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P

olicy decisions on health and health care increasingly require good evidence, particularly as resources are limited. Good evidence to describe the current situation means not just information on the effectiveness of interventions, but also valid and reliable data on the disease burden and the provision of health care.

Coronary heart disease (CHD) is the commonest cause of death in the UK. CHD mortality rates have halved in most industrialised countries since the 1970s. However, mortality has declined less in the UK, and CHD still generates a massive burden of disease. To tackle this problem, the CHD National Service Framework (NSF) and the National Health Service (NHS) Plan have set national standards for prevention and treatment, and have recommended service models for efficient delivery of care, with milestones, goals, and early priorities. They also identified indicators and clinical audit criteria that could be used to assess the quality of care. Good health information is crucial for the implementation and monitoring of the CHD NSF. The recent CHD Information Strategy was therefore explicitly intended to support the NSF by defining and establishing the information infrastructure, systems and services required. However, the Information Strategy did not comprehensively evaluate the sources of CHD information.

The aim of this study was therefore to evaluate the availability and quality of UK CHD data sources since 1981. This study explicitly considered all “public health” information sources for CHD, as defined in the recent Department of Health CHD Information Strategy. This included information on patterns of mortality and morbidity (including hospital admissions and episodes) and major cardiovascular risk factor trends by age, sex, and ethnicity.

METHODS

UK data sources on CHD were initially identified and categorised according to the IMPACT CHD mortality model, which aims to explore CHD mortality trends in England and Wales during 1981–2000. To build the IMPACT Model, information was required on (a) population based mortality rates; (b) patient numbers with different categories of CHD—acute myocardial infarction, unstable and chronic angina, heart failure, hypertension, coronary artery by pass graft (CABG) surgery, and angioplasty; (c) uptake of specific medical and surgical treatments; (d) effectiveness of specific cardiological treatments and risk factor reductions; and (e) population trends in major cardiovascular risk factors (smoking, cholesterol, hypertension, obesity, diabetes, physical activity, and deprivation). Data were identified and obtained by various methods including comprehensive Medline search using keywords and MeSH headings, searching conference proceedings, audit reports, online search of possible official web sites, and personal correspondence (details available from authors).

The main data sources for population and mortality data were the Office for National Statistics (ONS) and the British Heart Foundation’s Annual CHD Statistics. Information on treatment prescription and uptake were obtained from various national and local clinical audits and surveys. Data on efficacy of interventions and risk factor changes were reviewed from published randomised controlled trials, meta-analyses, and population studies.

The British Regional Heart Study, General Household Survey, and Health Survey for England were the main data sources for risk factor data.

Each data source was evaluated by two researchers using a standardised approach based on the following criteria: coverage and completeness (population of interest), coding accuracy (where these were reported in the primary data source), validity (the degree to which a variable measures what it purports to measure) — where this was reported in the primary data source) — and generalisability (critical appraisal
of the studies for their methodology, ease of access (availability of information either published or electronically), and inclusion of information on age and sex breakdowns, ethnic, and socioeconomic categories.

**RESULTS**

**Population and patient data sources**

Table 1 gives the main data sources for population and patient data.

Data from ONS official statistics18–20 were easily accessible both electronically and in published form. The British Heart Foundation provided another very useful source of annually updated CHD statistics for the UK.2

Information on patient numbers undergoing CABG surgery has been available from the United Kingdom Cardiac Surgical Register since 1977.22 The register was based on voluntary and anonymous reporting of activity and hospital mortality for CABG, valvular, and congenital heart disease surgical procedures performed in NHS hospitals. Each unit was asked to return a standard questionnaire annually to the Society of Cardiothoracic Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland.36 This was a series of annual surveys about the health of people in England carried out since 1991. The Health Survey for England contained a “core”, which was repeated each year, and each survey year has one or more modules on subjects of special interest. The Health Survey for England 1993, 1994, and 1998 carried out since 1991. The Health Survey for England between first admissions and readmissions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHD mortality (rates)</td>
<td>Available as mortality statistics from Office for National Statistics16,17 and from British Heart Foundation Annual CHD Statistics online or published reports17</td>
<td>Little information on ethnic minority or socioeconomic differences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABG surgery patients (number)</td>
<td>CABG numbers from 1991–2000 available online on UK Society for Cardiothoracic Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland’s web site [<a href="http://www.scts.org/doc/2102">http://www.scts.org/doc/2102</a>]. To obtain figures for England and Wales CABG numbers for Scotland and Ireland deducted from UK figures.</td>
<td>Appear accurate. Lack detail on age, sex, ethnic group, social status, and long term survival.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina patients in the community (number)</td>
<td>Prevalence of “ever experienced angina” is available from Health Survey for England 1998,16 and British Regional Heart Study.26</td>
<td>Only prevalence not incidence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure patients in the community (number)</td>
<td>Prevalence of treated heart failure patients in the community available from Key Health Statistics from General Practice 1998 report23</td>
<td>GP consultations; therefore omits subjects not presenting to NHS.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Population and patient data sources of information on CHD in the UK, 1981–2000

The number of angina patients in the community could be estimated using the prevalence of “ever experienced angina”, available from the Health Survey for England 98.23 This was a series of annual surveys about the health of people in England carried out since 1991. The Health Survey for England contained a “core”, which was repeated each year, and each survey year has one or more modules on subjects of special interest. The Health Survey for England 1993, 1994, and 1998 had cardiovascular disease modules and could therefore provide useful information on CHD, stroke, hypertension, and other cardiovascular risk factors.

The number of heart failure patients in the community was principally estimated using prevalence of “treated heart failure” from Key Health Statistics from the General Practice Research Database, 1998.24 This report gave the prevalence of various morbidities and treatment data derived from general practitioner medical records. It provided data for age-sex groups. However, as this source was based on general practitioner consultations, it omitted those symptomatic subjects who did not present to the NHS, but who would be detected by epidemiological surveys.25–28
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Cardiological treatments

Table 2 gives the data sources on cardiological treatments in primary and secondary level.

The precise number of CHD patients who had cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) in the community (before reaching hospital) was not known, neither was the number of CHD patients who had CPR in hospital. These two figures could only be estimated from various surveys. Information about hospital admissions in 2000 was available online from HES. However, trend data, and details of hospital interventions were very limited. Treatment uptake data were not available routinely, coming principally from isolated surveys and registers. For treatment at primary care level, limited prescription and uptake data were available from Prescribing Analysis and Cost Tabulate (PACT), and a few published local audits and studies. The EUROASPIRE II Study provided treatment levels for secondary care of CHD from a small number of selected UK hospitals, but age and sex breakdowns were not generally available.

Good efficacy data on clinical interventions were generally available from published randomised trials and meta-analyses, and will not be considered further here.

Cardiovascular risk factor data sources

Table 3 gives the population based cardiovascular risk factor data sources and their evaluations.

The risk factors considered were smoking, total cholesterol levels, blood pressure, physical activity, obesity, diabetes, and deprivation. Population based risk factor data, either distribution (mean, standard deviation) or prevalence, were available principally from the British Regional Heart Study, the General Household Survey, and the Health Survey for England. Information was very limited for the 1980s, but more extensive by the year 2000.

Blood pressure data were limited until recent times. The British Regional Heart Study provided some blood pressure data in 1981, but only for men aged 40–59. The Dietary and Nutritional Survey of British Adults was another source for blood pressure data from 1990 onwards and provided sex and limited age breakdowns (under 65). The Health Survey For England has included blood pressure data since 1993.

Data on cholesterol levels were very limited during the 1980s. The Health Survey For England included cholesterol levels from 1993. However, changing laboratory methods used between surveys made the interpretation of recent trends difficult, even when supported by trend data from the MONICA surveys based in Scotland and Northern Ireland.

Data on obesity (defined as BMI > 30 kg/m²) were available from two Department of Health surveys in the early 1980s. Data on other anthropometric measures such as waist to hip ratio, were not available in early 1980s but only from more recent population surveys.

Some indirect evidence of a decline in physical activity (an increase in car journeys and decrease in miles walked) was available from the Department of Transport’s Transport Statistics for Great Britain. However, no comprehensive population based measures were available before the Allied Dunbar Survey in 1990. The British Regional Heart Study provided physical activity data limited to men aged 40–59. The Health Survey for England included physical activity questions since 1991 with revisions in 1997 and 1998.

Further, definitions of physical inactivity have varied in different surveys so comparable trend information were generally not available.

Smoking prevalence was the exception among the cardiovascular risk factors with good data trends easily available from successive General Household Surveys and the British Household Panel Surveys. Age, sex, and socioeconomic breakdowns were also available. There were some studies on diabetes starting from the 1970s, mainly focusing on treatment efficacy (The United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study) and mortality in 1991. Further, definitions of physical inactivity have varied in different surveys so comparable trend information were generally not available.

Smoking prevalence was the exception among the cardiovascular risk factors with good data trends easily available from successive General Household Surveys and the British Household Panel Surveys. Age, sex, and socioeconomic breakdowns were also available. There were some studies on diabetes starting from the 1970s, mainly focusing on treatment efficacy (The United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study) and mortality in 1991. Further, definitions of physical inactivity have varied in different surveys so comparable trend information were generally not available.

Smoking prevalence was the exception among the cardiovascular risk factors with good data trends easily available from successive General Household Surveys and the British Household Panel Surveys. Age, sex, and socioeconomic breakdowns were also available. There were some studies on diabetes starting from the 1970s, mainly focusing on treatment efficacy (The United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study) and mortality in 1991. Further, definitions of physical inactivity have varied in different surveys so comparable trend information were generally not available.

Smoking prevalence was the exception among the cardiovascular risk factors with good data trends easily available from successive General Household Surveys and the British Household Panel Surveys. Age, sex, and socioeconomic breakdowns were also available. There were some studies on diabetes starting from the 1970s, mainly focusing on treatment efficacy (The United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study) and mortality in 1991. Further, definitions of physical inactivity have varied in different surveys so comparable trend information were generally not available.

Smoking prevalence was the exception among the cardiovascular risk factors with good data trends easily available from successive General Household Surveys and the British Household Panel Surveys. Age, sex, and socioeconomic breakdowns were also available. There were some studies on diabetes starting from the 1970s, mainly focusing on treatment efficacy (The United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study) and mortality in 1991. Further, definitions of physical inactivity have varied in different surveys so comparable trend information were generally not available.

Smoking prevalence was the exception among the cardiovascular risk factors with good data trends easily available from successive General Household Surveys and the British Household Panel Surveys. Age, sex, and socioeconomic breakdowns were also available. There were some studies on diabetes starting from the 1970s, mainly focusing on treatment efficacy (The United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study) and mortality in 1991. Further, definitions of physical inactivity have varied in different surveys so comparable trend information were generally not available.
Table 3 Data sources on cardiovascular risk factors in the UK, 1981–2000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population blood pressure</td>
<td>The Dietary and Nutritional Survey of British Adults and British Regional Heart Study</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Health Survey for England 1998</td>
<td>Blood pressure data very limited until recent times. For early years The Dietary and Nutritional Survey of British Adults and British Regional Heart Study (only for men) provided mean blood pressure levels. Health Survey for England included these data since 1993.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>The Heights and Weights of Adults in Great Britain</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Health Survey for England 98</td>
<td>Data on mean BMI and obesity (defined BMI &gt;30) available from two DoH surveys in early 1980s. Data on other anthropometrical measures, for example, waist to hip ratio, were not available in early 1980s but these data available from some more recent population surveys (Health Survey for England).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>British Regional Heart Study</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Allied Dunbar Survey 1990</td>
<td>No comprehensive population based measures were available before Allied Dunbar Survey 1990. British Regional Heart Study data limited to men aged 40–59. Definitions of physical inactivity varied in different surveys so comparable trend information not available directly. Some indirect evidence of a decline in physical activity available from Department for Transport’s Transport Statistics for Great Britain report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>Poole Diabetes Study</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>General Practice Research Database</td>
<td>Data on diabetes prevalence are either not available or not comparable for early 1980s. Lately trend information is available from Health Survey for England and General Practice Research Database; this latter may overestimate trend.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deprivation</td>
<td>1981 Census data</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>2001 Census data awaited</td>
<td>Standardised trend data for deprivation score not available. Information available on household income, adjusted for tax and benefits, housing tenure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

Available information on CHD in the UK is frequently patchy, obsolete, or simply not available. Although routinely collected data provide large quantities of health information, often covering the whole population over a long period of time, such sources have limitations and are underused. The Office for National Statistics provides useful updated population and mortality statistics. Much of the Office for National Statistics information is available electronically, which makes it much more accessible for users. Likewise Hospital Episode Statistics, which summarise admissions to the NHS hospitals, but which lack detail on interventions.

Public health information on coronary heart disease in England must be improved. At present, the NHS annually spends over £2 billion on a range of evidence based initiatives for the treatment of CHD. However, evaluation of these initiatives using existing routine data is simply not possible. Furthermore, monitoring this common and devastating disease is generally confined to analysis of mortality statistics. Over 35 000 CABG operations are performed each year, however survival even two years later is not routinely available. Thirty day case fatality after admission for acute myocardial infarction or CABG surgery have been used as Department of Health performance indicators. However, variations in performance indicators between individual hospitals are vulnerable to differences in coding practices and case mix.

To review CHD data sources in all countries is beyond the scope of this paper; however, some international comparisons are extremely useful. Northern European countries have developed and implemented better CHD monitoring systems.

CHD mortality rates in Finland were once the highest in the world. A series of regional risk factor surveys (FINRISK)
have been carried out there every five years since the early 1970s. These use a standardised methodology, include all the important CHD risk factors, with high participation rates and a large sample size (about 14 000 for the 2002 survey). Reliable estimates of trends and their contributions to CHD mortality declines can therefore be made over a 30 year period. These surveys also permit comparatively quick identification of adverse developments such as the increase in smoking among women.

Monitoring of risk factors and of secular trends in risk factor epidemiology is also available in Norway. Cardiovascular risk factor studies have been conducted in different regions since the late 1950s. Since the 1970s, the National Health Screening Service (SHUS) cardiovascular disease screening and prevention programmes visit all municipalities every three years, and achieve high response rates.

In the USA, the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) has been periodically conducted since the early 1960s to obtain nationally representative information on health, nutritional status, risk factors, and health behaviours in the population. NHANES III (1988–94) is the seventh of these.

The Information and Statistics Division (ISD) in Scotland collects good data on all patients treated for CHD and the procedures received. Scotland’s routine NHS data are of high quality and data linkage permits the investigation of the epidemiology and treatment of heart disease across the population, with comprehensive analyses then being possible on different forms of the disease, including myocardial infarction and heart failure.

In England and Wales, the CHD NSF, NHS Plan, and CHD Information Strategy now explicitly recognise the huge importance of disease monitoring and service evaluation. All have made a number of specific and sensible recommendations. However, at present over 99% of the £2 billion NHS CHD budget is spent on medical interventions, particularly revascularisation. Less than 1% is currently spent on monitoring of CHD.

CONCLUSION

Future CHD disease monitoring and evaluation will require comprehensive and accurate population based information on trends in patient numbers, treatment uptake, and risk factors. This will require adequate resources to improve existing information systems. Regular and comprehensive surveys (including women, elderly people, and ethnic groups), using standardised methodology will also be essential. Until then, there is a very real risk that NHS CHD strategies will blunder in the dark.

Key point

- The quality of UK data on coronary heart disease is remarkably patchy and poor despite coronary heart disease being the largest cause of death.
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