Violence has long been recognised to cause long term psychological effects, however recent research has found that somatic effects, independent of injury, occur many years after the experience of trauma, possibly involving neuroendocrine pathways. For example, a study correlating childhood abuse and household dysfunction found that people who reported four or more traumas were more likely to have been diagnosed with ischaemic heart disease, cancer, stroke, and diabetes than those with no history of trauma. Similarly, women with a history of psychological violence who had not been physically or sexually abused had more health problems—including ulcers, arthritis, migraines, and chronic pain—than women who had never experienced psychological violence.

One mechanism linking experiences of violence with poorer health is dysregulation of the body’s stress responses, particularly the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis function. A number of studies have observed chronic HPA axis activation in association with childhood sexual abuse. For example, Heim reported that women with a history of psychological violence had 35% slower than women who reported no abuse (IRRadj = 0.65, 95% CI 0.45 to 0.95) after adjusting for age, age at menarche, ever live birth, ability to maintain living standard, smoking, BMI, and depression. There was a similar association among women who reported first abuse during adulthood (IRRadj = 0.72, 95% CI 0.28 to 1.80). These findings persisted when the cohort was restricted to non-depressed women (childhood/adolescent IRRadj = 0.57, 95% CI 0.36 to 0.90; adulthood IRRadj = 0.63, 95% CI 0.23 to 1.77).

Conclusions: This study is the first longitudinal analysis of the timing of perimenopause to show an association with a history of physical or sexual abuse. Further study of the relation between violence and reproductive aging is needed.

METHODS

Study population

This study was based on a cohort of women participating in the Harvard study of moods and cycles (HSMC), a longitudinal study investigating the relation between ovarian function and depression among premenopausal women. Between 1995 and 1997, 976 women in the Boston area were enrolled from a population based, random sample of 6222 women 36–44 years of age (see Harlow 1999 and 2003 for details). The survey of interpersonal relationships (SIPR) was mailed to 907 members of the longitudinal cohort in March 1999. A second questionnaire was mailed to 344 non-respondents five to eight weeks later. The response rate was 81%, with 732 surveys completed among the 907 women who received them.

To be eligible for the study, women had to be premenopausal at the baseline interview and have completed the SIPR (n = 732). Women were excluded if they: (1) were taking oral contraceptives (OCs), hormone replacement therapy, or...
fertility medication (n = 60); and/or (2) reported irregular cycles throughout their lifetime or current irregularity (n = 72). In all, 129 women were excluded, leaving 603 women in the final sample. Women in the HSMC were interviewed biannually for three years; assessments collected detailed information on menstrual cycle characteristics, general health and reproductive events, such as pregnancy, breast feeding, and hormone use.

Assessment of perimenopausal changes

The conceptual outcome was time from baseline interview to menstrual changes indicative of perimenopause, the two to eight year period (mean 3.8 years) when the biological and clinical features commence until the first year of menopause. There is significant debate about which changes are the best indicators perimenopause. The study of women's health across the nation and the Massachusetts women's health study defined perimenopause as onset of menstrual cycle irregularity and/or periods of amenorrhea lasting at least three months. Alternatively, the Seattle midlife women's health study defined perimenopause as any change in flow amount, flow duration, cycle length, menstrual cycle irregularity, or amenorrhea. The primary definition of perimenopause used in this study was modelled after that used by the Seattle study. Perimenopause was time from the baseline interview to first occurrence of any of the following events: (1) pronounced change in amount of menstrual flow (≥2 flow categories) or duration (≥2 days), (2) change in menstrual cycle length (≥7 days), or (3) period of amenorrhea lasting at least three months. Furthermore, to evaluate whether our choice of perimenopause influenced findings, we also created a second definition—time to menstrual cycle irregularity or amenorrhea lasting for three months or longer.

Women who became pregnant, had a hysterectomy, or started using hormones (hormone replacement therapy, OCs, or fertility treatment) were censored at that follow up interval. Women without any indication of perimenopause were censored at the end of the observation period. While we sought to follow up women for 36 months, there was some drop out. Never abused women had a mean follow up time of 30.0 months, while women first abused in childhood/adolescence and during adulthood, had mean follow up times of 30.5 and 28.7 months, respectively.

Assessment of lifetime exposure to violence

The conceptual exposure was experience or fear of physical or sexual abuse over the life course, which was defined as:

---

Table 1

| Table 1 Characteristics of 603 women aged 36 to 45 years by first experience or fear of physical or sexual harm |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Age at baseline (mean (SD)) | 40.3 (2.4) | 41.0 (2.6) | 40.3 (2.5) |
| Race/ethnicity*          |          |          |          |
| White, non-Hispanic      | 310 (96) | 26 (96)  | 241 (96) |
| Other                   | 13 (4)   | 1 (4)    | 11 (4)   |
| Education               |          |          |          |
| High school/some college| 92 (28)  | 5 (19)   | 50 (20)  |
| Bachelors degree        | 115 (35) | 9 (33)   | 71 (28)  |
| Graduate degree         | 117 (36) | 13 (48)  | 131 (52) |
| Duration able to maintain standard of living if current income lost*  |          |          |          |
| Less than 2 months      | 73 (23)  | 4 (15)   | 21 (8)   |
| 2 months to 1 year      | 127 (39) | 12 (44)  | 106 (42) |
| More than 1 year        | 81 (25)  | 9 (33)   | 96 (38)  |
| Don't know              | 41 (13)  | 2 (7)    | 29 (12)  |
| Material deprivation during childhood | 69 (21) | 4 (15) | 26 (10) |
| Ever had a live birth   | 212 (65) | 17 (63)  | 165 (65) |
| Number of pregnancies   |          |          |          |
| None                    | 73 (23)  | 6 (22)   | 65 (26)  |
| One or two              | 46 (14)  | 4 (15)   | 36 (14)  |
| Three or four           | 128 (40)| 13 (48)  | 107 (42) |
| Five or more            | 77 (24)  | 4 (15)   | 44 (17)  |
| Age of menarche         |          |          |          |
| <11 years               | 54 (17)  | 2 (7)    | 34 (13)  |
| 12 to 13 years          | 197 (61)| 19 (70)  | 150 (60) |
| ≥14 years               | 73 (23)  | 6 (22)   | 68 (27)  |
| Duration of OC use      |          |          |          |
| Never                   | 82 (25)  | 7 (26)   | 75 (30)  |
| 1 to 5 years            | 155 (48) | 12 (44)  | 107 (42) |
| 6 to 10 years           | 32 (10)  | 4 (15)   | 39 (15)  |
| 11 or more years        | 20 (6)   | 2 (7)    | 13 (5)   |
| Not known               | 35 (11)  | 2 (7)    | 18 (7)   |
| Cigarette use at baseline |          |          |          |
| Never smoked            | 161 (50)| 17 (63)  | 154 (61) |
| Past smoker             | 40 (12)  | 2 (7)    | 20 (8)   |
| Current smoker          | 123 (38)| 8 (30)   | 78 (31)  |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) |          |          |          |
| <20                     | 45 (14)  | 1 (4)    | 40 (16)  |
| 20-24.9                 | 165 (51)| 21 (78)  | 139 (55) |
| 25-29.9                 | 73 (23)  | 3 (11)   | 51 (20)  |
| ≥30                     | 41 (13)  | 2 (7)    | 22 (9)   |
| Depressive symptoms at baseline | 147 (45)| 5 (19) | 41 (16) |

*Does not total 100% because of missing data. Data shown as number (percentages) unless otherwise stated.
increases in the rate of perimenopause as women age. We analyses were adjusted for age to account for natural effect parameter estimated was the incidence rate ratio to experience of a perimenopausal event or censoring. The Time to perimenopause was measured as time from baseline.

Data analysis

Time to perimenopause was measured as time from baseline to experience of a perimenopausal event or censoring. The effect parameter estimated was the incidence rate ratio calculated from a proportional hazards regression model. All analyses were adjusted for age to account for natural increases in the rate of perimenopause as women age. We considered as potential confounders: highest level of education (< Bachelor's degree, graduate school), current economic wellbeing (ability to maintain standard of living if no income for two months or longer), material deprivation in childhood (not enough money for food or lodging; borrow money for medical expenses; not enough money to make ends meet; or received public assistance), cigarette use (never/current/past), body mass index (BMI; <20, 20–24.9, 25–29.9, ≥30 kg/m²), ever had live birth (yes/no), number of pregnancies (0, 1–2, 3–4, 5+), always irregular menstrual cycles at baseline (yes/no), OC use duration (never, 1–5, 6–10, 11+ years), and age of menarche (<11, 12–13 or ≥14 years). We considered the following in identification of confounders: biological plausibility; prior published evidence; and 10% change in estimate of the main effect upon addition to the model. Finally, we evaluated effect modification by depression (Center for Epidemiology study of depression (CESD) score ≥23) using methods described by Rothman. All analyses were conducted using SAS v8.02 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, 1999). Research was approved by the human.

Table 2  Crude incidence rates of perimenopause and adjusted incidence rate ratios by first experience or fear of physical or sexual abuse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Perimenopause defined by menstrual cycle length, flow, duration, and amenorrhea</th>
<th>Perimenopause defined by menstrual cycle irregularity and amenorrhea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Woman years (w-y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Among the entire cohort (n = 603)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood or adolescence</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adulthood</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never abused</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Among non-depressed women (n = 410)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood or adolescence</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adulthood</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never abused</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for age, age at menarche, ever live birth, ability to maintain living standard, smoking, BMI, and depression. †Adjusted for age, age at menarche, ever live birth, ability to maintain living standard, smoking, and BMI.

Key points

- Violence, especially early in life, may affect age of perimenopause via stress response dysregulation.
- Other studies have examined the effects of socioeconomic position, race/ethnicity and racism on age of menopause, but this is the first investigation into whether violent experiences affect ovarian aging and onset of perimenopause.
- In a prospective cohort study we found lower rates of perimenopause inception among women with histories of childhood or adolescent violence. This finding persisted when limiting the sample to women who were not depressed at the time of the baseline interview.
- Given that age of natural menopause is an important determinant of women’s post-menopausal health, it is important to understand the role of stressful life experiences on onset of menopause.
RESULTS
About 58% of the women in this study reported the experience of physical or sexual abuse at some point in their lifetimes. Of the 324 women who reported first abuse during childhood/adolescence, 40% reported physical harm only, 22% reported sexual harm only, and 38% reported both physical and sexual harm. Similarly, among the 27 women who reported first abuse during adulthood, this distribution was 26%, 63%, and 11%, respectively.

Abused women were less likely to have attended graduate school, less likely to be able to maintain their current standard of living for more than two months without their income, and more likely to have experienced material deprivation during childhood than never abused women (table 1). While there were no systematic differences in nulliparity, gravidity, menarche, or OC use, 13% of women first abused during childhood/adolescence had a BMI over 30 kg/m² compared with 7% of women first abused in adulthood and 9% of never abused women. Similarly, women first abused in childhood/adolescence were more likely to be current or past smokers. Finally, 16% of never abused women reported depressive symptoms (CESD>23) at the baseline interview compared with 19% of women first abused in adulthood and 45% of women first abused in childhood/adolescence as reported in previous analyses of this cohort.19-29

Table 2 presents a summary of number of perimenopausal events during the follow up period as well as crude incidence rates and adjusted incidence rate ratios (IRR) for the time to onset of perimenopause by age at first experience of abuse (childhood/adolescence or adulthood). During the follow up period 124 of 603 women experienced some change in menstrual cycle regularity, length, or flow, or amenorrhea lasting at least three months. The crude incidence of perimenopause was 95 cases per 1000 woman years for never abused women and 72 and 77 for women first abused in childhood/adolescence or adulthood. After adjusting for age, menarche, nulliparity, ability to maintain standard of living as well as smoking and BMI, there was evidence that women abused in childhood/adolescence (IRRadj = 0.65, 95%CI 0.45 to 0.95) entered perimenopause at slower rates than never abused women. We also estimated the effect of the two more stringent definitions on the association of childhood/adolescent abuse and the estimates were essentially unchanged (IRRadj = 0.65, 95%CI 0.44 to 0.96 and IRRadj = 0.63, 95%CI 0.40 to 0.96, respectively). Finally, although limited by the small number of observed events, there was a similar association among women who reported first abuse during adulthood (IRRadj = 0.72, 95%CI 0.28 to 1.80).

Furthermore, we evaluated whether the presence of depression at baseline modified the association between abuse and timing of perimenopause (table 2). Although the associations between childhood/adolescent abuse and perimenopause timing were consistent with the main analyses, there was also evidence that depression attenuated this effect. Lastly, we evaluated whether an alternative definition of perimenopause based on menstrual cycle irregularity and amenorrhea changed these findings (table 2). The association among those first abused in adulthood was more extreme using the alternative, but the small number of events observed limits interpretation. Among those first abused during childhood/adolescence the association was attenuated, but the conclusion was not changed.

DISCUSSION
In contrast with our original hypothesis, supported by our previous cross sectional analyses,7,7 which posited that women with histories of abuse would experience onset of perimenopause at higher rates, and thus earlier ages, than never abused women, we found that women who were abused during childhood/adolescence or adulthood showed signs of menstrual cycle change indicative of onset of perimenopause at slower rates compared with never abused women. These discrepant findings are either the result of bias in estimates of effect (in one or both studies), or the originally hypothesised biological mechanism is incorrect.

The original hypothesis was that HPA axis dysregulation stimulated gonadotropin production leading to more frequent ovulation that contributed to depletion of the follicle pool and earlier menopause. Specifically, stressful experiences lead to secretion glucocorticoids that affect ovarian function30 via the hypothalamus. The hypothalamus regulates menstrual function by secreting gonadotropin releasing hormone in pulses, which stimulates the periodic release of both FSH and luteinising hormone from the pituitary gland. FSH is necessary for follicular maturation, while luteinising hormone stimulates oestraldiol secretion by the maturing follicle and helps to maintain the corpus luteum.31 As the reserve of follicles capable of developing a functioning corpus luteum decreases, evidence of perimenopause is observed by menstrual cycle changes.

However, our results could be consistent with an alternative biological mechanism; possibly involving underactivity of the HPA axis,32-33 leading to fewer ovulatory cycles and reduced follicle depletion. According to Heim34 and Gunnar,35 underactivity of the HPA axis may be more common than previously understood, especially among people exposed to long term stress. While atresia is the predominant mechanism through which the follicle pool is depleted,36 lifetime number of ovulatory cycles may play a modest part in menopause timing.37,38 Studies have found that high parity, longer menstrual cycles, and long term OC use, all indicative of fewer ovulatory cycles, were associated later menopause.34,23,18-40 For example, Meschia found that nulliparous women had a mean age of menopause of 50.0 years, while women with five or more live births had a mean age of 50.9 years.39 These studies, taken together, provide evidence that fewer ovulatory cycles may contribute to small delays in the onset of menopause.

There are several possible sources of bias that should be considered. One is, if the women who were not included in this study were systematically different than those included. To investigate this we examined whether: (1) the 171 women who did not return the SIPR differed from the women who did, and (2) the 73 women who dropped out early in the study before distribution of the SIPR differed from those who did not.

Firstly, we considered whether women who responded to the SIPR were more likely to have later ages at perimenopause and violence histories than women who did not complete the SIPR. Indeed, responders did have later onset of menstrual changes, but it is unlikely given similarities in rates of depression and smoking that the non-responders were more likely to have violence histories. Furthermore, the high response rate (81%) decreases the likelihood that non-response bias could explain the findings.

Secondly, we compared women who dropped out early in the study (n = 73) with those in these analyses. Despite similarities in age and gravidity, the early drop outs had higher rates of depression, past smoking, and mean BMI, earlier menarche, and were slightly more likely to have never used OCs. Given the strong correlation of depression, smoking, and BMI with abuse, it is possible that women with abuse histories were more likely to drop out. These data,
combined with evidence that women with abuse histories may be less willing to enroll in research studies, leaves us unable to rule out this alternative explanation for these findings.

Another possible source of error is recall bias. That is, did women with menstrual changes have differential recall of abuse experiences? It is possible that women with violence histories were less likely to report menstrual changes. However, all women in these analyses were unaware of the hypothesis and had completed multiple follow up batteries by the time of the distribution of the SIPR. Exposure to abuse may have also been under-reported non-differentially with respect to menstrual changes; however, the comparability of our estimates of abuse with those in other studies do not support this.54 55

We also examined whether misclassification of our exposure variable could have affected our results by using the two restricted definitions of exposure to first abuse in childhood/adolescence compared with the never exposed women. We considered whether experiences with multiple levels of severity (for example, pushing could range from a mild confrontation to a more violent assault) or the inclusion of feared violence could be causing us to classify mildly and severely exposed women as exposed. The final definition eliminated exposures that could have multiple levels severity while the second definition excluded these as well as limiting exposure to actual experience of events, not just fear. When using the more restricted definitions, the confidence intervals were less precise, yet the direction of the effect remained the same and the magnitude changed little.

There are a number of reasons that may explain the difference in this study with a similar cross sectional analysis from the same cohort. To begin, the cross sectional analysis examined the association of abuse with level of FSH and oestradiol—hormones known to increase in lability as menopause approaches;—while this study used a menstrual cycle based definition. In addition, the methodological change of adjusting for, rather than stratifying by, age—could have contributed to the divergent findings between this analysis and the cross sectional. While the change in analytical approach of age is the result of sample size concerns, the transition to a menstrual cycle based definition similar to that used in other studies may represent an improvement over the individual hormone and centile based approach applied in the cross sectional analysis. In fact, the Stages of Reproductive Aging Workshop Executive Summary stated that the variability of oestradiol limits its usefulness for reproductive staging and FSH, while useful for determinations of later reproductive stages cannot differentiate among them (that is, late reproductive stage from early perimenopause).56

Age at natural menopause is an important determinant of women’s post-menopausal health. While the association of age at menopause with increased risk of cardiovascular disease is currently an issue under debate,57–59 delayed menopause may have important health consequences including increased risk of breast cancer,60 61 while early menopause is believed to be associated with osteoporosis,62 certain cancers63 64 as well as cognitive function.65 66 This study is the first of its kind to show an association of violence with lower rates of perimenopause onset. The conflicting results between the cross sectional67 and this longitudinal analysis from this cohort, especially in light of the importance of age of menopause as indicator of postmenopausal health, indicate that further study is needed on this topic.
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