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Study objective: Systemic inflammation may play an important part in the development of cardiovascular disease. It has also been shown that socioeconomic status predicts cardiovascular events independently of established risk factors. The aim of this study was to analyse the association of three sensitive markers of systemic inflammation: C reactive protein (CRP), serum amyloid A protein (SAA), and fibrinogen, with socioeconomic status.

Design: Cross sectional study.

Setting: Eastern and southern Finland.

Participants: 1503 men aged 45 to 74 years who participated in a cardiovascular risk factor survey in 1997. Based on the levels of education and family income, the men were classified to three socioeconomic groups.

Main results: Mean concentrations of CRP (p for the trend <0.001), SAA (p for the trend 0.018), and fibrinogen (p for the trend <0.001) decreased substantially with increasing socioeconomic status. The trends in CRP and fibrinogen remained statistically significant after adjustment for smoking, waist to hip ratio, and prevalent longstanding diseases, and a non-significant trend was found for SAA (p for the trend 0.118). The inverse association between inflammation markers and socioeconomic status was particularly strong among the men below 60 years of age.

Conclusions: Systemic inflammation is a potential mediator, especially among young and middle aged men, for the association between socioeconomic status and cardiovascular disease.

METHODS

Participants of the Finnish platelet aggregation and inflammation study (PAIS) are a sub-sample of a large population based risk factor study, which was conducted in Finland in 1997. The sub-sample included 2000 randomly selected (stratified by 10 year age group) men aged 45–74 years from eastern and southern Finland. Of these, 1571 (79%) participated and gave a venous blood sample. Sixty eight men were excluded because of missing data on smoking, socioeconomic status, or waist to hip ratio. Thus, 1503 men were included in these analyses. Subjects without data on CRP, SAA, and fibrinogen were excluded from the analyses where these variables were required. The study was approved by the ethical committee of the National Public Health Institute and the subjects gave informed consent.

Data on smoking, education, family income, and occurrence of longstanding diseases were obtained from a self administered questionnaire, which was sent to the participants in advance. Based on the responses, the men were classified as current smokers, ex-smokers, and never smokers. Current smokers were further classified into three groups based on the amount of smoking (1–10, 11–20, and over 20 cigarettes daily). Years of education and total family income were used to classify participants into socioeconomic classes. To adjust for changes in educational system over time (extension of basic education system and increase of average school years), the number of years of schooling were divided into tertiles separately for each birth year, and the birth cohort specific distribution was used as the indicator of socioeconomic status. Total family income was adjusted for the family size and divided into tertiles. Men in the...
lowest tertile both in education and family income were classified into the low socioeconomic class, and men in the highest tertile in both indicators were classified into the high socioeconomic class. Men in the second tertile of both indicators, or with a combination of low, middle and high tertiles, were classified into the middle class. If data were missing on either indicator, socioeconomic status was assessed on the basis of the available indicator.

Data on the occurrence of coronary heart disease (angina pectoris or the history of acute myocardial infarction), past history of stroke and cancer, prevalence of rheumatoid arthritis and other joint disease, diabetes, asthma, chronic bronchitis, and chronic urinary tract infection were obtained from the questionnaire. In addition, data on the occurrence of coronary heart disease, diabetes, asthma, and rheumatoid arthritis were complemented by record linkage of the data obtained from the National Social Insurance Institution’s register on persons entitled to special reimbursement for drugs. To receive the special reimbursement, the diagnosis is usually assigned by a specialist in internal medicine or other relevant specialist, and the diagnosis needs to be based on objective findings. The statements documenting these findings are then reviewed and accepted by the expert physicians of the Social Insurance Institution. Dental problems were assessed by asking whether the person had suffered from tooth pain in the past year. At the study site specially trained research nurses checked that the questionnaires were fully completed and measured waist and hip circumferences. Waist to hip ratio was used as an indicator for central obesity.

After the measurements, a venous blood sample was obtained and centrifuged within one hour. Plasma for fibrinogen determination was frozen immediately in a dry ice/ethanol mixture, and then stored at −70°C until laboratory analyses. Serum samples for CRP and SAA determination were transported to the central laboratory at room temperature and were stored at −70°C until the analyses. For those participants who were not able to come to the study site, a home visit with restricted data and sample collection was made. For technical reasons, plasma samples for fibrinogen determination were not taken at home visits.

CRP concentrations in serum samples were analysed in duplicate using a sandwich enzyme immunoassay kit (UCR CRP ELISA, Eucardio Laboratory, San Diego, CA, USA) with a limit of detection of 35 ng/ml. The average intra-assay and inter-assay precisions were 5% and 3.0%, respectively. The geometric means of CRP and SAA, and arithmetic means of fibrinogen are presented and the tests were performed using log transformed values of these variables. Because of the skewness of distributions, geometric means of CRP and SAA, and arithmetic means of fibrinogen. The association of CRP, SAA, and fibrinogen with socioeconomic status was analysed by testing the significance of the trends over the three socioeconomic classes using general linear models. Of the skewness of distributions, geometric means of CRP and SAA, and arithmetic means of fibrinogen. The association of CRP, SAA, and fibrinogen with socioeconomic status was analysed by testing the significance of the trends over the three socioeconomic classes using general linear models. Because of the skewness of distributions, geometric means of CRP and SAA, and fibrinogen were included in the model as continuous variables, smoking was included as five dummy variables (based on the smoking status, and amount of smoking among current smokers) and the occurrence of longstanding diseases was included as separate dummy variables. The combined effect and interactions of education and income level on the inflammation markers were also analysed.

RESULTS

Men with low socioeconomic status were somewhat older and they were more often smokers than men in the middle and high socioeconomic classes (table 1). Waist to hip ratio decreased slightly with increasing socioeconomic status. The prevalence of coronary heart disease, diabetes, asthma, and chronic urinary tract infection decreased with increasing socioeconomic status. As expected, the inflammation markers were closely related to each other. The age adjusted correlation coefficients were 0.47 (p<0.001) for CRP and SAA, 0.59 (p<0.001) for CRP and fibrinogen, and 0.37 (p<0.001) for SAA and fibrinogen.
An inverse association was found between the inflammation markers and socioeconomic status (table 2). The age adjusted levels of CRP, SAA, and fibrinogen among the men of low, middle, and high socioeconomic classes were 2.32, 1.90, and 1.52 mg/l (p<0.001), 5.85, 4.95, and 4.74 g/l (p=0.018), and 4.09, 3.83, and 3.62 g/l (p<0.001), respectively. The trends in CRP and fibrinogen remained statistically significant also after adjustment for smoking, waist to hip ratio, and longstanding diseases. A non-significant decreasing trend was found for SAA (p=0.118). Education and income level were also separately inversely associated with the inflammation markers (p values for the trend, adjusted for age, smoking, waist to hip ratio, and the occurrence of longstanding conditions: CRP, 0.024 and 0.047; SAA, 0.237 and 0.053; fibrinogen, <0.001 and <0.001, for education and income, respectively).

The joint effects of education and income level on the inflammation markers are shown in table 3. Because the effect of education was most prominent between the middle and high levels, the low and middle levels are combined. The inflammation markers decreased with increasing education at each income level, and with increasing income at each level of education, except SAA among high income men. None of the interactions between education and income were statistically significant.

In the analyses by age group, among men below 60 years of age, all three inflammation markers had an inverse association (adjusted for age, smoking, waist to hip ratio, and the prevalence of longstanding disease) with socioeconomic status (p values for the trend: CRP, p=0.017; SAA, p=0.032; fibrinogen, p<0.001) (table 4). In the older age group, among the men from 60 to 74 years, a statistically significant inverse association was found only between fibrinogen and socioeconomic status (p values for the trend: CRP, p=0.267; SAA, p=0.842; fibrinogen, p=0.005). However, the interactions between age group and socioeconomic status were not significant (CRP, p=0.247; SAA, p=0.192; fibrinogen, 0.169).

**DISCUSSION**

All of the analysed acute phase markers, CRP, SAA, and plasma fibrinogen, were associated with socioeconomic status in this study population consisting of middle aged and elderly men. The association between inflammation markers and socioeconomic status was partly mediated through smoking, central obesity, and prevalent longstanding diseases. However, the decreasing trends of inflammation markers with increasing socioeconomic status remained significant for CRP and fibrinogen, and a non-significant trend was found for SAA, also after adjustment for smoking, waist to hip ratio, and longstanding disease.

Among men below 60 years of age, all three markers of inflammation had a significant inverse association with socioeconomic status. The results are in accordance with the previous findings that socioeconomic differences in cardiovascular disease morbidity and mortality are most prominent among young subjects, and diminish with increasing age. In older age, the socioeconomic differences in the levels of inflammation markers may also be masked by the common occurrence of longstanding diseases in all socioeconomic groups. The association of CRP and fibrinogen levels with coronary heart disease risk has been shown both in cross sectional and prospective studies. The evidence for a causal role of CRP in the pathophysiology of coronary heart disease is, however, inconclusive. It has been hypothesised that CRP may directly interact with atherosclerotic vessels or ischaemic myocardium by activation of the complement system and thereby promote inflammation and thrombosis. It has been shown also that CRP adds to the predictive value of lipid parameters in determining the risk of myocardial infarction. Fibrinogen increases the risk of an acute coronary event through its prothrombotic and rheological effects, and may also play a part in atherosclerosis formation. The question whether SAA is associated with increased coronary heart disease risk is new and its pathogenetic role in the disease development is still open. In most populations, subjects with low socioeconomic status have considerably higher cardiovascular disease risk compared with subjects with high socioeconomic status. Part of the association between socioeconomic status and the risk of cardiovascular disease is explained by the known risk factors, such as differences in smoking prevalence, blood pressure, and serum lipid concentrations. However, even though adjustment for the established risk factors weakens the association between socioeconomic status and cardiovascular disease risk, other factors are also involved.

Smoking, obesity, and prevalence of longstanding diseases differ between socioeconomic groups. All these factors affect also the levels of sensitive inflammation markers. The production of acute phase proteins is regulated by cytokines. At least interleukin 1, interleukin 6, and tumour necrosis factor affect inflammation by their proinflammatory effects. Cytokines are also produced in adipose tissue, and therefore obesity is a potential confounding factor in the association between acute phase proteins and cardiovascular disease risk. It has been shown that even exposure to environmental stressors increases plasma fibrinogen concentrations markedly.

Our study provides indirect evidence that longstanding systemic low level inflammation plays a part in the association between low socioeconomic status and high cardiovascular disease risk. Systemic inflammation is also a biologically
plausible mediator between socioeconomic status, a social phenomenon, and the pathophysiological changes behind clinical cardiovascular disease. Smoking, obesity, and prevalent long-standing diseases explained part of the inverse association between socioeconomic status and the inflammation markers, but also other factors are involved. These factors may include prevalent or sub-clinical diseases, and behavioural and environmental factors, as such as diet, exercise, and exposure to toxic substances at work or elsewhere, which were not included in our analyses. Birth weight, which is related to mothers’ socioeconomic status, has been shown to predict the risk of cardiovascular and other long-standing diseases later in life.35 Some studies have also shown an inverse association between birth weight and fibrinogen.10

The association between low level inflammation and cardiovascular risk is, however, not yet well established, quite a recent finding.11 Despite active research, it is not known yet, whether the risk of cardiovascular disease could be reduced by reducing the levels of inflammation markers. However, proper prevention and treatment of long-standing conditions, such as dental and respiratory infections, may reduce the levels of inflammatory factors and the risk of cardiovascular disease among the people with low socioeconomic status.

In conclusion, sensitive markers of systemic inflammation had an inverse association with socioeconomic status. The inverse association was particularly strong among men below 60 years of age. Thus, systemic inflammation is a potential mediator, especially among young and middle aged men, for the association between socioeconomic status and cardiovascular disease. Prospective observational studies are needed to assess the quantitative importance of inflammation in the association between socioeconomic status and cardiovascular disease risk.
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