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Rogers, S. C. (1976). British Journal of Preventive and Social Medicine, 30, 26-28. Anencephalus, spina bifida, twins, and teratoma. The twin pairs with spina bifida and or anencephalus collected from the literature by Rogers and Weatherall (1976) form the basis for an argument that the apparent rarity of dizygous twins concordant for these malformations may be due to the breakdown of the interamniotic partition and a subsequent fetus-fetus interaction. It is suggested that this may lead to complete or partial destruction of one twin. When cells survive they may form teratoma or patches of anomalous skin cover. The hypothesis that monozygous twins concordant for these defects may form double monsters is re-stated. The present hypothesis predicts that the incidence of pineal and intraspinal teratoma will vary in time and place with anencephalus and spina bifida, and that the scalp type hairs found over or around spina bifida may prove, in male infants, to have female chromosomes.

Rogers (1969) suggested that the apparent rarity of twins concordant for anencephalus or spina bifida might be partially explained by the fusion of such twins to produce double monsters. Knox (1970, 1974) further explored this observation in his fetus-fetus interaction hypothesis which postulated that dizygous twins interact on occasions in such a way that one twin disappears while the surviving twin is damaged and later born anencephalic. These hypotheses are modified and developed in this study in the light of a recent collection of twin studies (Rogers and Weatherall, 1976).

4. A twin partially destroyed by such an interaction may be recognized as a teratoma or as an area of inappropriate skin covering the defect.

OBSERVATIONS

Mono-amniotic Twin Pregnancies

Wharton, Edwards, and Cameron (1968) found only 18 pairs of mono-amniotic twins in a series of 581 twin pairs, indicating the rarity of this type of twinning. Pedlow (1961) gave the incidence of severe congenital malformation in his collected series of mono-amniotic twins as 9.2%.

Most writers on the subject suggest that the mono-amniotic twin pregnancy causes the congenital malformation. Here it is postulated that the congenital malformation may be a cause of the mono-amniotic state.

Twin Studies

Rogers and Weatherall (1976) have assembled from the literature a series of 681 twin pairs in which anencephalus or spina bifida have been reported, and their results are summarized in the Table.

The findings in the Table suggest that the concordance rate is lower in unlike sex twins.
TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Twin Pairs</th>
<th>Discordant</th>
<th>Concordant</th>
<th>Total (No.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Like sex</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>93-6</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlike sex</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>98-4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After Rogers and Weatherall (1975).

Fisher's two-tailed exact test applied to these data show that the probability of this observation arising by chance is \( P < 0.01 \).

It is generally accepted that approximately 70% of like sex twins are dizygous and there is no reason to suppose that the concordance rate in dizygous twins differs between like sex pairs and unlike sex pairs. If we assume that the like sex pairs in this collected series included 342 dizygous pairs, then these would be expected to give rise to five concordant pairs and the remaining 146 monozygous twin pairs to 26 concordant pairs—that is, a rate of 17.8%.

The risk to the siblings of infants with anencephalus or spina bifida is between 4% and 5% (Yen and Mahon, 1968; Carter, David, and Laurence, 1968; Carter and Evans, 1973), and the theoretically expected risk in monozygous twins is between 16% and 25%. In contrast the observed concordance rate in dizygous twins of 1·6% is markedly below the theoretically expected rate of 4% to 5%.

Spontaneous abortion of concordant twins is often put forward as an explanation for their rarity in these series, but it is difficult to see why this should occur only in dizygous twins and why monozygous twins should be spared. The advantages of postulating different forms of fetus-fetus interactions in the different forms of twinning are clear.

DOUBLE MONSTERS

The hypothesis that monozygous twins concordant for spina bifida may fuse on rare occasions to form pyopagus twins seems acceptable. The possibility that twins concordant for anencephalus may fuse to form craniopagus twins seems less unlikely because some early human abortuses have been found to suffer from exencephalus rather than anencephalus (Nishimura et al., 1968). The early fusion of such twins may well protect the developing cerebral tissue from the toxic effects of amniotic fluid and enable normal development of the brain to take place. Rickman (1968) has described the protective effect of full thickness intrauterine skin cover on the spinal cord in spina bifida, and a similar effect may be seen in cranium bifida or encephalocele.

The study of Todorov et al. (1974) of 14 examples of craniopagus emphasizes the low male : female sex ratio (3 : 11) that would be expected from their formation in this way.

TERATOMA

A very wide variety of teratoma have been described and some of these may be relevant to this hypothesis. The extreme situation of fetus in fetu can hardly be explained in any way except by the incorporation of one twin within another, possibly by fusion at the site of an exomphalous. Anencephalus or spina bifida is common in the incorporated twin (Lord, 1956).

Linder and Power (1970), in a study of the isoenzymes of ovarian teratoma and their hosts, showed that where the host was heterogeneous for a pair of isoenzymes the teratoma was homogeneous for that pair in 20 out of 30 pairs studied. They noted that there were no phenotypes present in a tumour that was absent in its host. This loss of gene effect is of the type that would be necessary for the survival of cells derived from a non-identical twin. This and the occurrence of XX and XO cell lines in teratoma carried by male hosts (Hunter and Lennox, 1954; Myers, 1959; Theiss, Asby, and Mostof, 1960; Laurent, Rousseau, and Nezelof, 1968) suggest that after the fusion of dizygous twins concordant for exencephalus or spina bifida a fetus-fetus interaction may take place leading to the complete or partial destruction of one twin. Cells from the twin under attack may undergo somatic mutations with loss of phenotypes, and such mutated cells may be able to survive the attack and persist as teratoma, or as patches of aberrant skin with anomalous hair growth. Pinal teratoma are a rare variety of cerebral tumour which may arise from such an interaction between exencephalic twins, and intraspinal teratoma are commonly associated with spina bifida of varying degrees (Mitgang, 1972).

The observation by Larson, Kempers, and Titus (1969) that in their study of the literature of mono-amniotic twins they could find only a single report of such twins with differing sexes, suggests that if a breakdown of the interamniotic partition occurs in dizygous twins some form of fetus-fetus interaction is almost inevitable.
DISCUSSION

The present hypothesis suggests that fetus-fetus interaction occurs only when severe congenital malformations are present in both twins and does not postulate that the interaction of normal twins leads to the disappearance of the submissive twin and damage to the aggressive twin.

The value of this hypothesis may be the way it links together and explains a number of otherwise unrelated observations, and the testable predictions that follow from it. This study suggests that the incidence of intraspinal and pineal teratoma may vary in time and place with spina bifida and anencephalus, and that the scalp type hair found over or around spina bifida lesions may, in male infants, carry female chromosomes.

Since the submission of this paper, case reports of individuals with patches of anomalous skin cover, spinal teratoma, and spina bifida have come to notice (Basavarajendra, Kulkarni, and Iyengar 1973; Love, 1968).
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