Screening for depleted uranium in the United Kingdom armed forces: who wants it and why?
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EVIDENCE BASED PUBLIC HEALTH POLICY AND PRACTICE

Depleted uranium (DU) use has been implicated in the poor health of many service personnel who have served in the Gulf and the Balkans. Although the health related risks are thought to be small the UK government has offered to set up a voluntary screening programme for service personnel. This study aimed to find out the characteristics and possible exposures to DU for those personnel who desire DU screening.

Background: Depleted uranium (DU) use has been implicated in the poor health of many service personnel who have served in the Gulf and the Balkans. Although the health related risks are thought to be small the UK government has offered to set up a voluntary screening programme for service personnel. This study aimed to find out the characteristics and possible exposures to DU for those personnel who desire DU screening.

Methods: This study looks at 2369 UK service personnel who were asked if they wanted to be screened for DU. Subjects were asked about their perceived exposure to deployment associated risks including DU and a number of psychological health measures.

Results: The study found that 24% of the cohort wanted screening, a figure that if extrapolated to all those who have been offered screening would represent 36,720 requests for screening. Those who wanted DU screening were younger, of lower rank, and more likely to be from the Royal Navy or Army rather than the Royal Air Force. Those requesting DU screening reported poorer health both subjectively and as measured by the GHQ-12 and a symptom checklist. They also reported more exposure to DU and to other deployment associated risks while in military service. Using combat exposure as a proxy for a significant risk of having been exposed to DU, there was a significant correlation.

Conclusions: This study found that the desire for DU screening is more closely linked to current health status rather than plausible exposure to DU.
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questionnaire (n = 648) were contacted, as women were over sampled in the original cohort. The total sample size was 3322.

The phase 3 survey examined a number of issues, one of which was an inquiry as to whether the sampled service person thought they should be screened for DU.

Investigations

All subjects were asked if they thought they should be screened for DU exposure. Inquiries were also made into a number of demographic details including gender, age service, deployment history, rank (for those serving), and whether they were still serving in the armed forces or not. Those who had served in the Gulf war were also asked about their primary duties in the Gulf in particular whether they served in a combat arm (for example, infantry or tanks) or service support arm, (for example, signals, logistics, or medical).

Measurement of general health status was inquired about directly and the 12 item version of the general health questionnaire was also administered. Total somatic symptoms were measured by a symptom checklist. Subjects were about DU screening were no older (t = 4.0, df = 2022, p < 0.001), and there was a significant difference by gender, a positive response given by 28 % of servicemen (n = 487) and 11% of servicewomen (n = 42) (χ² = 56.6, df = 1, p < 0.001).

RESULTS

The questionnaire was also administered. Total somatic symptoms were analysed accordingly. The categorical data were analysed directly and the 12 item version of the general health questionnaire was also administered. Total somatic symptoms were measured by a symptom checklist. Subjects were asked if they thought they should be screened for DU. There were 2369 respondents to the 3322 questionnaires sent out (71%). Of the 2369, 2192 answered the question (92%). Those who did not respond or who did respond but did not answer the question about DU screening were no older (χ² = 47.7, df = 43, p = 0.29), no more likely to have left the military (χ² = 0.17, df = 1, p = 0.683), no more likely to be Royal Navy, Army or Royal Air Force (χ² = 0.575, df = 3, p = 0.124), and no more likely to be from the Gulf, Bosnia, or Era cohorts (χ² = 4.8, df = 2, p = 0.09). The non-responders were, however, more likely to be female rather than male (χ² = 8.6, df = 1, p = 0.003).

Of the responders who answered the DU question, 529 (24.4%) reported a desire for DU screening. They were significantly younger (mean age = 36.7 years) than those who did not want screening (mean age = 38.2 years) (t = 4.0, df = 2022, p < 0.001), and there was a significant difference between the three services within the armed forces, with the Army and Royal Navy having significantly higher rates (26% and 23% respectively) than the Royal Air Force (11%). There was no difference in the rates between those still serving and discharged from the services (24.2% and 23.7% respectively). For those still in service, the officer ranks had a significantly lower rate than the NCOs and lower ranks (13.6%, 27.4%, and 23.5% respectively).

There was significant correlation between self reported exposure to deployment associated risk situations and the desire for DU screening for veterans of Gulf and Bosnia deployments. The original sampling strategy had examined follow up some of all three groups had also served in Kosovo. The association between deployment associated risks and a desire for DU screening was not significant for the smaller numbers of personnel who had served in Kosovo (table 2).

When personnel were asked about their perception of their own general health, poor health was significantly associated with a desire for DU screening, (χ² = 144.715, df 16, p < 0.001) (table 3). In keeping with their general perception of poorer health, those who requested DU screening had significantly higher GHQ-12 scores and reported experiencing more symptoms over the past month. Table 4 shows these data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Military variables and desire for depleted uranium screening</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Do you think you should be screened for depleted uranium?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cohort</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Era</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf and Bosnia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal Air Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serving status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Still in service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank (still in service)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The belief that you were suffering with Gulf War syndrome was also significantly associated with wanting DU screening ($\chi^2 = 110.6$, df = 3, $p < 0.001$).

Those who reported coming into close contact with DU material were more likely to request screening, specifically those who had ever handled DU ordnance ($\chi^2 = 303$, df = 6, $p < 0.001$), those who reported having breathed in DU dust ($\chi^2 = 373$, df = 4, $p < 0.001$) and those who reported having entered tanks hit and disabled by DU munitions ($\chi^2 = 1518$, df = 4, $p < 0.001$). Interestingly 13% of those who reported breathing in DU dust and 28% of those who reported entering tanks knocked out by DU munitions did not request DU screening.

During the first phase of the study (1997/1998) veterans were asked about their primary duties in the Gulf. Those who reported combat as their primary duty (18%, n = 166) in the Gulf were significantly more likely to request DU screening ($\chi^2 = 35.4$, df = 1, $p < 0.001$).

### DISCUSSION

#### Main findings

This study found that 24% (n = 529) of our sample indicated they would like to be screened for DU. If one extrapolated this figure to all those who served in the Gulf War (53 000), then 12 720 service personnel will want DU screening. If one includes the personnel who have deployed to the Balkans (about 100 000) an additional 24 000 may want screening. If all 36 720 of those who desire DU screening take up the offer that has been made by the government (it is not known whether they will) there is going to be a substantial cost to the public purse and no doubt there will also be some further calls on the already overstretched defence medical services.

The current data from the King’s follow up survey suggest that those who think they should be screened for DU are likely to be younger servicemen, and from the Royal Navy and Army as compared with the Royal Air Force. They are more likely to have served in the Gulf or Bosnia, with the rates being highest for those who had deployed to both. They are as likely to be still serving as discharged, and for those who are still in service, are likely to be of the lower ranks.

Additionally they report poorer self perceived general health, worse psychological health, and more somatic symptoms. In keeping with their risk for screening, they perceive themselves to have been exposed to more DU risk associated situations, although even in the group who thought that they had breathed in DU dust, some 13% did not want to be screened. For those in Bosnia and the Gulf, the more exposure they reported to deployment related risks the more likely they were to want screening. The same trend was observed for those deployed to Kosovo, although the small sample size may have lacked the power to show a true association. It therefore seems clear that for many service personnel the desire for DU screening is linked to a poor perception of general health and feeling of having been exposed to a large amount of risk related situations.

For those deployed to the Gulf, those who served in a combat arm, and those who thought they were suffering from Gulf War syndrome were more likely to want screening.

#### Limitations of the study

The study sample was weighted to make up a sample that was over representative of fatigued personnel and thus the

### Table 2: Risk exposure perception in relation to the desire for depleted uranium screening

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Perception</th>
<th>Yes Mean (n)</th>
<th>Yes SD</th>
<th>No Mean (n)</th>
<th>No SD</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia risks</td>
<td>9.3 (n = 156)</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>8.2 (n = 478)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>$p = 0.002$, $t = 3.1$, df = 632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf risks</td>
<td>14.3 (n = 356)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>10.1 (n = 566)</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$, $t = 14.1$, df = 920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosovo risks</td>
<td>7.2 (n = 89)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.6 (n = 163)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>$p = 0.32$, $t = 0.997$, df = 250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Health perceptions and the desire for depleted uranium screening

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Rating [Self report]</th>
<th>Yes Number (%)</th>
<th>No Number (%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>56 (13)</td>
<td>354 (87)</td>
<td>410 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>134 (18)</td>
<td>591 (82)</td>
<td>727 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>124 (27)</td>
<td>338 (73)</td>
<td>462 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>152 (36)</td>
<td>267 (64)</td>
<td>419 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>52 (49)</td>
<td>54 (51)</td>
<td>106 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>1605</td>
<td>2123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: GHQ-12 and overall symptom scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes Mean</th>
<th>Yes SD</th>
<th>No Mean</th>
<th>No SD</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GHQ score</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$, $t = 9.8$, df = 2077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall symptom score</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>$p &lt; 0.001$, $t = 17.1$, df = 2168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The desire for DU screening is primarily determined by current health status rather than a proxy measure of risk of exposure. Those who desire DU screening recount more DU exposure and to other deployment associated risks, but this is by retrospective self report. The most objective marker of true DU exposure that we have available uses combat exposure as a proxy in Gulf War veterans and this proxy measure suggest that there is a link between the risk of exposure to DU and a desire for screening.

It is clear from this study that any screening programme that is established will have to deal with large numbers of service personnel who have a low risk of DU exposure. It would seem prudent to reassess the wisdom of offering DU screening to a large number of personnel at low risk of ever having been exposed to DU.

Overall levels of psychopathology generally are likely to be higher than is found in a random sample of military population. However, this would not explain the significant variations, between those that desired DU screening or not, that have been found in this study. Of note is that women were significantly over represented in the non-responder group. However, female responders were significantly less likely to desire DU screening and therefore is seems unlikely that the non-responder group was composed of significantly more personnel who did in fact desire screening. Additionally in the UK armed forces, women are very unlikely to be engaged in combat duties and thus are less likely to have been in situations where exposure to DU was possible.

This study relies on recall of information from many years ago and is therefore likely to be subject to recall bias. This is of particular relevance to the desire for DU screening as it seems that current symptoms (as measured by the GHQ-12 and the symptom checklist) are an important determinant in the desire for DU screening. It is possible that current mental state will have influenced recall of exposure to both deployment associated risk situations and exposure to situations associated with DU. This sort of recall bias has been found in previous studies involving Gulf War veterans. Additionally, to determine combat status within the Gulf War, we asked about the service person’s primary duty. As the questionnaire was sent out some seven or so years after the war, it is likely that recall of primary duty in the Gulf will have also been subject to bias. None the less, the recall of primary duty is likely to be a considerably more objective measure than the recall of exposure to risky situations. It may have been preferable to try and link the service person with their unit’s known duties during the war. However, given that the ground war lasted only 100 hours and that many personnel were temporarily detached from their parent unit during the war, this exercise would still not give the “true” picture of possible risk of exposure to DU.

Conclusion
This study shows that the desire for DU screening is primarily determined by current health status rather than a proxy measure of risk of exposure. Those who desire DU screening recount more DU exposure, but this is by retrospective self report. Additionally they report more exposure to other deployment associated risk situations. The most objective marker of true DU exposure that we have available uses combat exposure as a proxy. This is, however, limited to those who are Gulf War veterans. Using this proxy measure suggests that there is a link between the risk of exposure to DU and a desire for screening. Nevertheless it is clear from this study that any screening programme that is established will have to deal with large numbers of service personnel who have a low risk of DU exposure. Other long term follow up studies have found no substantial link to the development of cancer or other adverse physical health outcomes either in miners who have had occupational exposure to uranium (160% more radioactive than DU) or in US military personnel who have DU fragments imbedded in their body. As such it would seem prudent to reassess the wisdom of offering DU screening to a large number of personnel at low risk of ever having been exposed to DU.
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