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The menarche is the most obvious and the most easily ascertained sign of puberty in girls. No parallel event occurs in boys. For this reason comparative studies on the average age at onset of menstruation, such as those given by Tanner (1955, 1961, 1962), provide the greater part of the evidence in support of the view that children are maturing earlier than they used to. Skeletal measurements, extensively used by Morant (1948) and Martin (1949), have proved less conclusive (Boyne and Leitch, 1954).

Menstruation studies, however, have their disadvantages. Their value as a means of estimating the secular trend in the age of maturity must depend on the assumption that the age at the menarche, the age of sexual maturity, and maturation in general are intrinsically related. Only if this is true is it safe to use changes in the age at the menarche as a measure of changes in other developmental factors or in maturation as a whole.

Certainly, this assumption provides, on the whole, the simplest explanation of the known facts. Growth and development follow such a regular pattern that some built-in co-ordinating mechanism seems almost indispensable. Endocrine and neuro-humoral links have been demonstrated and account for the sequence of events in many phases of development. A general maturity factor has been extracted by means of factorial analysis (Nicolson and Hanley, 1953).

On the other hand, the all-embracing concept of maturation raises difficulties. If the rate of maturation is controlled by a single biological pace-maker, the problem is to show how this pace-maker can be made to change its setting and produce a secular trend. If, as Tanner (1961) believes, "the secular trend, both in earlier maturation and in greater size, is one of the most considerable phenomena of human biology at present, and has, into the bargain, a host of medical, educational, and sociological consequences", then it must be admitted that no comparable phenomenon readily comes to mind that is not the outcome of a prolonged evolutionary process.

It is evident that Tanner has anticipated criticisms of this kind. He emphasizes the regularity of the secular trend and its similarity in different countries, both indications of the biological nature of the change. He discounts explanations which rely on ordinary environmental agents, such as improved nutrition, poor social conditions, and increased psycho-sexual stimulation. He seems to prefer semi-cosmic causes: alterations in world temperature, the breaking down of genetic isolates, and the selective effect of the reduction of bacterial infections.

There is little factual evidence to support these suggestions and the cause of the secular trend remains unsolved. Further study is obviously required and one hitherto neglected source of information is the frequency distribution of the age at menarche. Unfortunately, frequency distributions have rarely been given in detail, particularly in the 19th century. The following, however, have been obtained and are presented for comparison:

(2) Waddy (1846). Birmingham maternity hospital patients in the period covering approximately 1830-45.
(3) Unpublished data from a survey of elderly persons in Sheffield (Hobson and Pemberton, 1955). In 1949-51, 257 women between the ages of 60 and 85 were questioned as to the age at menarche, the data thus refer to the period around 1890.
(5) Unpublished data obtained during a thyroid survey in the West Riding of Yorkshire in 1962. 685 girls between the ages of 15 and 19 years were questioned about the year and month in which they started...
menstruating. During the same survey a sample of the 369 girls from the East of Scotland were similarly questioned.

RESULTS

The percentage of girls in each of the surveys who had started menstruating by the time they had reached a given birthday is shown in Table I, which also gives the average age at onset and the range of ages. It should be noted that the proportion of girls menstruating before their 10th and 11th birthdays is much the same in all the surveys. The secular trend does not become fully evident until the position at the 14th birthday is studied. Thus the range of ages starts at the 9th or 10th year in all the surveys, and the differences are seen at the end of the range which varies from 16 to 23 years. The two Oslo series are compared in Fig. 1 and the Birmingham, Sheffield, Oxford City, and West Riding series in Fig. 2 (opposite).

In the majority of surveys the girls were asked to recall the age at which they began menstruating, but in the Norwegian surveys groups of girls of different ages were asked whether or not they had started. Each age group, therefore, represents a separate sample of girls and the apparent falling off of the percentage menstruating after the age of 16 in the 1952 Oslo data is presumably due to sampling error. In the Sheffield series the period of recall was, of course, in the region of 50 years. Of 257 questioned, 236 gave positive replies, twenty said they could not remember, and one said she had never menstruated.

The average age at menarche in sixteen English surveys between 1832 and 1933 is given in Fig. 3 (opposite).

These are based on data provided by Backman (1948). The six series published before 1850 comprised 8,831 individuals and give a weighted mean of 15·7 years. Between 1850 and 1871 there were five series comprising 7,378 individuals with a weighted mean of 15·3 years. Between 1875 and 1901 there were four series comprising 2,400 individuals, with a mean of 15·3 years. The 1933 series comprised 10,119 individuals with a mean of 15·0 years. Backman’s data for France and Germany are shown diagrammatically in Fig. 4 (opposite).

DISCUSSION

The most obvious difference in the eight series shown in Table I is in the range of ages over which menstruation started. In some of the later series all the girls had started by 17 or 18 years. In the 19th century series the onset for some individuals was delayed until 20 years or more. No comparable differences are found at the lower end of the range. A characteristic of the change which has taken place in the last 100 years is, therefore, the disappearance of individuals with a late onset of menstruation and a consequent closing up of the distribution in the direction of the lower end of the range. There is no evidence that the lower limit of the range itself has extended downwards.

The same tendency is shown in Fig. 2. If the pair of graphs representing the two 19th century series is compared with the pair representing the two 20th

| Table I | PERCENTAGE OF GIRLS MENSTRUATING BY A GIVEN BIRTHDAY |
|---------|--------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Birthday | 1845 Birmingham | 1845 Sheffield | 1845 Oslo | 1890 Oxford 1 | 1890 Oxford 2 | 1890 Oslo | 1890 Scotland |
| 9       | 0·2            | 0·4            | 0·2            | 0·6            | 1·0            | 0·4            | 0·3            |
| 10      | 0·5            | 1·7            | 0·3            | 0·6            | 1·0            | 2·0            | 3·9            |
| 11      | 2·9            | 6·3            | 2·5            | 5·6            | 7·5            | 12·3           | 17·2           |
| 12      | 10·3           | 16·9           | 15·4           | 24·8           | 29·6           | 41·2           | 44·6           |
| 13      | 24·2           | 40·5           | 44·8           | 64·6           | 63·3           | 74·7           | 79·8           |
| 14      | 45·1           | 59·1           | 74·4           | 90·8           | 89·4           | 93·2           | 95·9           |
| 15      | 63·6           | 72·6           | 95·5           | 95·5           | 95·4           | 97·1           | 99·7           |
| 16      | 80·4           | 86·1           | 98·3           | 100·0          | 98·2           | 96·3           | 99·9           |
| 17      | 91·2           | 90·7           | 98·9           | 100·0          | 99·1           | 95·1           | 100·0          |
| 18      | 98·1           | 96·2           | 100·0          | 100·0          | 94·3           | 94·3           | 94·3           |
| 19      | 99·7           | 97·5           |                |                |                |                |                |
| 20      | 100·0          | 98·3           |                |                |                |                |                |
| 21      | 99·2           |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| 22      |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| 23      |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| No. of Girls | 623 | 237 | 9,169 | 572 | 766 | 11,618 | 369 | 685 |
| Average Age (yrs) | 15·3 | 14·8 | 14·2 | 13·6* | 13·6* | 13·4 | 13·1 | 12·9 |
| Range (yrs) | 11 | 14+ | 8 | 7 | 7 | 10+ | 6 | 8 |
| Lower Limit | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 |
| Upper Limit | 20 | 23+ | 18 | 16 | 17 | 19+ | 16 | 17 |

* Median score
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FIG. 1.—Percentage of girls menstruating at a given age in Oslo, 1928 and 1952 (Kil, 1953).

FIG. 2.—Percentage of girls menstruating at a given age in England, 1845 (Waddy, 1846), 1890 and 1949 (Wilson and Sutherland, 1949), and 1962.

FIG. 3.—Average age at menarche in England in the 19th and 20th centuries, from data provided by Backman (1948) and extended to include the 1949 average given by Wilson and Sutherland (1949).

FIG. 4.—Average age at menarche in Germany and France in the 19th and 20th centuries, from data provided by Backman (1948).

century series, it will be seen that the origins are almost the same but that the slopes of the two pairs are very different. As the slope is determined by the dispersion of observations, it follows that the dispersion was greater in the 19th century than it is now. The 19th century series are made up in part of girls who menstruated as early as modern girls and in part of girls who menstruated much later.

The two Oslo series (Fig. 1), however, present an entirely different picture. The curve representing the 1952 series is similar to that representing the 1928
series but appears to have shifted bodily along the horizontal axis without changing its slope. A similar
difference is seen between the individual members of
the two pairs of graphs shown in Fig. 2.

It is difficult to escape the conclusion that two
types of change have occurred in the age at menarche.
In the one, part of the population has advanced
considerably and the rest scarcely at all. In the other,
the shift appears to have affected the whole popu-
lation equally, as though every girl had taken several
months off her age at onset of menstruation. The
first type of change is compatible with the theory that
the advance in the average age at menarche is due to
the elimination of delaying diseases, such as tuber-
culosis and iron deficiency. The second type is more
in keeping with Tanner’s concept of a fundamental
biological phenomenon. But the most significant
feature of the two sets of results is the suggestion that
two different processes have been going on at the
same time.

One way out of the difficulty is to discard one or
other set of data on the grounds of its unreliability.
But which set? To accept only those data which
satisfy the strictest criteria is to confine the inquiry
to modern, highly-developed communities, in which
differences in the age at menarche are known to be
small. It seems unreasonable to embark on a his-
torical investigation in the first place and then give
the unreliability of certain data as the reason for
discarding inconvenient hypotheses.

In fact, observations from another source support
the contention that more than one process is involved
in the declining age at menarche. The data provided
by Backman (1948) for England, France, and
Germany are reproduced in Figs 3 and 4. These
contrast with the more regular trends presented by
Tanner (1962) for Finland, Sweden, Norway, and the
USA, which were selected because they were based
on “the most extensive and best-reported data”.
Backman’s view is this: “In England, Norway, and
Finland, the advancement of puberty developed in a
uniform and continuous way; in Germany, France,
Denmark, and Sweden, on the other hand, the change
was at times quicker, at times slower; in France it
ever showed retardation for 20–25 years between a
preceding and a subsequent period of advancement”.
“The war of 1870–1, and the revolutionary move-
ments in France which followed upon it, exercised
in France a retarding influence on the onset of
menstruation.” The age at onset in Norway (17·4
years) in the mid-19th century he regards as “stri-
kingly late” in comparison with other countries,
although some writers have assumed that an equally
late onset was then the rule in Great Britain also
(Carstairs, 1963).

When everything is taken into account, therefore,
there is little to show that the decline in the age at the
menarche is characteristically uniform and uni-
versally consistent. Indeed, what regularities there
are can be adequately explained as the smoothing
effect of several influences all proceeding in more or
less the same direction. And once the idea of a
uniform, universal, and inexorable change is
abandoned, we can see our way out of what appears
to be an aetiological impasse.

As far as the age at menarche is concerned, this
means that more than one set of causes can be
considered. The unduly delayed onset of men-
struation in a proportion of the population in the
19th century can be attributed to diseases such as
tuberculosis and nutritional deficiencies. These
were known to be prevalent and it is scarcely conceivable
that they were without influence. The general shift in
the population which, in the two Oslo series, for
example, suggests that every girl is now claiming
an earlier menarche than her predecessors requires an
alternative explanation and the most promising
seems to be a change in the psycho-sexual environ-
ment. Tanner (1961) dismisses this for two reasons:
one is that the downward trend proceeded at its
constant rate of 4 months per decade throughout the
“Victorian” era (when, presumably, the psycho-
sexual environment was stable), the other is that Oslo
school-girls at co-educational schools do not differ,
as regards the age at menarche, from those at schools
for girls only. But the “Victorian” era belongs
to England where the downward trend could not have
been more than one month per decade. The rate of
4 months per decade is derived from Scandinavia
which has a recognizable cultural tradition of its own.
As for the Oslo school-girls, it would be surprising if
the psycho-sexual environment of any of them was
contained within the classroom and the playground.

In fact, the psycho-social environment may very
well operate in more than one way. For example, it
may affect the recall of those questioned some time
after the event. In the Sheffield series, after 50 years,
al but twenty of 257 women questioned were able
to give an exact age at onset. Is this fact, or is it fact
modified by a life-time’s exposure to changing
cultural pressures? Certainly, the average age of this
group is considerably lower than that given by
Backman (1948) for the same period. One explanation
of the difference is that Backman’s subjects were
questioned while they were still young and before
their memories had been influenced by 20th century
values. On the other hand, it may be that psycho-
logical or cultural pressures retard or accelerate a
triggering-off process and determine when a girl who
is otherwise sexually mature produces her first show
of blood. Both these factors are at present under investigation in a follow-up study.

Some light on whether the first menstrual period always occurs at the same stage of physical and sexual development was provided by Nicolson and Hanley (1953), who used factorial analysis to investigate ways of assessing progress along the "hypothetical maturation continuum" and found a general factor with high loadings in each of their measures of maturation. They concluded that earliness or lateness on a given measure at a particular age level was a function of the general maturational status at the time. The index with the highest loading (0.967) was the age on reaching 90 per cent. of mature height, which gave a correlation with the age at menarche of \( r = 0.861 \).

The extraction of a general factor does not, of course, establish the existence of a corresponding general maturation mechanism. The correlation matrix can equally well be explained by assuming as many factors as there are pairs of variables. In fact, there is evidence that maturation in height does not nowadays bear the same relationship to the age at menarche that it once did. From Nicolson and Hanley's data a regression equation can be derived for expected age at menarche for any given age on reaching 90 per cent. of mature height:

\[
\text{Age at menarche} = 1.076 \times \text{Age on reaching 90 per cent. mature height} + 0.54
\]

Data provided by Galton (1883) from his Anthropometric Survey allow the age on reaching 90 per cent. of mature height to be calculated for three social groups. These are given in Table II, together with the estimated age at menarche based on the regression equation and with the higher 5 per cent. confidence limit. It will be seen that these limits are below contemporary estimates of the age at menarche. Thus the relationship between age at menarche and other indices of maturation cannot be regarded as invariable and the assertion of Kiil (1939) that "it goes without saying" that present-day relationships also hold good for earlier times cannot be accepted.

On the present evidence, therefore, it is by no means certain that the changes which have occurred in the age at menarche are the outcome of any fundamental biological transformation. It is not even certain that these changes are the result of a single aetiological factor. Several sets of causes may be involved. One of them may be primarily associated with physical development, one with mental development, and another with social development. If this view is accepted the major difficulties of the unitary hypothesis can be avoided.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

1. The value of the age at menarche as an index of the secular trend towards earlier maturity depends on the nature of its relationship with the other indices of maturation.

2. The view of Tanner and others is that maturation is a single organic process, controlled, apparently, by a central pace-maker. The secular trend is thought of primarily as a biological phenomenon, with biological and hereditary origins, which educationists and sociologists must submit to.

3. Examination of the frequency distributions of the age at onset of menstruation in groups of girls studied in the 19th and 20th centuries, however, raises doubts as to the correctness of this view. The average age at menarche seems to result from two kinds of change in the frequency distribution. One is associated with the reduction in the proportion of girls in whom menarche is unduly delayed, which could reflect the decreasing incidence of chronic infections and nutritional deficiencies. The other is more general in nature, affecting all girls more or less equally.

4. The regularity of the secular trend in Finland, Sweden, Norway, and the USA—on which the general biological theory largely depends—is, moreover, not repeated in England, France, and Germany where local and temporary factors seem important.

5. It is not disputed that the lowering of the average age at menarche is of considerable interest, but until its biological, psychological, and sociological causes have been further unravelled its significance remains uncertain. As yet, there seems to be little justification for regarding it as "one of the most considerable phenomena of human biology".
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