

LETTER

Limitations, uncertainties and competing interpretations regarding chemical exposures and diabetes

Trasande *et al*¹ reported that limiting exposure to alleged endocrine disrupting chemicals would reduce the burden of adult diabetes by 13% and save €4.51 billion/year. On review, however, their paper fails to meet standards for good practices in scientific reporting—stating the limitations of the underlying data, clearly articulating uncertainties, and presenting competing views or interpretations of data.

First, Trasande *et al* based their analysis on an existing data set derived from an epidemiological study with significant limitations² that were not fully discussed by the authors. The limitations include: (1) a cross-sectional design; (2) a 50% participation rate, increasing the prospects for selection bias; (3) the relatively small number of diabetes cases (N=114) from which Trasande *et al* extrapolate to the whole of Europe; (4) lack of information on other risk factors and (5) weak and non-statistically significant associations which could be due to reverse causality, chance, bias or confounding. Indeed, the level of uncertainty in the data suggests that the costs of alleged chemical-induced diabetes in Europe may be as low as zero.

Second, Trasande *et al* make a large number of assumptions in order to derive their cost estimates. They assume that: (1) findings among a small sample of Swedish residents can be extrapolated to residents aged 70–75 years throughout Europe; (2) single serum measurements of chemicals

in time (rather than multiple, serial measurements) are adequate to estimate critical lifetime exposures and are representative across Europe; and (3) prevalence rates and costs of diabetes are uniform across Europe. The latter assumption is clearly invalid because the study Trasande *et al* relied on for their cost per case estimate³ demonstrates a 14-fold difference in costs across European countries.

Finally, the authors made several sweeping, unsubstantiated conclusions about causality, for example, ‘The epidemiological findings are likely to be causal, since they are in line with experimental mechanistic data’. They cited several ‘supporting’ references for this, but failed to cite review articles^{4–6} that independently concluded the available evidence was insufficient to establish causation.

Trasande *et al*¹ fail to add to our understanding of the causes of diabetes. What is instead desperately needed^{4–6} are better studies exploring interactions of chemicals with β -cell function and/or mass in animal and in vitro models, at concentrations relevant for humans, and prospective epidemiology studies with questionnaire-based and serial biomarker-based assessments of exposure.

Gregory G Bond,¹ Daniel R Dietrich²

¹Manitou View Consulting, LLC, Northport, Michigan, USA

²University of Konstanz—Human and Environmental Toxicology, Konstanz, Germany

Correspondence to Gregory G Bond, Manitou View Consulting, LLC, 8797 N Gills Pier Rd, Northport, MI 49670, USA; gregory.g.bond@gmail.com

Competing interests GGB provides consulting services to the American Chemistry Council (an organisation that represents more than 160 leading companies in the business of chemistry). DRD has no competing interests.



OPEN ACCESS

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

To cite Bond GG, Dietrich DR. *J Epidemiol Community Health* Published Online First: [please include Day Month Year] doi:10.1136/jech-2016-208711

Received 26 November 2016

Revised 15 December 2016

Accepted 16 December 2016

J Epidemiol Community Health 2017;0:1.
doi:10.1136/jech-2016-208711

REFERENCES

- 1 Trasande L, Lampa E, Lind L, *et al*. Population attributable risks and costs of diabetogenic chemical exposures in the elderly. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2017;71:111–14.
- 2 Lind PM, Zethelius B, Lind L. Circulating levels of phthalate metabolites are associated with prevalent diabetes in the elderly. *Diabetes Care* 2012;35:1519–24.
- 3 Zhang P, Zhang X, Brown J, *et al*. Global healthcare expenditure on diabetes for 2010 and 2030. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2010;87:293–301.
- 4 Taylor KW, Novak RF, Anderson HA, *et al*. Evaluation of the association between persistent organic pollutants (POPs) and diabetes in epidemiological studies: a National Toxicology Program workshop review. *Environ Health Perspect* 2013;121:774–83.
- 5 Ngwa EN, Kenge AP, Tiedeu-Atogho B, *et al*. Persistent organic pollutants as risk factors for type 2 diabetes. *Diabetol Metab Syndr* 2015;7:41.
- 6 Starling AP, Hoppin JA. Environmental chemical risk factors for Type 2 diabetes: an update. *Diabetes Manag* 2015;5:4.



Limitations, uncertainties and competing interpretations regarding chemical exposures and diabetes

Gregory G Bond and Daniel R Dietrich

J Epidemiol Community Health published online March 6, 2017

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://jech.bmj.com/content/early/2017/03/06/jech-2016-208711>

These include:

References

This article cites 6 articles, 2 of which you can access for free at:
<http://jech.bmj.com/content/early/2017/03/06/jech-2016-208711#ref-list-1>

Open Access

This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

[Open access](#) (341)

Notes

To request permissions go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>