Using ACME (Automatic Classification of Medical Entry) software to monitor and improve the quality of cause of death statistics

Various methods have been used to evaluate the quality of cause of death statistics.² ³ Traditionally, necropsy findings were deemed as the gold standard to evaluate the accuracy of cause of death certification. However, because of the biased selection of necropsy cases and the decreasing necropsy rate, fewer and fewer evaluation studies have used necropsy findings as the standard.¹ Another commonly used standard to evaluate the quality of death certification is the consensus of a panel of physicians reviewing all available information related to the deceased.¹ Most of the studies using this method were the byproducts of large cohort studies or randomised clinical trials. These studies wanted to assure that the end point was not biased. The shortcomings of using physician review as the standard were time consuming, costly, and not applicable in a large scale and routinely.⁴ ⁵ As more and more disease specific registries and hospital medical records were computerised, more and more investigators began to use these datasets as the standard to evaluate the quality of cause of death statistics. The merits of this method were saving time, less costly, applicable in large scale and routinely.⁶

Example 1

1. (a) Acute myocardial infarction (I219)
   (b) Hypertension (I10)
   (c) Diabetes (E149)

ACME process messages of example 1

01 I219/I10/E149
02 Is I219 due to I149? YES
03 Is I10 due to I149? YES
04 Select Initial TUC = I149—General Principle
05 ACME UC: E149

Example 2

1. (a) Congestive heart failure (I509)
   (b) Cerebral infarction (I639), endocarditis (I138)
   (c) Liver cirrhosis (K746)
   (d) Hypertension (I10)

II Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (J449), Oral cancer (C069)

ACME process messages of example 2

01 1509/1639 138/K746/I10*J449 C069
02 Is I509 due to I10? YES
03 Is I639 due to I10? YES
04 Is I138 due to I10? YES
05 Is K746 due to I10? NO
06 No TUC by General Principle—Apply Rule 1
07 Is I509 due to I639? YES
08 Is I639 due to K746? NO
09 Select TUC = I639—Rule 1
10 ACME UC: I639

Example 3

1. (a) Congestive heart failure (I509)
   (b) Cerebral infarction (I639), endocarditis (I138)
   (c) Liver cirrhosis (K746), uremia (N19), Diabetes (E149)
   (d) Hypertension (I10)

II Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (J449), Oral cancer (C069)

ACME process messages of example 3

01 1509/1639 138/K746 N19 E149/I10*J449 C069
02 Is I509 due to I10? YES
03 Is I639 due to I10? YES
04 Is I138 due to I10? YES
05 Is K746 due to I10? NO
06 No TUC by General Principle—Apply Rule 1
07 Is I509 due to I639? YES
08 Is I639 due to K746? NO
09 Is I10 due to N19? YES
10 Is N19 due to I10? YES
11 Select TUC = I10—Rule 1
12 Linkage due to position condition I10 1509 1110 MAYBE
13 Linkage with mention of preference I10 1639 YES
14 Linkage due to position preference I10 1138 YES
15 Linkage with mention of combination I10 N19 1120 YES
16 Is I509 due to I639? YES
17 Is I639 due to K746? NO
18 Is I639 due to N19? YES
19 Select TUC = I10—Rule 1
20 Select TUC = I110—Rule C Linkage
21 ACME UC: I110
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Limitations of ACME

Though ACME has been deemed as the de facto international standard for interpreting ICD selection rules, it is not without problems. First limitation was that there were many “MAYBE” causal relations in the decision tables, which were manual assignments for the UC. Examples were listed as follows:

- Is K746 (liver cirrhosis) due to A419 (sepsis)? MAYBE
- Is K746 (liver cirrhosis) due to B169 (hepatitis B infection)? MAYBE
- Is I698 (sequels of stroke) due to E149 (diabetes)? MAYBE
- Is J449 (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) due to I64 (stroke)? MAYBE
- Is J189 (pneumonia) direct sequel of I509? MAYBE
- Is R54 (senility) and I509 (heart failure) combined as R347? MAYBE

If different countries had different decisions for above “MAYBE” cases, this became a source of artefact undermining the comparability of mortality data across countries.

Another limitation, ironically this is in fact the strength of ACME, was the rigid adherence to the selection rules that resulted in the over-coding of mechanism of death (MOD). The MOD is a physiological derangement or a pathological process and not always the cause of death. Examples include various arrhythmias, renal failure, cardiopulmonary failure, sepsis, and hypovolaemic shock. The cause of death, on the other hand, is a distinct entity, and is etiologically specific. Examples include cerebrovascular infarction, lung cancer, diabetes mellitus, and alcoholic liver cirrhosis.

Because of their lack of aetiological specificity, MOD should not appear on death certificates. Conversely, when medical treatment is often aimed at modifying or ameliorating mechanisms rather than causes, thereby physicians still filled many MODs on death certificates. This poor certification behaviour was fuelled by high frequency of incorrect layout of diagnoses on the death certificates. Previous studies revealed that it was very common for physicians to enter two or more diagnoses in the same line in death certificate. For example:

- I (a) Uremia, diabetes
- I (a) Heart failure, liver cancer
- I (a) Hepatic failure, ischaemic heart disease

Another common certification error was the reverse layout of causal relations. For example, hypovolaemic shock (HS) was due to oesophageal varices bleeding (EBV) and EBV due to liver cirrhosis (LC). A correct layout should put HS in line (a), EBV in line (b), and LC in line (c), nevertheless it was not very uncommon that the certifier might put HS in line (c), EBV in line (b), and LC in line (a). Other examples were:

- I (a) Acute myocardial infarction
- (b) Pneumonia
- (c) Sepsis
- I (a) Stroke
- (b) Urinary tract infection
- (c) Sepsis

According to international selection rule 2 (for first three examples) and general principle (for last two examples), ACME would select MOD—that is, uremia, heart failure, hepatic failure, and sepsis as the UC for above examples. Most people will agree that these results were obviously not the original intents of the certifiers. MOD could not provide useful information for prevention.

Luckily many of the above mentioned problems might be handled by the Mortality Reference Group (MRG), which was set up by the World Health Organisation with the mandate to issue authoritative instructions on the interpretation of the ICD coding rules and guidelines. The NCHS have pledged themselves to implement the decision of the MRG in ACME decision tables. It is hoped that the modified Decision Tables will be more acceptable to people in most countries.
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Seasonality of live birth sex ratio in south western Siberia, Russia, 1959–2001

Seasonality of sex ratio of live births (SR: male births divided by total births) has been reported in Europe, North America, Brazil, and Australia. However, no uniform pattern is seen. Moreover, the magnitude of any observed seasonal variation varies from population to population with marked variation in Japan to minor variation in Germany. However, no seasonal variation in SR in Siberia. Records of live singleton births were obtained from the Novosibirsk Regional Committee for Statistics. Data by month were obtained for the years 1959–2001, excluding 1961, 1962, and 1988 because of missing data. Seasonal analysis was carried out by Edwards’ method. Our analysis was quarterly because of the comparatively small number of births. Linear regression analysis was performed to test for secular trend. A highly significant seasonal pattern was evident (χ²=14.4, p=0.001) with an amplitude of 1.2% of the overall mean, a peak in the second quarter (0–729) and a trough in the fourth quarter (fig 1).

Figure 1 Seasonality of sex ratio at birth in Novosibirsk region, Russia, 1959–2001. SR: male births divided by total births. Values are means and 95% confidence intervals.
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A negative annual secular trend was found for the period 1971–1980 ($r = -0.84$, $p = 0.002$), which was replaced by the positive trend during the period 1982–1993 ($r = 0.78$, $p = 0.004$). No difference in mean SRs for the entire period was found between urban (0.513) and rural (0.513) populations.

The decrease in male births in the last quarter equates to fewer male conceptions nine months previously—that is, in the first quarter. Climatic variations in west Siberia are extreme, with heavy snowfalls in winter. Thawing of snow requires considerable energy, therefore temperatures remain low in spring, and rise sharply from the second half of April. If the observed variation in SR is indeed temperature related, then it would seem that low temperatures either reduce male conceptions or, through unknown mechanisms, reduce the survival of male conceptuses.

Industrialisation has been blamed for decreasing SRs in industrialised countries over the past half century. In Siberia, a different pattern is evident in that SR fell and then rose again for the period 1971–1980 (www.jech.com).

In summary, the opening chapter of the book is an important contribution to the literature on public health and on traumatic stress. The chapters that follow will be of especial interest to those planning to set up similar programmes.
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International co-operation in health

International co-operation in health focuses on transboundary public health issues and examines the influence of global factors on human health. Historically, the earliest examples arose from changes in the natural environment such as climate changes during the last ice age. Other global events are less obvious but also had important implications (positive and negative) for human health, such as the widespread migration of populations, the enormous effects of international trade, war and civil disorder, or even genetic modified food on human health.

Discussing these global influences, authors of several chapters describe the formal organisations and alliances that are developing to fill the gap between the globalised world and national health systems, such as the WHO’s efforts in tobacco control, multidisciplinary groups working on global changes coordinated through the UN Environmental programme, and the work of the WTO. Other suggestions focus more on regional (EU) surveillance and prevention networks, responding to challenges posed by infectious diseases.

We may question where the analysis takes us? Discussing these global factors, it becomes clear that globalisation is an extremely complex phenomenon. Although the effects on people may be clear, we still face many challenges when trying to understand their consequences. The concluding chapter revisits some of the threats that are posed to the public health by globalisation and explores some of the opportunities it offers, in particular, how health professionals can come together to promote global public health. It looks at what health professionals can do to tackle these threats, highlighting principles for action that encourage collective thinking, mitigating against isolationism and nationalism in confronting problems of society and environment. Finding an answer as to what health professionals can do, the editors advocate that health professionals need to be interested in the changes happening around them; need to look beyond their own national interest as public health problems and solutions have to be considered a global context. More concrete, they propose several valuable suggestions to find common solutions including undertaking research, communicating the information to the public in a clear and comprehensible way, and, most importantly, using this information to lobby for change.
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Trauma, war and violence. Public mental health in socio-cultural context

This is a valuable contribution to the scant literature on organising effective public mental health programmes for traumatised refugee or war-torn populations. The book focuses specifically on the public health aspects of complex humanitarian and political emergencies.

The editor opens the book with a long chapter on public mental health, emphasising culturally appropriate services. Especially valuable is the section on the objectives and selection of priorities for training and mental health interventions, with both excellent theoretical and practical aspects.

Nine chapters then follow, describing programmes that are supported through the Transcultural Psychosocial Organisation (TPO), of which Joop de Jong is the director. Each chapter opens with a description of the history and culture of the country and the current problems, which often have received minimal attention by the media, Western populations, and others. The populations described have been chronically traumatised by war, torture, hunger, rape, displacement, and often, wholesale destruction of their society and culture.

The authors of each chapter describe their attempts to assess and improve the mental and medical health of refugee populations, with mixed or no support from local governments. How they use local healers or other supports to build a network of interventions is a key element of each programme. Their frustrations and failures are also articulated.

The chapters vary in length and quantity. For example, “The Cambodian experience” is 64 pages long, and only the most dogged reader will persist to the end. Some of the chapters would have benefited by better editing; for instance, the WHO’s efforts in tobacco control, multidisciplinary groups working on global changes coordinated through the UN Environmental programme, and the work of the WTO. Other suggestions focus more on regional (EU) surveillance and prevention networks, responding to challenges posed by infectious diseases.

We may question where the analysis takes us? Discussing these global factors, it becomes clear that globalisation is an extremely complex phenomenon. Although the effects on people may be clear, we still face many challenges when trying to understand the consequences. The concluding chapter revisits some of the threats that are posed to the public health by globalisation and explores some of the opportunities it offers, in particular, how health professionals can come together to promote global public health. It looks at what health professionals can do, the editors advocate that health professionals need to be interested in the changes happening around them; need to look beyond their own national interest as public health problems and solutions have to be considered a global context. More concrete, they propose several valuable suggestions to find common solutions including undertaking research, communicating the information to the public in a clear and comprehensible way, and, most importantly, using this information to lobby for change.
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