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Christine L Paul, Raoul A Walsh, Flora Tzelepis

BACKGROUND

Achieving acceptable response rates from health care providers via postal questionnaires is an ongoing challenge. The use of monetary incentives is one of the most effective strategies for increasing response rates. However, the effect and cost of such an incentive on retail pharmacists’ response rates has not been well studied.

METHOD

A sample of 700 pharmacies was selected at random from the electronic Yellow Pages for NSW, Australia. The study was approved by the University of Newcastle Human Research Ethics Committee with the proviso that after data collection was completed, all participants were advised that the voucher would be mailed to the participant once the completed questionnaire had been received. The authors were aware of participant’s group allocation but had no direct contact with the participants during the mail out or follow up process.

Half of the sample was randomly allocated using random number generation to receive an offer of a AUS$20 (US$14) gift voucher (voucher group) as partial reimbursement for the costs incurred in completing the questionnaire. The vouchers could be redeemed at any one of a number of large supermarkets and department stores throughout Australia. For the voucher group, both the questionnaire and the accompanying letter stated that the voucher would be mailed to the participant once the completed questionnaire had been received. The authors were aware of participant’s group allocation but had no direct contact with the participants during the mail out or follow up process.

For the voucher group, both the questionnaire and the accompanying letter stated that the voucher would be mailed to the participant once the completed questionnaire had been received. The authors were aware of participant’s group allocation but had no direct contact with the participants during the mail out or follow up process.

RESULTS

Of the 700 pharmacies selected in the sample, 35 were found to be ineligible (returned survey showed no NRT or Zyban sold in past month, pharmacy closed, wrong address, duplicate, or no pharmacist at the pharmacy). Of the remaining 665 pharmacies, 397 returned a completed questionnaire (response rate = 59.7%).

For the voucher group, 220 of the 334 eligible pharmacists returned a completed questionnaire, giving a response rate of 65.9%. For the no-voucher group, 177 of the 331 eligible pharmacists returned a questionnaire, giving a response rate of 53.5%. The difference between these two proportions was significant ($\chi^2 = 10.6$, df = 1, $p<0.005$ OR = 1.68).

Postal surveys are a well used tool for collecting data for a range of health related settings. Achieving acceptable response rates is crucial to minimising bias and remains a challenge, particularly for studies of health care providers. A number of strategies have been trialled to maximise response rates, with one of the most effective strategies being the use of a monetary incentive. While monetary incentives can increase the odds of response by a factor of 2.02, the effect is dependent on factors such as the amount of the incentive.

The potential importance of population type has been acknowledged, yet most of the research into the effect of monetary incentives for health care providers has focused on medical practitioners. Other important community based health care providers such as retail or community pharmacists have received little attention, despite this group’s potential to modify population health risk behaviours because of their contact with large numbers of people. This group of health care providers practise in a highly commercialised environment with frequent contact from pharmaceutical companies that can offer substantial incentives for participation in studies. Given these factors and the proliferation of the use of incentives in all forms of marketing, it is important to continue to study the effects of small to medium incentives on response rates, particularly for little studied groups such as pharmacists.

This study aimed to explore the impact of a US$14 incentive on response rates for pharmacists in NSW, Australia.
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DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The use of a moderate monetary incentive produced a significant increase in the number of pharmacists who completed a health related questionnaire. An increase in response rates from 54% to 66% is both statistically significant and probably reduces the potential for bias in the sample. The increased odds of a response, at 1.68 is slightly lower than the 2.02 found by Edwards’ et al systematic review.* Given that previous research has found higher response rates are likely to be achieved by a non-conditional incentive, rather than the conditional incentive used in this study, double the odds of a response as found by Edwards et al may be achievable with this population. When considered in terms of the cost of US$67.95 per additional respondent, smaller incentives are worth exploring with this population. The generalisability of the finding outside the Australian context must be considered. However, given that the finding is not dissimilar to other studies of incentives6 the result may be generalisable.

Policy implications

The use of incentives to increase response rates imposes an additional cost on research funds, yet seems to significantly increase response rates, even for health care providers in a highly commercialised environment. Therefore, researchers even on limited funds need to consider the potential benefits of incentives as a matter of course.

What this paper adds

What is already known on this subject?

A number of studies have shown that health care providers such as medical doctors are more likely to respond to health surveys if a monetary incentive is provided. Little is known about the influence of incentives on response rates for other important health care provider groups such as retail or community pharmacists.

What does this study add?

This study shows that a significant increase in pharmacists’ response rates to a postal survey can be achieved using a modest monetary incentive, and that this incentive acts independently from using reminders that also increase response rates.

It should be noted that the study included the use of a primer postcard and reminders, each of which has a significant effect on response rates. A factorial design that would have isolated the effect of the incentive, was not possible in this trial given the level of funding available. However, figure 1 shows that the impact of the incentive was to increase the response to the initial mail out. The two reminders seemed to have a similar impact on both study groups, independent from the effect of the voucher.
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Visual impairment and new technologies

The term “visual impairment” encompasses a variety of conditions. A range of equipment is available to enable people with sight loss to participate in various activities. Some of these have been around for a long time: the first book in braille was published in 1827, guide dogs for the blind were first formally trained a century later. New technologies—such as computer software—are constantly being developed and what is now available means that there is no reason why a blind person cannot be employed in a job that is primarily computer based.

These pictures show Mark Kirkham, who is totally blind, working for the South West Public Health Observatory on a project analysing data on road traffic collisions. Jaws screenreader software has been installed on Mark’s PC, allowing him to use many other applications including Microsoft Access and Excel. Jaws software, manufactured by Freedom Scientific, reads aloud all the text information that would normally appear on the screen of a sighted person. Jaws may be configured in a variety of ways so that different types of information are spoken appropriately. For example, it will read at different speeds and can be set to read either all changing information on the screen or only what is currently highlighted.

Where a sighted person would often use a mouse, Jaws makes use of keyboard shortcut commands to perform functions such as navigating through dialogue boxes and activating buttons.

The image at the top shows Mark reading braille, the bottom right image shows him wearing headphones enabling him to listen to Jaws without disturbing colleagues in a busy office. The third image (bottom left) illustrates Mark using a “Braille ‘n Speak”, a stand alone note taking device. It uses a braille keyboard and, like Jaws, has a voice output. It is portable, which means it is suitable for Mark to quietly take notes in meetings. The machine also has a serial port, allowing it to communicate with mainstream devices such as printers and PCs. The equipment needed by any person with a visual impairment will reflect their personal needs, circumstances, and preferences. It has the potential to bring about inclusion in terms of both social and employment opportunities.
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