Linkage Study file with a main diagnosis of injury or poisoning (ICD codes 800–899).

Seven of the cases on the Oxford Record Linkage Study files had no diagnostic information. In 13 cases the main diagnosis recorded included no information about an injury or poisoning episode. The hospital case notes for all but three of these cases (whose notes were not accessible) confirmed that the admission had been for an overdose or possible suicide attempt. In five cases the main diagnosis recorded was from Chapter V of the ICD, Mental Disorders, rather than that of the self poisoning.

In total, 21 (4.3%) of the 488 self harm cases identified from the monitoring file did not have an accurate record on the Oxford Record Linkage Study file with a main diagnosis of injury or poisoning. The 21 cases comprised 17 self poisoning cases, two self injury cases, and two of both injury and poisoning. (One of these cases had a correctly coded second diagnosis of poisoning.)

In 16 cases where both self injury and self poisoning were reported on the monitoring file, and there was a matching hospital record, 13 had poisoning as the main condition in the hospital record and three had injury as the main condition. In five of the 16 cases, poisoning and injury were both recorded in the diagnostic information on the hospital record.

E codes were recorded on 447 of the 467 cases where the main diagnosis was poisoning or injury. In the 20 cases where no external cause had been recorded, 14 were records with a main diagnosis of injury. This is a significantly greater proportion of injury than poisoning cases ($x^2 = 8.0$, p < 0.01). Ninety one (19.5%) of the E codes indicated that the cause of the poisoning or injury was “suicide or self inflicted” harm. These codes were rarely used before 1984 (see Discussion, below). The majority of cases (n = 348) were recorded under “Injury undetermined whether accidentally or purposely inflicted”. Eight of the cases were recorded as accidental injury or poisoning.

There were some minor differences between the two files in the recording of specific substances used in self poisoning. For example, in 33 cases the main diagnosis recorded on the Oxford Record Linkage Study file was poisoning by unspecified drugs and medicaments, while the monitoring file had information about the type of substance ingested. There was only one case where the reverse of this was true. In a further 30 cases the substances recorded on the two files were different, although 18 of these cases were the same to the level of three digits on the ICD code.

Discussion

The finding that 96.3% of the poisoning episodes in the Hospital Activity Analysis and the Oxford Record Linkage Study, and 95.7% of the self harm episodes in the monitoring service records, were coded correctly is encouraging when compared with the results of a study of self poisoning which used Scottish Hospital morbidity data. The errors had arisen in the Scottish data because commonly a psychiatric disorder was entered and the primary condition of poisoning was omitted. This was only a problem in five cases (1%) in this study.

The use of the E code as a record of deliberate self poisoning in Oxford requires some clarification. Although the category “undetermined whether accidentally or purposely inflicted” is “for use when it is stated...
that an investigation by a medical or legal authority has not determined whether the injuries are accidental, suicidal or homicidal”, coding clerks in Oxford have in the past used this code in preference to “suicide” in cases where the hospital record indicates an overdose. In the latter years of the study this problem was overcome with the guidance that “overdose” should imply “self administered” for adults and be coded as deliberate self poisoning. Using this knowledge, only 12 of the 436 cases correctly recorded as poisoning were not recorded as deliberate poisoning; for six the E code was missing, and six were recorded as accidental poisoning.

Unlike self poisoning, self injury cases cannot be identified by the main condition alone because there are of course many external causes of injury other than deliberate self harm. Thus the high proportion of injury cases with an E code not recorded means that it is not possible to monitor accurately cases of deliberate self injury using hospital records.

Several papers have reported on the poor quality of diagnostic information in Hospital Activity Analysis for particular conditions.6-11 In general, these papers seem to have been by products of attempts to use the Hospital Activity Analysis for more substantive studies of the clinical conditions themselves. It is conceivable that there is some “submission bias” and/or “publishing bias” in the published reports, namely that findings of poor quality data are more striking and worthy of reporting than when the data used are found to be acceptable. Experience using diagnostic data in Oxford suggests that, while one cannot generalise, such data are commonly more trustworthy than is sometimes assumed.

The main aim of this study, undertaken in conjunction with our studies on the epidemiology of self poisoning,12 13 was to determine the extent to which episodes coded as poisoning in the ICD in teenagers actually are episodes of deliberate self poisoning. Self poisoning is a major health care problem; it is one of the commonest causes of hospital admission in adolescents, accounting for approximately 4% of all admissions in people aged 12–20 years. Thus trends in this behaviour have considerable implications for the use of health resources.

Among the adolescent poisonings in our study, 1065 of the 1081 cases correctly coded as poisoning (98.5%) were deliberate self poisoning. Thus, providing cases are coded accurately, routine data on poisoning in this age group are a good proxy for self poisoning with or without the E code.
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