Low birthweight, preterm, and small for gestational age babies in Scotland, 1981-1984

Silvia de Sanjose, Eve Roman

Abstract

Study objective—The aim was to examine the effect of maternal age, gravidity, marital status, previous perinatal deaths, and parental social class on babies born low birthweight, preterm, and small for gestational age.

Design—The study used data on discharge summaries from all maternity hospitals in Scotland.

Setting—The study was based on all singleton deliveries in Scotland.

Participants—The analysis involved information on 259 462 singleton babies born during the four years 1981-84 in Scotland.

Measurements and main results—Previous perinatal death was found to be the strongest predictor for both preterm and low birthweight. Single mothers were at particularly high risk of having a small for gestational age baby and those who were previously married of having a preterm baby. Women aged less than 20 years old, those over 34 years old, nulligravidae, and those of parity 3 or more were also at increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcome. Mothers and fathers in manual social classes and those who could not be assigned a social class on the basis of their occupation were at increased risk for all three adverse outcomes studied. The babies of parents who were in manual occupations were twice as likely as those of parents in non-manual occupations to be small for gestational age and almost twice as likely to be low birthweight.

Conclusions—Mother's social class is a risk factor for adverse pregnancy outcome independent of maternal age, parity, and adverse reproductive history, and also independent of father's social class. Information on both parents' occupations should be collected in maternity discharge systems.

Low birthweight, preterm, and small for gestational age are three terms commonly used to describe poor fetal growth and immaturity in the newborn. Low birthweight is usually applied to babies weighing less than 2500 g and preterm to babies born before 37 weeks gestation. In industrialised countries about 5-7% of liveborn babies are of low birthweight and 4-9% are preterm.¹⁻⁴ Unlike low birthweight and preterm delivery there is no generally accepted definition of small for gestational age (SGA), and its

estimated prevalence depends on the gestation specific cut off point of the birthweight distribution chosen.

Low birthweight, preterm delivery, and smallness for gestational age have all been associated with a wide range of parental characteristics.⁵⁻⁷ These relations are of particular interest for the public health sector, since they define groups within the population for which preventive interventions may be possible.

In this paper we examine the effects of maternal age, gravidity, marital status, previous perinatal death, and parental social class on babies born in Scotland over the four year period 1981-84.

Methods

Data on 259 462 babies born alive in Scotland during the four years 1981-84 were provided by the Scottish Health Service Common Services Agency. In Scotland, information about the parents and about the delivery is recorded on specially designed forms. These discharge summaries (SMR2s) are completed for approximately 99% of births. The obstetric items recorded include birthweight, gestational age, and baby's sex. Information about mother's age, marital status, gravidity, previous reproductive history, occupation, and partner's occupation is also requested. Social class is assigned on the basis of occupation, using the England and Wales Registrar General's standard classification.⁸

In this paper, low birthweight refers to babies with birthweight below 2500 g and preterm to babies born before 37 weeks gestation, as measured from the first day of the last menstrual period. Small for gestational age (SGA) babies are defined as those babies falling on or below the 5th percentile of the appropriate gestation specific birthweight distribution.

The SPSSx and GLIM statistical packages were used for data processing and analyses.⁹⁻¹⁰ Unconditional logistic regression was used to study low birthweight, preterm delivery, and smallness for gestational age. Different models were constructed to estimate the relative risk of each outcome according to different risk factors. The likelihood ratio test, which examines differences in log likelihood statistics, was used to evaluate the fit between models. Interaction effects were also examined and are reported here when statistically significant.¹¹

Multiple births ($n = 3997$), babies with weights lower than 500 g ($n = 375$, 0.2%), and babies whose SMR2 records had missing data on any of the variables under study ($n = 2943$, 1.3%) were excluded from the analyses. Thus, the final sample consisted of 252 147 (97.2%) of all singleton livebirths.

International Agency
for Research on
Cancer, 150 Cours
Albert Thomas, Lyon
69372, Cedex 08,
France
S de Sanjose
Cancer Epidemiology
Unit, Imperial Cancer
Research Fund,
Gibson Building,
Radcliffe Infirmary,
Oxford, United
Kingdom
E Roman

Correspondence to:
Dr Sanjose

Accepted for publication
October 1990

Results

Of all livebirths, 5.5% were of low birthweight, 5.1% were preterm, and 5% were, by definition, small for gestational age. The overall percentage of babies affected by at least one of these adverse outcomes was 9.2%.

The characteristics of mothers giving birth to low birthweight, preterm, and SGA babies are summarised in table I. In all cases the χ^2 heterogeneity test, which was performed for each

Table I Low birthweight (LBW), preterm, and small for gestational age (SGA) by parental characteristics. Scotland, 1981–84.

	Births (n)	LBW (%)	Preterm (%)	SGA (%)
Mother's age (years)				
<20	25 127	7.7	8.0	5.8
20–29	168 658	5.2	4.7	5.1
30–34	43 386	4.9	4.6	4.2
34+	14 976	6.1	5.9	4.9
Gravidity				
0	108 944	6.3	5.6	6.0
1	87 483	4.6	4.3	4.3
2	37 705	4.6	4.5	4.1
3+	18 015	6.3	6.4	4.8
Previous perinatal death				
0	245 270	5.3	5.0	5.0
1	5688	10.0	9.9	6.0
2+	406	15.4	17.5	6.4
Marital status				
Married	218 924	5.0	4.7	4.7
Single	23 379	8.7	8.6	7.2
Previously married	9844	8.1	6.6	7.0
Mother's social class				
Non-manual	71 478	4.8	4.6	4.6
Manual	32 784	6.6	5.6	6.3
Other ^a	23 015	7.9	7.9	6.6
Housewives	124 870	5.2	4.9	4.7
Father's social class				
Non-manual	67 940	3.8	3.4	3.4
Manual	109 806	5.3	4.4	5.1
Other ^a	74 401	7.2	5.8	6.1
All	252 147	5.5	5.1	5.0

^a Other includes: inadequately described occupations, students, armed forces, and occupation not stated.

Table II Adjusted relative risks (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for low birthweight (LBW), preterm, and small for gestational age (SGA) by mother's age, gravidity, previous perinatal death, marital status, and parental social class, Scotland, 1981–84.

	LBW RR (95% CI)	Preterm RR (95% CI)	SGA RR (95% CI)
Mother's age (years)			
<20	1.2 (1.1, 1.2)*	1.4 (1.3, 1.5)*	0.9 (0.8, 0.9)*
20–29	1.0	1.0	1.0
30–34	1.1 (1.0, 1.1)*	1.0 (0.9, 1.0)	0.9 (0.9, 1.0)
>34	1.2 (1.1, 1.4)*	1.2 (1.1, 1.3)*	1.1 (1.0, 1.1)*
Gravidity			
0	1.3 (1.2, 1.3)*	1.1 (1.1, 1.2)*	1.4 (1.3, 1.4)*
1	1.0	1.0	1.0
2	1.1 (1.0, 1.1)*	1.1 (1.1, 1.3)*	0.9 (0.9, 1.2)
3+	1.3 (1.2, 1.3)*	1.4 (1.3, 1.5)*	1.1 (1.0, 1.2)*
Previous perinatal death			
None	1.0	1.0	1.0
1 or more	2.1 (1.9, 2.4)*	2.3 (2.1, 2.5)*	1.3 (1.2, 1.5)*
Marital status			
Married	1.0	1.0	1.0
Single	1.3 (1.2, 1.4)*	1.4 (1.3, 1.5)*	1.7 (1.5, 1.8)*
Previously married	1.5 (1.3, 1.6)*	1.7 (1.6, 1.8)*	1.5 (1.4, 1.6)*
Mother's social group			
Non-manual	1.0	1.0	1.0
Manual	1.2 (1.2, 1.3)	1.1 (1.1, 1.3)	1.3 (1.2, 1.4)
Housewives	1.1 (1.1, 1.2)	1.1 (1.1, 1.1)	1.2 (1.1, 1.2)
Other ^a	1.3 (1.2, 1.4)	1.3 (1.1, 1.3)	1.3 (1.2, 1.4)
Father's social group			
Non-manual	1.0	1.0	1.0
Manual	1.5 (1.4, 1.5)	1.2 (1.2, 1.3)	1.5 (1.4, 1.5)
Other ^a	1.7 (1.6, 1.8)	1.4 (1.3, 1.5)	1.6 (1.4, 1.7)

Relative risks adjusted for all the other factors in the table and baby's sex

^a Other includes: inadequately described occupations, armed forces, students, and occupation not stated.

* $p < 0.05$

of the variables and for each outcome, was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). Each of the three outcomes appears to have a U shaped relationship with maternal age, the percentages being highest in those under 20 years and those over 34 years of age. This relationship was more marked for low birth weight and preterm delivery than for SGA. The association between the three outcomes and gravidity is similarly U shaped. Again the relationship appears weakest for SGA. Mothers reporting one or more prior perinatal death were more than twice as likely as mothers reporting no prior deaths to have a low birthweight or preterm baby. By comparison, the relationship between previous perinatal death and SGA is less marked. Married mothers had considerably fewer low birthweight, preterm, and SGA babies than single or previously married mothers.

When mother's occupation was used to assign social class, the lowest percentage of all three outcomes was recorded in the "non-manual" category and the highest in the "other" category (table I), with manual workers falling in between. The relationship was similar when father's occupation was used as the basis for social class classification. For both non-manual and manual workers, however, the proportion of babies with an adverse outcome was greater when mothers', rather than fathers', occupation was used.

Table II gives the relative risks of adverse outcome adjusted for the effects of all variables in table I and for baby's sex. For each adverse outcome the group at lowest risk of low birthweight was taken as the reference category. After adjustment the relationships remained broadly similar to those already described for the crude analysis. Closer inspection of the data showed, however, that the association between low birthweight and gravidity varied with age (fig 1).

Figure 1 Low birthweight by maternal age and gravidity

In young mothers (less than 20 years old), low birthweight increased with increasing gravidity, the risk for mothers of gravidity 3 or more being approximately two and a half times greater than of that of mothers in their first pregnancy. In older mothers (more than 34 years old) the relationship was reversed, women having their first baby having twice the risk of those having a second or higher order birth.

The adjusted relative risks for low birthweight, preterm delivery, and smallness for gestational age for mothers and fathers by social class are graphed in figs 2 and 3. Social class I is the reference category. When women's occupation is used as the basis for social class classification the relative risk for low birthweight, preterm delivery, and SGA increases from social class I

Figure 2 Low birthweight, preterm and small for gestational age by mother's social class

Figure 3 Low birthweight, preterm, and small for gestational age by father's social class

through to social class IIIM: the gradient being greatest for low birthweight, followed by SGA, and then preterm delivery. For low birthweight and preterm delivery no further increase with social class occurs, whereas for SGA the adjusted relative risk continues to increase from 1.5 in social class IIIM to 1.8 in social class V. When father's occupation is used as the basis for social class classification there is a consistent positive gradient from social class I through to social class V for all three adverse outcomes (fig 3).

The social class gradients become more marked when the joint effects of mothers' and fathers' social class are considered (table III). The risk of having a low birthweight baby, for example, when the mother is a manual worker increases from 1.2 when the father has a non-manual job to 1.8 when the father has a manual job, and further to 1.9 when the father is classified as "Other".

Discussion

The data analysed in this paper come from a single source. At present, no similar collection system

exists in England and Wales. In these Scottish data, low birthweight, preterm and small for gestational age were associated with previous perinatal death, marital status, maternal age, parity, and social class. These findings are consistent with previous reports.¹²⁻¹⁴ Previous perinatal death was found to be the strongest predictor of adverse pregnancy outcome and this effect was more marked for low birthweight and preterm delivery than for SGA (table II). Marital status clearly predicted different levels of adverse pregnancy outcome. Single and formerly married mothers (ie, separated, divorced, and widowed) were, on average, at higher risk than married mothers. Marital status has been shown to be associated with a number of behavioural patterns and habits which could be related to perinatal outcome. As compared to married women, for example, those who are single are less likely to use health services, and those who are previously married are more likely to smoke.^{15 16}

Mothers younger than 20 years and those older than 34 years had higher risks for low birthweight and preterm delivery than mothers within the 20 to 34 year age range. Overall, the relationship between gravidity and low birthweight and preterm delivery was similarly U shaped. However, in young mothers the risk of low birthweight increased with increasing gravidity. This relationship was not found for preterm delivery and SGA. Mothers who by the age of 20 years have already had three pregnancies must have had short pregnancy intervals, and short birth spacing has been associated with an increased risk of low birthweight.¹⁷ Moreover, mothers with high rank gravidity and short birth spacing were more likely to be included more than once in the study, since each mother appears in the analyses as many times as she had births. This could bias some of the associations if parents in a certain category were more likely to have more adverse pregnancy outcomes unrelated to the factors under study.¹⁸

Traditionally the relationship between social class and pregnancy outcome has concentrated on the social class of married women as measured by their husbands' occupation. In the data examined here social status of either parent was found to be related to the risk of adverse outcome (tables I and II). When the joint effects of mothers' and fathers' social class were examined, smallness for gestational age was most affected by differences in parental social class, while preterm delivery was the least affected (table III). Babies whose parents were both manual workers were twice as likely as those whose parents were both non-manual workers to be small for gestational age. Clearly, mothers' social class has an effect independent of fathers' social class; and information on both parents' occupations should be collected in maternity discharge systems.

Table III Joint effects of parental occupation on low birthweight, preterm delivery, and smallness for gestational age, Scotland 1981-1984. Relative risks (RR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI).

	Mothers			
	Non-manual	Manual	Other ^a	Housewives
	RR (95% CI)	RR (95% CI)	RR (95% CI)	RR (95% CI)
Low birthweight				
Fathers:				
Non-manual	1.0	1.2 (1.2, 1.3)	1.3 (1.2, 1.4)	1.1 (1.1, 1.2)
Manual	1.4 (1.3, 1.5)	1.8 (1.6, 1.9)	1.8 (1.7, 2.0)	1.6 (1.5, 1.7)
Other ^a	1.6 (1.5, 1.7)	1.9 (1.8, 2.1)	2.0 (1.8, 2.2)	1.8 (1.7, 1.9)
Preterm				
Fathers:				
Non-manual	1.0	1.1 (1.1, 1.3)	1.2 (1.1, 1.3)	1.1 (1.0, 1.1)
Manual	1.2 (1.2, 1.3)	1.3 (1.3, 1.4)	1.4 (1.3, 1.5)	1.3 (1.2, 1.4)
Other	1.4 (1.3, 1.5)	1.5 (1.4, 1.7)	1.6 (1.5, 1.7)	1.5 (1.4, 1.6)
Small for gestational age				
Fathers:				
Non-manual	1.0	1.3 (1.2, 1.4)	1.3 (1.2, 1.4)	1.2 (1.1, 1.2)
Manual	1.5 (1.4, 1.6)	2.0 (1.9, 2.1)	1.9 (1.8, 2.1)	1.7 (1.6, 1.9)
Other	1.6 (1.4, 1.7)	2.1 (2.0, 2.3)	1.9 (1.7, 2.2)	1.9 (1.7, 2.0)

RR adjusted by mother's age, gravidity, previous perinatal death, marital status, baby's sex
^aOther includes: inadequately described occupations, armed forces, students, and occupation not stated

1 Macfarlane A, Muford M. *Birth counts: statistics of pregnancy and childbirth*. 1st ed. London: HMSO, 1984.

2 Office of Population Censuses and Surveys. *Monitor D113* 88/1. London: HMSO, 1988.

3 Villar J, Belizan JM. The relative contribution of prematurity and fetal growth retardation to low birthweight in developing and developed societies. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1982; 143: 793-8.

4 Institute of Medicine. *Preventing low birthweight*. 1st ed. Washington: National Academy Press, 1985.

- 5 Stein A, Campbell EA, Day A, McPherson K, Cooper PJ. Social adversity, low birthweight and preterm delivery. *BMJ* 1987; **295**: 291–3.
- 6 Chamberlain R, Chamberlain G, Howlett B, Claireaux A. *British births 1970*. 1st ed. London: W. Heinemann Medical Books, 1975.
- 7 Cnattingius S, Axelsson O, Hammarlund K. Perinatal outcome for small for gestational age infants from the unselected, area-based population. *Early Hum Dev* 1987; **15**: 95–101.
- 8 Office of Population Censuses and Surveys. *Simplified 1980 occupation classification*. London: HMSO, 1985.
- 9 *SPSSx user's guide*. 2nd ed. Chicago: SPSS 1986.
- 10 Baker RJ, Nelder JA. *The GLIM system*. 3rd ed. Oxford: Numerical Algorithms Group, 1978.
- 11 Breslow NE, Day NE. *Statistical methods in cancer research*. IARC Scientific Publication No 32, 1st ed. Lyon: IARC, 1980.
- 12 Strachan DP. Antenatal booking and perinatal mortality in Scotland 1972–1982. *Int J Epidemiol* 1987; **16**: 229–33.
- 13 Mitchell RG. Objectives and outcome of perinatal care. *Lancet* 1985; **ii**: 931–3.
- 14 Pickering RM. Relative risks of low birthweight in Scotland 1980–2. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1987; **41**: 133–9.
- 15 Morgan M. Marital status, health, illness and service use. *Soc Sci Med* 1980; **14A**, 633–43.
- 16 Murphy M, Dawson J, Fitzpatrick R. Marital status and women who smoke. *Lancet* 1989; **iii**: 1286.
- 17 World Health Organization Expert Committee on Maternal and Child Health: *Public health aspects of low birthweight*. Third report of the Expert Committee on Maternal and Child Health. Technical Report Series No 217. Geneva: WHO, 1961.
- 18 Skjaerven R, Wilcox AJ, Lie RT, Irgens LM. Selective fertility and the distortion of perinatal mortality. *Am J Epidemiol* 1988; **128**: 1352–63.