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Abstract

Study objective—The aim was to investigate the relationship between duration of breast feeding and growth of children.

Design—The study was a survey of randomly selected clusters of households.

Setting—The study was community based and took place in an urban township with a population of over 43 000 people in Lusaka, the capital city of Zambia.

Participants—The sample consisted of 438 children aged 0 to 59 months surveyed between October 1984 and June 1986. Due to missing information, 394 children were used in the analysis.

Measurements and main results—After controlling for confounding variables, duration of breast feeding was found to be associated with height for age among children in their first two years of life, but not in the later years of life. There was no significant protective effect of breast feeding on undernutrition and acute malnutrition as measured by weight for age and weight for height.

Conclusions—The findings suggest that, in this community, duration of breast feeding is strongly associated with the linear growth experiences of children and the association changes with the infant’s age. One strong risk factor suspected to be responsible for the poor growth performance of children in this study is the low nutritional quality of the weaning foods which are used to supplement breast milk during the lengthy weaning period.

“MY baby deserves the best, so I breast feed longer”.1 The decisions of women to breast feed are greatly influenced by attitudes and images, especially those coming through the mass media. The importance of women having access to complete, accurate, and unbiased information about breast feeding cannot be over emphasised. This does not, however, guarantee that the information will be used, nor that it will provide health benefits if the advice is followed.

Research has shown that breast feeding provides not only the nutritional essentials for a child’s growth but also some protection against infection2 and infant death from infectious diseases.4 Also the interaction between mother and child is important for emotional development. As a result of current research, an increased awareness of the benefits of breast feeding has developed and a need to provide facilities for working mothers to continue to breast feed their children, even when working away from home, has been realised.

One of the most important factors that influence the impact of breast feeding is its duration.2 Several studies have examined the adequacy of breast feeding as a sole source of food during the first six months of life and have shown that a child of six months needs at least one litre of breast milk, which is often not available.5,6 Also the statement that prolonged breast feeding (breast feeding over 12 months) is sufficient by itself for the rising nutrient needs (and declining stores) of the rapidly growing infant,7 has been challenged by the finding that it was associated with clinical and anthropometric malnutrition.6,7 Other studies have also suggested that the apparent increase in malnutrition among prolonged breast fed children was either due to an inadequate weaning diet8 or to the confounding effects of adverse social conditions.6

Information remains incomplete, especially from developing countries, about the beneficial effects of prolonged breast feeding. This study describes the association between duration of breast feeding and child growth in a population based sample of children in an urban area in a developing country.

Methods

The study was conducted in George township, a peri-urban community in Lusaka, the capital city of Zambia. This township is made up of over 140 sections with at least 25 houses in each section and has over 43 000 people who live in simple two or three room houses constructed of concrete blocks or mud bricks and roofed with corrugated asbestos cement, usually held down with stones. Each house is on a plot of approximately 10 square metres. Little land area exists for gardens, though most people try to grow some food around their houses and in the stony area on the periphery of the township. All houses have access to a pit latrine, either sharing with another household or outright owning of one, and there is a public stand post of chlorinated water for every 25 houses. This township was upgraded in 1978 when roads and markets were constructed and water supplies made available and in November 1984 a health centre was opened to serve the area. For the current study 15 sections were selected at random from a list of 140 known sections. All households were interviewed in each of the selected sections. A total of 350 households were surveyed between October 1984 and June 1986. Twenty five households were not surveyed because these houses had been abandoned, turned into shops or had not yet been completely constructed. This sample of 15 sections represents 10% of the total number of known sections and it yielded a total of 438 children aged 0 to 59 months. Details of the study area and methods of data collection have been published elsewhere.10
Household socioeconomic information was collected by interviewing the head of the household or, in case of his/her absence, another senior household member. Information collected included current demographic, environmental, and socioeconomic characteristics of the family and their utilisation of health services. The socioeconomic status and cultural values of the sections surveyed are similar to other sections in George township and they are also similar to other sections in other townships of Lusaka. The average household consists of six persons, and 97% of the population are Zambians, the remainder being immigrants from neighbouring countries. Most families cannot afford adequate weaning foods. The economy of the country has been worsening with prices of basic essentials going up due to inflation.

The most common occupations of the households are driving (buses, taxis, government vehicles, etc., 14.8%), manual work (19.1%) and self-employment (43.6%) mainly as petty traders in markets. For the heads of household, 10.9% had received no education and 21.9% of their wives had received no education. The child’s mother (93%) of interviews) or a close relative answered questions on the duration of breast feeding and other matters relating to the child.

Of the children in this study, 87% were either sons or daughters of the head of the household, 9% were grandsons/daughters and 4% were other relations of the head of the household. Each child was weighed at the time of the interview with a calibrated Salter type 0–25 kg spring scale reading to the nearest 100 g. Supine length was measured with a specially constructed measuring board, which reads to the nearest 0.1 cm for children under 24 months of age, and standing height was taken for children aged 24 months or more. The Center for Disease Control (CDC) anthropometric software package was used to express each child’s nutritional status as a number of standard deviations (SD score) above or below the median of the reference population. The CDC anthropometric analysis software is based on the NCHS reference population. These standards have been shown to be suitable for use as reference for assessing nutritional status of children under five years in developing countries.

Duration of breast feeding was defined as the age reached when breast feeding completely stopped. A child was considered adequately immunised if he/she had received the correct number of doses of BCG (tuberculosis vaccine), poliomyelitis, DPT (diphtheria, pertussis, tetanus), and measles vaccines. The age and immunisation status of the child was checked using the “road to health card”, and 58% of the children had these cards at the time of the interview.

### Statistical Analysis

To determine the median age at stopping breast feeding and the proportions of children still being breast fed at given ages, a survival analysis approach was employed, using data on current age and reported age at cessation of breast feeding.

Because of the complexity of the association between weaning and growth, the data were stratified by age into two broad age groups. The first group comprised the “young” children (≤24 months of age), the second group consisted of the “older” children (>24 months of age). Among the “young” children some were still being breast fed, whereas others were completely weaned off the breast at the time of the survey. These data allowed examination of the question among children of each age (by six month age groups): Is the nutritional status better or worse in those who were still being breast fed compared with completely weaned children? Among the “older” children, all had been weaned at the time of the survey, but at different ages. The question was: Among children of each age (by six month age groups), is there an association between current nutritional status and duration of breast feeding? This question examines the long term effects of early feeding practices. Interactions with age were not tested in either of the two groups because of the small sample sizes.

The variables (table I) believed to be potential confounders were first tested for significant associations with the child’s nutritional status, after adjusting for age of the child using two way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The variables controlled for in the analyses are shown in Table II. These variables showed significant associations (<0.05) with child growth in either of the two groups of children for any of the three nutritional indices and were introduced in the analysis recorded as shown in table II.

Adjusted deviations were calculated using the multiple classification analysis (MCA) technique. This technique is a version of the multiple regression analysis that estimates the mean value of the dependent variable for each category of the independent variable. The adjusted deviations show deviations from the grand mean for each factor level of the independent variable. They indicate the magnitude of the effect of each factor level after the variation due to other factors has been adjusted for. Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS/TM statistical package on an IBM XT machine.

### Results

In the initial survey, 438 children aged 0 to 59 months were included. The mean age was 26.3 months, SD 16.3. Due to missing information, 34 children (7.8%) were excluded from the analysis, leaving a total of 394 children.

The figure shows the averages of SD scores in relation to age of the child. Height for age and weight for age varied substantially with age, but weight for height compared well with the standard. The heights of children for all age groups were on average 0.5 standard deviations below the NCHS standards, whereas for those aged 18-23 months they were two standard deviations below the standards. The variations in mean SD scores with age were statistically significant for height for age, and weight for age (p < 0.01), but not for weight for height (one way ANOVA, p > 0.11), in both “young” and “older” children and for the two groups combined. Overall, the prevalence of stunted growth appears to increase steadily from two to five years and is maximal in the third year of life.
Table I: Variables suspected to be confounding the association between child growth and duration of breast feeding*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex of child</td>
<td>Current age of child (months)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-11</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12-17</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18-23</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal education level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7+</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child illnesses in previous month</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diarrhoea</td>
<td></td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other infectious diseases</td>
<td></td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother’s number of pregnancies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-4</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7+</td>
<td></td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother’s educational level (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td></td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father's educational level (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td></td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of piped water</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Available daily</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Occasional days no water</td>
<td></td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latrine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For household only</td>
<td></td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sharing</td>
<td></td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These variables were tested for significant association with child growth in each of the two groups of children using one way analysis of variance.

Table II: Distribution of variables controlled for in the analysis

Table III shows the relationship between duration of breast feeding and age of the child. Among those infants below 12 months at the time of the survey, only two had stopped breast feeding; one, aged six months, stopped breast feeding at three months because of illness, and the other child, aged 5 months, stopped breast feeding at two months because the mother was ill.

Using survival analysis methods, the median age at cessation of breast feeding was estimated to be 18.3 months and the proportions of children still breast feeding at the ages of 12, 18, and 24 months were 91.2%, 65.5% and 13.3%, respectively. The four children older than 24 months who were reported to be still breast feeding at the time of the survey (table III) were not included in the subsequent stratified analyses.

Table IV shows the associations between duration of breast feeding and child growth after controlling for current age of the child. Among the “young” children (24 months of age or less), who were completely weaned off the breast at the time of the survey, the duration of breast feeding had positive effects on height for age and weight for age and negative effects on weight for height, whereas for currently breast fed children the duration of breast feeding had a positive effect on weight for height (table IV). Overall, the duration of breast feeding had statistically significant effects on height for age only (table IV). Among the “older” children (over 24 months of age), no pattern exists in adjusted effects by duration of breast feeding for all the three nutritional indices and no significant associations were found between duration of breast feeding and any of the three nutritional indices (table IV).

The apparent associations between duration of breast feeding and indicators of nutritional status were further investigated by controlling for potentially confounding factors using ANOVA. Due to missing data, 38 children (8.4%) were excluded from this analysis.

Among the “young” children, a statistically significant association was found between duration of breast feeding and height for age (table V). For children who were completely weaned at the time of the survey, breast feeding had positive effects on height for age and weight for age, and negative effects on weight for height. Among the “older” children, no pattern exists in adjusted effects by duration of breast feeding for all the three nutritional indices and there were no statistically significant associations between duration of breast feeding and any of the three nutritional indices (table V).

Discussion
This study shows that the mean SD scores of height for age, weight for age, and weight for height steadily decreased up to the age of 24 months (figure). These results suggest a significant degree of stunting, which represents the accumulated consequences of retarded growth which do not become evident until two years of age. The more severely delayed patterns of height for age may have occurred because linear growth is a slower process than growth in body mass. The data also show that the prevalence of wasting is greatest between 12 and 24 months of age.
Wasting most likely results from dietary deficiencies and diarrhoeal diseases, which are known to be more frequent during this period and tend to decrease later in childhood. The non-significant trends in mean SD scores for weight for height by age relate to the fact that this index is age independent. The distributions of the SD scores of children by age in this study are similar to those found in other studies of children between 0 and 59 months of age from developing countries.

The results of this study also show that, for "young" children, breast feeding had a significant effect on height for age, which is a measure of the duration of malnutrition. For children who were completely weaned at the time of the survey, duration had positive effects, and for those who were still being breast fed, duration had negative effects. This association is apparent even after controlling for possible confounding variables and it suggests that breast feeding beyond the first year of life does appreciably depress the child's linear growth.

The negative effects observed among "young" children who were still breast feeding at the time of the survey may be due to the fact that mothers were not supplementing enough with nutritious foods. Questions about supplementary and weaning foods were not asked. However, a two year cohort study of 250 children born in the University Teaching Hospital in Lusaka, living in the same area, has shown that the average age of introduction of supplementary and weaning foods was 3 months (Ng'andu N et al, unpublished observations, 1987). Weaning foods, generally, consist of maize meal porridge with sugar to taste. The average number of meals given to an infant up the age of 12 months in a day was three and increased to four for older children. A very small proportion of mothers added groundnuts, eggs or milk to the porridge. Nearly all children are breast fed and bottle feeding is not common.

The beneficial effects of duration of breast feeding on height for age and weight for age among those children who were completely weaned at the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table III Duration of breast feeding by age of child</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current age (months)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Still breast feeding
b Some children had missing information

c Duration of breast feeding

Table IV Results of ANOVA analysis after controlling for current age of the child

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Age (months)</th>
<th>Grand meana</th>
<th>Durationb</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Adjusted deviationsc</th>
<th>Significanced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height for age</td>
<td>≤24</td>
<td>-1.45</td>
<td>On breastd</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>p = 0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;24</td>
<td>-1.76</td>
<td>On breastd</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>p = 0.419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>-1.62</td>
<td>On breastd</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>p = 0.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12-17</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>On breastd</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>p = 0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18-23</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>On breastd</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>p = 0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24+</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>On breastd</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>p = 0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight for age</td>
<td>≤24</td>
<td>-1.45</td>
<td>On breastd</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>p = 0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;24</td>
<td>-1.76</td>
<td>On breastd</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>p = 0.419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>-1.62</td>
<td>On breastd</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>p = 0.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12-17</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>On breastd</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>p = 0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18-23</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>On breastd</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>p = 0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24+</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>On breastd</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>p = 0.031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Grand mean: of dependent variables: height for age; weight for age, weight for height
b Duration of breast feeding
c Adjusted deviations for current age of child
d Significance assessed by ANOVA after allowance for current age of the child

Table V Results of ANOVA analysis after controlling for confounding variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Age (months)</th>
<th>Grand meana</th>
<th>Durationb</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Adjusted deviationsc</th>
<th>Significanced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height for age</td>
<td>≤24</td>
<td>-1.47</td>
<td>On breastd</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>p = 0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;24</td>
<td>-1.62</td>
<td>On breastd</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>p = 0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>On breastd</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>p = 0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12-17</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>On breastd</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>p = 0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18-23</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>On breastd</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>p = 0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24+</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>On breastd</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>p = 0.032</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Grand mean: of dependent variables: Height for age; weight for age; weight for height
b Duration of breast feeding
c Adjusted deviations for factors: current age child and all factors in table II
d Significance assessed by ANOVA after allowance for current age of the child and all factors in table II
e On breast: still breast feeding at the time of the survey
f Weaned: completely weaned off the breast at time of the survey
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