Paralytic poliomyelitis in children under 6 years in Pondicherry: a community survey

M B Soudarssanane, S B Rotti, D K Srinivasa, G Ramalingam

Abstract

Study objectives—To assess the amount of poliomyelitis and its epidemiological features including risk factors.

Design—This was a retrospective study of cases of paralytic poliomyelitis among children 0-6 years of age.

Setting—Pondicherry, India, 1983-89.

Subjects—A total of 47 960 children aged less than 6 years.

Measurements and main results—In 1989, 469 field workers undertook a door to door survey of children 0-6 years old to identify those with limb paralysis. This was followed by clinical examination to establish the cause, supplemented by case notes held by the Child Development Services. Altogether 203 cases of limb paralysis were identified, 188 of which were judged a result of paralytic poliomyelitis. The prevalence of poliomyelitis in 1989 was 3.9/1000 among children below 6 years of age. There was a male preponderance with a male:female ratio of 1.4:1. The prevalence was least in infants (1/1000) and highest in children aged 2 to 3 years (6.4/1000). The age at onset was less than 12 months in 42% of cases and less than 3 years in 98%. The median age at onset was 13.4 months. Time series analysis showed a high occurrence of cases from May to September between 1983 and 1989. The legs were affected in 97%. About 41% of children had received three doses of oral polio vaccine. There was a history of intramuscular injection, possibly provoking a paralytic attack, in 54% of cases.

Conclusion—This retrospective community study involving the staff of the Integrated Child Development Services provided valid data about poliomyelitis with little additional cost and minimum training. Because the study covered a whole population of children under 6 years, rather than a sample, the data will help in monitoring and surveillance of poliomyelitis and also in planning strategies for effective control.

introduction of the Expanded Programme of Immunisation in 1978 and the Universal Immunisation Programme in 1985, efforts have been made to collect information on the incidence of paralytic poliomyelitis. It is essential to try to enlist the support of all available agencies to estimate the extent of the problem and to undertake measures to control it. The Integrated Child Development Scheme (ICDS) which covers about 2500 community development blocks spread over the country offers a good infrastructure for undertaking such activities. The present study was undertaken by all the field staff of the ICDS in Pondicherry, with the objectives of: (a) assessing how much poliomyelitis there is in Pondicherry; and (b) studying the epidemiological features and some risk factors among the cases of paralytic poliomyelitis.

Methods

This study was conducted in the Pondicherry region of the Union Territory of Pondicherry, situated at a latitude of 11.5° N along the east coast of the Bay of Bengal and about 160 km south of Madras. About 74% of the total population of the Union Territory live here (1981 census).⁴

The vaccination schedule in the study area is as follows: (i) three doses of oral polio vaccine and diphtheria and tetanus in months 3, 4, and 5 of life; (subsequently changed to weeks 6, 10, and 14 under the Universal Immunisation programme); (ii) BCG before 6 weeks of age; and (iii) measles vaccine at 9-12 months of age. Since 1988, vaccination coverage surveys have been conducted every year in Pondicherry in children aged 12-23 months using cluster sampling techniques suggested by Lemeshow and Robinson.⁵ The coverage data for oral polio vaccine were 91%, 97%, and 98% in 1988, 1989, and 1990 respectively with a very small drop out rate varying from 1% to 6% between the first and third doses. A wide network of two major hospitals, 19 primary health centres, and nine dispensaries carry out the vaccination programmes. The peripheral institutions undertake immunisation on a fixed day of the week throughout the region using outreach operations.

The Integrated Child Development Scheme (ICDS) has four projects in Pondicherry with a total of 469 anganwadis (field workers) as of June 1989. The activities of the ICDS have already been documented.⁶ There is one anganwadi worker for about one thousand population. During the monthly continuing education sessions in April, May, and June 1989, all anganwadi workers, project supervisors, and child development project officers were briefed in detail about the objectives of the study and the methodology.

Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research, Pondicherry-605 006, India

M B Soudarssanane
S B Rotti
D K Srinivasa
G Ramalingam

Correspondence to:
Dr M B Soudarssanane

Accepted for publication
September 1992

J Epidemiol Community Health 1993; 47: 210-214

Poliomyelitis continues to be a major public health problem in both urban and rural areas of India.¹ The number of cases reported is a gross underestimate of the problem.^{2 3} The information available is based either on cases admitted to hospital or on lameness surveys among children aged 0-5 and 5-15 years. There is considerable variation between and within regions. Since the

All the anganwadi workers conducted a door to door survey of the children with limb paralysis in the age group 0–6 years in their respective area. Data available from the ICDS records were also used to support the information gathered in the survey. A total of 47 960 children formed the study group. This study was undertaken in April–July 1989. Data were collected by the anganwadi worker using a proforma that contained information about identification data, age, sex, age at the onset of paralysis, and the risk factors of poliomyelitis cases along with the age and sex distribution of all children under 6 years in their respective area. The following criteria, which were used for the lameness survey under the Universal Immunisation Programme, were used for this study:

- (i) History of fever for one to two days preceding the onset of weakness or paralysis of the muscles of the limbs;
- (ii) No progress of the paralysis after the first three days;
- (iii) Paralysis was not present at birth;
- (iv) No sensory loss.

A total of 203 cases were identified by the workers. All were referred to the Centre for Education, Rehabilitation, and Treatment of the Handicapped (CERTH) in Pondicherry using transport provided by the Department of Social Welfare. The diagnosis of paralytic poliomyelitis was confirmed at CERTH by a team of doctors, which included one of the authors (MBS). Altogether 188 cases of lameness were confirmed to have been caused by paralytic poliomyelitis: 11 were the result of neurological sequelae of encephalitis, two were caused by congenital deformity, and two by tuberculous meningitis. Whenever these were available, discharge slips given by the treating hospitals were referred for the diagnosis.

Laforce *et al*⁷ have suggested that the figures for lameness should be multiplied by a factor of 1.25 to arrive at the total number of paralytic cases. The

product should then be multiplied by a factor of 1.33 to account for cases that might have either recovered or died. To arrive at the incidence this total should be divided by 5 if the age group at risk in the study population is 0–5 years. In this study, multiplication of the number of cases identified by 1.25 was not done as children with affected upper limbs were also included. The total prevalence was divided by 6 as the age group at risk was 0–6 years, to arrive at the yearly incidence.

Time series analysis for the period July 1983 to June 1989 was done using the method of moving averages. The average of three consecutive months was calculated omitting the first month each time and taking the average value as the expected value for the middle month. For example the average of three months of 1983, July, August and September, was taken to be the expected value for August 1983. The average for the next set of three months August, September, and October 1983 was calculated to give the expected value for September 1983. The expected values for each month in the study period were calculated similarly. The average of all the expected values for the same month for all the years from 1983 to 1989 was calculated next—that is, the average of all the expected January values for 1983 to 1989, and so on. Thus, we calculated the individual average for 12 months, which formed the average year. The mean value of 12 months of the average year formed the 'O' in the X axis. Values on the positive and negative side of 'O' were plotted on the Y axis. This procedure of calculating time trends is fully described by S P Gupta.⁸

Results

The prevalence of poliomyelitis in children under 6 years of age in 1989 was 3.9/1000 (188 cases among 47 960) in Pondicherry. It was least among infants. The maximum prevalence was among those aged 2 years. It was two to three times higher in children aged 2 years and above than in those below 2 years of age (table I). The difference was statistically significant ($\chi^2=30.18$; $p<0.001$).

There were 109 cases in boys, giving a prevalence of 4.6/1000, and 79 cases in girls, giving a prevalence of 3.3/1000. The boy:girl ratio was 1.4:1, and the difference was statistically significant ($\chi^2=5.21$; $p<0.05$).

The prevalence of all cases of poliomyelitis was 5.2/1000 children after the correction factor of 1.33 was applied. The annual incidence was calculated to be 0.9/1000. The yearwise occurrence of poliomyelitis (calculation based on the year of onset) showed a maximum occurrence in 1987. Of the total of 188 cases, there were 4 in 1989 (up to June), 24 in 1988, 64 in 1987, 36 in 1986, 30 in 1985, 25 in 1984, and 5 in 1983 (from July) (fig 1).

The incidence of poliomyelitis was calculated using figures for the new occurrences every year and the total number of children under 6 years served by the ICDS for the corresponding years. The incidence rates (per 1000 for children under 6 years) were 0.85 in 1984, 0.74 in 1985, 0.82 in 1986, 1.51 in 1987, and 0.57 in 1988. (fig 2)

The age at onset of paralytic poliomyelitis is shown in table II. Infants aged less than 6 months contributed 13.3% and about 75% of the cases

Table I Prevalence of paralytic poliomyelitis /1000 children under 6 years of age in Pondicherry

Age (y)	Prevalence /1000 children <6 y		
	Boys	Girls	Total
1	1.3	0.8	1.0
2	2.7	1.9	2.3
3	7.9	4.9	6.4
4	4.6	3.8	4.2
5	5.5	4.4	4.9
6	5.3	3.8	4.5
Total	4.6	3.3	3.9

Boy:girl=1.4:1

Figure 1 The occurrence of poliomyelitis in Pondicherry between 1983 and 1989.

Figure 2 The incidence of poliomyelitis in Pondicherry between 1984 and 1988.

Table II Frequency of paralytic poliomyelitis by age at onset

Age at onset (mth)	Frequency (%) (n=188)	Cumulative frequency (%)
<6	13.3	13.3
6-12	28.2	41.5
13-18	35.6	77.1
19-24	8.5	85.6
25-36	12.2	97.8
37-60	2.2	100.0

had occurred before the age of 18 months. In all cases, the age at onset was less than 5 years. The median age at onset was 13.4 months (fig 3).

Limb involvement is shown in table III. Legs were affected in 97.3% and arms in 2.7% of cases.

Risk factors for paralytic poliomyelitis are given in table IV. About 60% of cases were either not immunised or only partially immunised and there was a history of injection during the period of fever before paralysis in 54% of cases.

Time series analysis using the month of onset showed a seasonal distribution of cases, from May to September (fig 4), though there were scattered occurrences throughout the year.

Discussion

Developed countries have reached the stage of eliminating the occurrence of new cases and are embarking upon eradication of poliomyelitis⁹ but the disease is still endemic in developing countries, which experience seasonal and cyclical outbreaks.¹⁰ In India the Universal Immunisation Programme was launched in 1985 to increase immunisation coverage for the six vaccine preventable diseases of childhood and to train the health personnel. The field staff of the Integrated Child Development Services are being increasingly involved in the activities of the Universal Immunisation Programme. In the present study the infrastructure of ICDS was used and this offered the advantage of accurately specifying the characteristics of the population under study. The

study group was the total population aged under 6 years rather than a sample. The procedure entailed little extra time and cost to the investigators. Moreover, cases studied included all forms of paralysis caused by poliomyelitis, including lameness.

The methodology employed in the present study was the same as that used for conducting lameness surveys for poliomyelitis under the Universal Immunisation Programme.⁵ In such surveys carried out in Pondicherry, the information was collected retrospectively, with the recall period varying from zero to five years.^{11 12} Some previous studies have also reported retrospective data.¹³⁻¹⁵ Some recall bias cannot be ruled out in these studies (including the present study) but they have given data comparable with those of some hospital studies^{16 17} in which the recall bias could be expected to be less. Prospective studies would be ideal but they would be costlier and more time consuming.

The estimated annual incidence of poliomyelitis in this study was 0.9/1000, which agrees with the overall incidence of 1-2/1000 in India.² The target is to reduce the incidence of poliomyelitis to 0.33/1000 children age less than 5 years by 1990. In this study it was found that the incidence was 0.57/1000 children under 6 years of age in 1988.

The prevalence of lameness as a result of paralytic poliomyelitis was 1.6/1000 children aged 6-15 years in the early 1980s in Pondicherry.¹⁵

Figure 3 Cumulative frequency of the age distribution and median age at onset of poliomyelitis.

Table III Limb involvement among paralytic poliomyelitis cases

Limb involved	% of cases (n=188)
Lower limbs:	97.3
Left alone	40.4
Right alone	29.3
Both	27.6
Upper limbs:	2.7
Left alone	1.6
Right alone	1.1

Table IV Frequency of risk factors for paralytic poliomyelitis (n=188)

Immunisation status:	No	(%)
Unimmunised or partly immunised	110	(59.5)
Fully immunised	78	(40.5)
History of injection:		
Yes	102	(54.2)
No	86	(45.8)

Figure 4 Time series analysis of poliomyelitis in Pondicherry (between July 1983 and June 1989)

The prevalence of paralytic poliomyelitis of 3.9/1000 children under 6 years of age in this study indicates that the occurrence of new cases is concentrated in preschool children and reflects a rise in the occurrence in the past decade.

There is evidence for the occurrence of outbreaks of poliomyelitis in 1987 in Pondicherry and adjoining districts of Tamil Nadu.¹⁶ This is reflected in our study too as the maximum prevalence was among children aged 2–3 years, corresponding to the year 1987. Again, the incidence in 1987 was as high as 1.51/1000, compared with a value below 0.8/1000 in the other years in the study, denoting the epidemic in 1987. Cluster sample surveys conducted in Pondicherry in 1989 and 1990 have reported prevalences of lameness caused by poliomyelitis as 4.6 and 2.4/1000 respectively in children under 5 years of age.^{11 12} Other studies conducted in rural and urban areas of south India have also indicated a rise in the last decade.^{14 18 22}

The preponderance of cases among males observed in this study has also been reported in the studies conducted in Pondicherry and elsewhere.^{15 16 23 24}

In a series of cases admitted in hospital over the past 20 years in Pondicherry¹⁶ and in two field surveys recently conducted in Pondicherry,^{11 12} the age at onset was reported to be under 12 months in most (50%–62%) cases. In the present study too age of onset was less than 12 months in 41.5% of cases. The median age at onset has been reported to be 11–12 months.^{16 19} The present study also confirms this finding. In addition, the fact that 13% of cases have occurred in children below the age of 6 months underlines the importance of the zero dose of oral polio vaccine and completion of the primary vaccination of three doses before 6 months of age.

The legs were affected in 97% of cases in the present study. Other studies have reported paralysis affecting the legs in 75–90% of cases.^{23 26}

The frequency of children developing paralytic poliomyelitis even after receiving three doses of oral polio vaccine has been high in Pondicherry: it was 58% in a cluster sample survey in 1990¹² and 14.7% in a hospital study.¹⁶ In the present study it was 40.5%. Since the vaccine coverage for all the children under 6 years of age included in the study was not known, it is not possible to comment on the vaccine efficacy. Failure of oral polio vaccine has been reported to range between 5 and 20%.²⁷

The causes of the apparent higher failure of oral polio vaccine in our study need further investigations to find if other factors are involved.

The results of this study show that intramuscular injection may have provoked poliomyelitis in 54% of the cases. Mahadevan *et al.*¹⁶ have also reported provocation poliomyelitis in 66% of cases. Several reports from India^{20 24 27} and other third world countries^{28–30} have indicated that intramuscular injections given for non-specific fever in children are an important risk factor in areas that are endemic for poliomyelitis.

In the Indian subcontinent, the peak incidence has been reported during July, August, and September.¹ The present study also confirms this observation with a slightly extended duration from May to September. This calls for intensification of the immunisation programme during the low polio incidence period of November to April.

We are indebted to The Director of Social Welfare and the Programme Officer, ICDS Cell, Government of Pondicherry for their kind cooperation. We thank all the CDPOs, programme supervisors, and anganwadi workers of ICDS projects of Pondicherry region for their active participation in this study. We also thank the Director of JIPMER, Pondicherry, Dr D S Dubey for his encouragement, the Director, Centre for Education, Rehabilitation, and Treatment of the Handicapped (CERTH), Pondicherry, Mr Marc Bonnet, for providing calipers and other appliances to all the identified children; Dr S Mahadevan for his invaluable help in the literature search; Mrs C Maragathamani and Mr G K Padmanabhan for their secretarial assistance.

- 1 World Health Organisation. *The EPI in SE Asia*, WHO: New Delhi, 1986. SEARO Reg Health Paper No 12.
- 2 Basu RN. Magnitude of problem of poliomyelitis in India. *Indian Pediatr* 1981; **18**: 507–11.
- 3 Health information of India. New Delhi: Government of India Central Bureau of Health Intelligence, 1986.
- 4 Abstracts of statistics (1982–83), Pondicherry: Director of Economics and Statistics, 1984.
- 5 Lemeshow S, Robinson D. Surveys to measure programme coverage and impact: a review of the methodology used by the expanded programme on immunisation, *World Health Stat Q* 1985; **38**: 65–75.
- 6 Central Technical Committee on health and nutrition. *Integrated Child Development Services* New Delhi: India Institute of Medical Sciences, 1983. (Information document)
- 7 LaForce FM, Lichnevski MS, Keja J, Henderson RH. Clinical survey technique to estimate prevalence and annual incidence of poliomyelitis in developing countries. *Bull World Health Organ* 1980; **58** (4): 609–20.
- 8 Gupta SP. *Statistical methods* (25th ed). New Delhi: Sultan Chand, 1990, 18–24.
- 9 World Health Organisation Weekly Epidemiological Records. 1981; **56** (43): 337–44.
- 10 World Health Organisation Weekly Epidemiological Records. 1976; **51**: 53–60.
- 11 Report on the immunisation coverage, antenatal care, KAP and lameness survey in Pondicherry. Pondicherry, Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research: 1989.
- 12 Report on the immunisation coverage, antenatal care, KAP, neonatal deaths, and lameness survey in Pondicherry. Pondicherry, Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research: 1990.
- 13 Jhala CI, Goela RK, Dave SK, Dave AD. Morbidity due to poliomyelitis in urban and rural area of Gujarat in pediatric population—a house-to-house survey. *Indian Pediatr* 1976; **13**: 821–5.
- 14 Prabhakar N, Srilatha V, Mukerji D *et al.* The epidemiology and prevention of poliomyelitis in a rural community in south India. *Indian Pediatr* 1981; **18**: 527–32.
- 15 Rotti SB, Satpathy SK, Mehta SP. Prevalence of paralytic poliomyelitis in Pondicherry, South India. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1982; **36**: 279–81.
- 16 Mahadevan S, Shanti A, Srinivasan S. Poliomyelitis. 20 years—the Pondicherry experience. *J Trop Med Hyg* 1989; **92**: 416–21.
- 17 Gujral V, Sharma D, Gangrade S, *et al.* Paralytic poliomyelitis in children. *Indian Pediatr* 1977; **14**: 379–85.
- 18 Sundaravalli N, Narmada R, Sankar, *et al.* Spurt of poliomyelitis in Madras. *Indian Pediatr* 1981; **18**: 539–44.
- 19 Maiya PP, Jadhav M, Mukundan P, *et al.* Paralytic poliomyelitis—clinical and virological observations. Further study on 210 children. *Indian Pediatr* 1981; **18**: 533–7.
- 20 John TJ, John TK. Is poliomyelitis a serious problem in developing countries? The Vellore experience. *J Trop Pediatr* 1982; **28**: 11–13.

- 21 Vijayan P, Mukundan P, Sukhoor AA, *et al.* Epidemic poliomyelitis. *Indian Pediatr* 1985; 22: 569–73.
- 22 Santhanakrishnan BR, Deivanayagam N, Parthasarathy A, *et al.* Poliomyelitis in children. *Indian Journal of Pediatrics* 1987; 54: 755–58.
- 23 Pendey S, Alam B, Jhe SS, *et al.* Polioparalysis. A critical review. *Journal of Rehabilitation in Asia* 1975; 20: 21–7.
- 24 Sancheti KH, Sahasrabudhe BG, Bhingare RK, Electricwala JT. Clinico-environmental profile of residual paralytic poliomyelitis. *Indian Council of Medical Research Bulletin* 1981; 2: 59–66.
- 25 Sahadeva KC, Gupta AC. Polio paralysis. Clinical observations and management report of 500 cases. *Journal of Rehabilitation in Asia* 1972; 13: 7–11.
- 26 Punatar BJ, Patel DA. Pattern of residual paralysis in poliomyelitis. *Indian Journal of Orthopaedics* 1971; 2: 174–8.
- 27 Johns TJ. Poliomyelitis in India. Prospects and problems in control. *Rev Infect Dis* 1984; 6 (suppl 2): 438–41.
- 28 Nicholas DD, Kratzer JM, Ofasu Ammah S, *et al.* Is poliomyelitis a serious problem in developing countries? The Dafna experience. *BMJ* 1977; i: 1009–12.
- 29 Nwuga VCB, Odunowa T. Some clinical characteristics of children with paralytic poliomyelitis referred for physiotherapy. *J Trop Med Hyg* 1978; 81: 84–7.
- 30 Guyer B, Bisong AAE, Gould J, *et al.* Infections and paralytic Poliomyelitis in tropical Africa. *Bull World Health Organ* 1980; 58: 285–91.

An 18th century trial

Sir Austin Bradford Hill stated¹ that the essence of any trial is comparison and gave the following quotation from the writings of James Lind (1753)² as an example of a classical experiment in the treatment of scurvy which makes clear this concept.

“On the 20th of May, 1747, I took twelve patients in the scurvy, on board the *Salisbury* at sea. Their cases were as similar as I could have them. They all in general had putrid gums, the spots and lassitude, with weakness of their knees. They lay together in one place, being a proper apartment for the sick in the fore-hold; and had one diet common to all, viz. water-gruel sweetened with sugar in the morning; fresh mutton-broth often times for dinner; at other times puddings, boiled biscuit with sugar etc. And for supper, barley and raisins, rice and currants, sago and wine, or the like. Two of these were ordered each a quart of cyder a day. Two others took twenty-five gutts of *elixir vitriol* three times a day, upon an empty stomach; using a gargle strongly acidulated with it for their mouths. Two others took two spoonfuls of vinegar three times a day, upon an empty stomach; having their gruels and their other food well acidulated with it, as also the gargle for their mouths. Two of the worst patients, with the tendons in the ham rigid (a symptom none of the rest had) were put under a course of sea-water. Of this they drank half a pint every day, and sometimes more or less as it operated, by way of gentle physic. Two others had

each two oranges and one lemon given them every day. These they eat with greediness, at different times, upon an empty stomach. They continued but six days under this course, having consumed the quantity that could be spared. The two remaining patients, took the bigness of a nutmeg three times a day of an electuary recommended by a hospital-surgeon, made of garlic, mustard-seed, *rad. raphan*, balsam of *Peru*, and gum myrrh; using for common drink barley-water well acidulated with tamarinds; by a decoction of which, with the addition of *cremor tartar*, they were greatly purged three or four times during the course.

The consequence was, that the most sudden and visible good effects were perceived from the use of the oranges and lemons; one of those who had taken them, being at the end of six days fit for duty. The spots were not indeed at that time quite off his body, nor his gums sound; but without any other medicine, than a gargle of *elixir vitriol*, he became quite healthy before we came into Plymouth, which was on the 16th June. The other was the best recovered of any in his condition; and being now deemed pretty well, was appointed nurse to the rest of the sick.”

1 Hill AB. *Statistical methods in clinical and preventive medicine*. London: Livingstone, 1962.

2 Lind J. *A treatise of the scurvy in three parts, containing an inquiry into the nature, causes and cure of that disease, together with a critical and chronological view of what has been published on the subject*. Edinburgh: Sands, Murray, and Cochran, 1753.