Results  1) Initial findings from the systematic literature review reveals that universal supplementation of vitamins such as folate acid significantly reduces the incidence of preventable ill health due to vitamin deficiencies such as neural tube defects compared to a targeted approach.; 2) In areas using the targeted approach, the uptake of children’s drops and women’s tablets was 1.46% and 2.56% respectively. In the area that adopted a universal approach, the uptake of children’s drops and women’s tablets was 3.97% and 7.72% respectively.; 3) Barriers shared by both approaches include a lack of awareness of the scheme amongst health professionals, onerous administrative processes and the availability of vitamins. The universal approach is supported by health professionals because it does not stigmatise recipients.  

Conclusion  1) From the systematic review, mandatory universal fortification of foods, e.g. flour is a major public health opportunity for the UK.; 2) Uptake of Healthy Start food vouchers and vitamins is low whatever the implementation strategy. However, uptake of Healthy Start vitamins is significantly higher in areas adopting a universal approach to implementation. A universal approach to implementation is supported by the literature and this study suggests that it may overcome some barriers to the implementation of the Healthy Start scheme nationally.; 3) In particular, a universal approach may reduce some of the administrative hurdles confronted by a targeted approach and will also address the stigma associated with the use of Healthy Start vitamins.  

Producing evidence for the cost effectiveness of the scheme will be critical for its continued support.

**PS17 COMMISSIONING CARE FOR PEOPLE WITH LONG TERM CONDITIONS**
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**Background** The landscape of health care commissioning is being reshaped in England, as clinical commissioning groups prepare to take on the role now held by Primary Care Trusts (PCTs). Long term conditions are likely to remain a priority area, with concerns about increasing prevalence driving a shift towards care delivered in the community and early intervention to avoid hospitalisation. We report on a NIHR-funded study which used action research in three NHS local health communities to examine in detail the practice of PCT commissioning of care for people with long term conditions.

**Methods** The study was undertaken in three contrasting PCT areas (Somerset, Wirral and Calderdale), and focused on diabetes and another locally selected condition in each site. The design combined a largely ethnographic approach with action research, allowing for responsive intervention to meet local commissioners’ needs. Formal data collection over a 15 month period to January 2012 consisted of 104 semi structured interviews, observation of 27 meetings, and analysis of over 300 documents. A thematic framework was developed to guide analysis in terms of processes, resources and outcomes of commissioning.

**Results** Findings highlighted the complex nature of health care commissioning, far removed from the ‘commissioning cycle’ which sets out a formal, sequential model emphasizing contracting. Instead, commissioning development took place over a number of years through an incremental process of review and revision where negotiation and relationships were prominent. Providers often played a significant role in identifying needs and designing new models of care. The sheer scale of labour involved in commissioning was striking. Greatest success with shifting models of care towards nationally recommended good practice came where there was a combination of effective prioritisation of developments, persistence and pragmatic bounding of tasks within a wider strategic framework. Measuring the impact of commissioning practice on clinical outcomes was challenging.

**Conclusion** To fulfill national policy towards remodelling care for people with long term conditions, NHS commissioners are engaged in labour-intensive, steadily paced and incremental work with providers. Planned reforms to commissioning in England raise questions about whether this approach can continue in the face of straitened budgets for management support, an increased emphasis on provider competition and disruptions to established relationships between commissioners and providers.

**PS18 ANALYSIS OF EMERGENCY 30-DAY READMISSIONS IN ENGLAND USING ROUTINE HOSPITAL DATA 2004-2010. IS THERE SCOPE FOR REDUCTION?**
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**Background** A number of health systems including the NHS have recently introduced arrangements to deny payment if a patient is readmitted to hospital as an emergency soon after a period of care. These approaches assume that emergency admissions are a reflection of poor quality of care and of errors or failure in the original care episode. Our objectives were to assess the extent and types of readmission within 30 days and possible causes and scope for reduction.

**Methods** Retrospective analysis of 83 million routinely-collected national hospital episode statistics (HES) records covering NHS hospitals in England for a 6 year period (2004–10). Records were linked at the individual level using an anonymised person level identifier. Numbers of 30-day readmissions were calculated. We categorised readmissions using pre-defined discharge-admission diagnostic pairs, overall admission patterns and the “Bridges to Health” patient group categories.

**Results** There were 7,166,304 emergency 30-day readmissions over a six year period equivalent to 8.7% of all hospital discharges. Readmissions were grouped into six categories:

- Potentially preventable (probable or possible suboptimal care during index admission): 1,988,967 (27.8%);
- Approach to care (anticipated but unpredictable hospital care): 1,503,282 (21.0%);
- Preference of patients or staff in admission or discharge timing: 56,514 (0.8%);
- Artefact in data collection: 139,508 (2%);
- Accident or Coincidence: 1,473,583 (20.6%);
- No obvious cause: 2,107,539 (29.4%)

**Conclusion** Very large numbers of emergency readmissions fell into potentially preventable categories and to categories amenable to immediate reduction by hospitals. Denial of payment for emergency admission has the potential to improve quality of care by improving data systems and reducing error. Action to address the majority of emergency readmissions requires assessment of care delivery across health and social care providers for those with complex, chronic or terminal conditions. In conclusion, new systems of denial of payment will be dangerous if they invoke perverse incentives which reduce access to necessary hospital care for patients.

**PS19 LIFETIME SOCIOECONOMIC INEQUALITIES IN PHYSICAL AND COGNITIVE AGING**
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