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Study objectives: To study the hospitalised incidence of Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC) from 1979 to 1998; and to determine whether the introduction of the measles vaccination programme was associated with an increase in the young.

Design: Analysis of linked data on hospital admissions; a cohort analysis of the effect of the measles vaccination programme on the incidence of hospitalised CD and UC; and a comparison of these results with those from previous studies on the association between measles vaccine and CD or UC.

Settings: Southern England.

Subjects: People admitted to hospital with a main diagnosis of CD (1959 people) or UC (2018 people).

Main results: Overall, the incidence of hospitalised CD showed no significant change over the 20 year period: the average change per year was 0.08% (95% confidence interval: −0.7% to 0.9%). The relative risk associated with the measles vaccination programme was not significant (0.91: 0.5 to 1.4). The estimate differed significantly from the relative risk of 3.0 obtained in the original study reporting an association, but agreed with the combined estimate from three subsequent studies (0.95: 0.6 to 1.5). The results for UC were similar.

Conclusions: The incidence of hospitalised CD and UC remained stable over the 20 years, 1979 to 1998. Whatever caused the marked increases in CD and UC in the mid-20th century must itself have stabilised in this region. These results, together with those from other studies, provide strong evidence against measles vaccine causing CD or UC.
the trends for males and females were assessed in a similar way.

### Association between measles vaccine and IBD

We calculated age specific rates for hospitalised incidence for five-year birth cohorts. The cohort of people born in 1962–1966 was the last five-year cohort not included in the measles vaccination programme; and the cohort of 1967–1971 was the first in the programme. If measles vaccine increased the subsequent risk of CD, then the incidence of CD in the latter cohort would be higher than in the former cohort. The ratio of incidence rates of CD in the 1967–1971 birth cohort relative to those for the 1962–1966 cohort was estimated by using log-linear models that adjusted for age and sex. The analysis was restricted to these two five-year cohorts to minimise possible effects of period and changing vaccine uptake.

The rate ratios were converted to relative risks (RRs) for the association between measles vaccine and CD as follows. We reasoned that the observed incidence in the 1967–1971 birth cohort would depend on both the percentage vaccinated in the cohort and the actual RR for the association. (For instance, if the true RR were 3 and the vaccine uptake were 50%, then the observed rate ratio would be 2, all else being equal.) The vaccine uptakes for the years 1968 to 1971 were 33%, 45%, 51%, and 53% for those born in England and Wales. The data on uptake for 1967 were not available, but it was likely to be lower than 33%. We took the median of these values, 45%, as approximating the percentage for the five year birth cohort, 1967–1971. Data on vaccine uptake for the Oxford region were only available from 1974. As the uptake was a little higher than the national average, the analysis of relative risks was repeated taking a higher estimate of 55%.

The RR for UC was calculated in a similar way.

### Comparison of estimates of association between measles vaccine and IBD

We considered the study that originally linked measles vaccine to CD and UC as a hypothesis generating study, and the others as hypothesis testing studies. RRs from the hypothesis testing studies were combined by taking their weighted geometric means, using as weights the reciprocals of the variances of the logarithms of the RRs or odds ratios. (Given that CD and UC are rare diseases, the odds ratios from the case-control studies would approximate to RRs.) The combined estimates were then compared with those from the original study by a $\chi^2$ test for heterogeneity.

### Table 1  Number of cases of Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis, incidence rates per 100 000 population, and average annual percentage changes in incidence rates with their 95% confidence intervals, overall and by age group and sex, for period 1979–1998

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex/age group</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>% Change per year</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crohn’s disease</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>1959</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.7 to 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-4.9 to 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–24</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-1.4 to 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–34</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-0.6 to 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–44</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-1.9 to 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–54</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-1.5 to 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;55</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>-2.9 to 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Males</strong></td>
<td>749</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-1.2 to 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Females</strong></td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.9 to 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ulcerative colitis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.7 to 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>-6.3 to 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–24</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-1.4 to 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–34</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-0.6 to 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–44</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-2.0 to 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–54</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-2.7 to 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;55</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-1.4 to 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Males</strong></td>
<td>962</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-0.5 to 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Females</strong></td>
<td>1056</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-1.5 to 0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS
The criteria for inclusion were met by 1959 individuals with CD and 2018 individuals with UC.

Trends in incidence
Overall, the incidence of CD changed by, on average, 0.08% per year and that for UC, by 0.10% per year (table 1). Using the estimate of uptake derived from the national data (45% for the 1967–1971 cohort), the RRs were 0.91 (95% confidence intervals: 0.5 to 1.4) and 1.09 (0.5 to 1.8) for CD and UC respectively (table 3). Repeating the analysis, taking the vaccine uptake to be 55% (to allow for higher uptakes in the Oxford region), the RRs were similar at, respectively, 0.93 (0.6 to 1.3) and 1.07 (0.6 to 1.6).

Association between measles vaccine and IBD
The results from the log-linear models showed no significant increase associated with the introduction of measles vaccine: the age adjusted ratios for the incidence in the post-measles and pre-measles vaccine cohorts were 0.96 for CD and 1.04 for UC (table 2). Using the estimate of uptake derived from the national data (45% for the 1967–1971 cohort), the RRs were 0.91 (95% confidence intervals: 0.5 to 1.4) and 1.09 (0.5 to 1.8) for CD and UC respectively (table 3). Repeating the analysis, taking the vaccine uptake to be 55% (to allow for higher uptakes in the Oxford region), the RRs were similar at, respectively, 0.93 (0.6 to 1.3) and 1.07 (0.6 to 1.6).

Comparison of results with those from other studies
The original study by Thompson et al reported RRs of 3.0 and 2.5 for CD and UC respectively. Our estimates were lower than these values, significantly so for CD ($\chi^2 = 7.0$: df = 1; p = 0.008) but not for UC ($\chi^2 = 2.7$: p = 0.099). Three studies published after the original paper—one cohort study and two case-control studies—found no evidence of any association either individually or when their results were combined (table 3). The combined RRs of 0.92 for CD and 0.96 for UC were consistent with our estimates.

DISCUSSION
Trends in incidence
Our data from the Oxford region of England showed only minor and non-significant changes in the incidence of CD and UC over the 20 year period 1979–1998: the rates for CD and UC increased by, on average, 0.08% and 0.10% per year. We also found no evidence that this trend varied by age or sex (fig 1, table 1). These findings update a previous study that found no increase in IBD in the Oxford region from 1971 to 1985.6 Whatever caused the marked increases in CD and UC in the mid-20th century, these factors must have stabilised in the Oxford region. We also found no increase in CD or UC in those cohorts eligible for measles vaccine as infants (table 2).

Our study is not one of true incidence. Firstly, it includes only hospitalised patients. However, most young patients with CD or UC are likely to be admitted to hospital at least once. Secondly, we took five year period prevalence as a proxy for incidence. This was because the date of first-ever diagnosis of CD or UC was not recorded in routine hospital statistics. The older the patients, the more likely it is that our proxy for incidence will include some prevalent cases. This should not materially affect our comparisons of young people between different time periods. Even with these limitations, our data are the best available from routine morbidity statistics in England over such a long time span.

Our findings on trends contrast with studies from Scotland where a threefold rise in juvenile onset CD (less than 17 years of age) was reported from 1968 to 1983.3 This upward trend was subsequently reported to continue up to 1995.4 The initial increase in CD in Scotland was partially offset by a marginal decline in UC but, more recently, the incidence of UC in the young was also reported to have increased.5 However, the incidence of CD among adults in Scotland also has continued to increase,6 in contrast with stable rates in other westernised countries.7 Thus, the reported increase in CD in the young in Scotland may simply be a reflection of a general increase in CD there, and not be specific to the young. Trends and periods of stabilisation have varied between the age groups for CD and UC—the youngest and oldest showed a slight decrease and the remainder a slight increase (table 1). There were also no significant differences between the trends for males and females.

Association between measles vaccine and IBD
The results from the log-linear models showed no significant increase associated with the introduction of measles vaccine: the age adjusted ratios for the incidence in the post-measles and pre-measles vaccine cohorts were 0.96 for CD and 1.04 for UC (table 2). Using the estimate of uptake derived from the national data (45% for the 1967–1971 cohort), the RRs were 0.91 (95% confidence intervals: 0.5 to 1.4) and 1.09 (0.5 to 1.8) for CD and UC respectively (table 3). Repeating the analysis, taking the vaccine uptake to be 55% (to allow for higher uptakes in the Oxford region), the RRs were similar at, respectively, 0.93 (0.6 to 1.3) and 1.07 (0.6 to 1.6).

Comparison of results with those from other studies
The original study by Thompson et al reported RRs of 3.0 and 2.5 for CD and UC respectively. Our estimates were lower than these values, significantly so for CD ($\chi^2 = 7.0$: df = 1; p = 0.008) but not for UC ($\chi^2 = 2.7$: p = 0.099). Three studies published after the original paper—one cohort study and two case-control studies—found no evidence of any association either individually or when their results were combined (table 3). The combined RRs of 0.92 for CD and 0.96 for UC were consistent with our estimates.

DISCUSSION
Trends in incidence
Our data from the Oxford region of England showed only minor and non-significant changes in the incidence of CD and UC over the 20 year period 1979–1998: the rates for CD and UC increased by, on average, 0.08% and 0.10% per year. We also found no evidence that this trend varied by age or sex (fig 1, table 1). These findings update a previous study that found no increase in IBD in the Oxford region from 1971 to 1985.6 Whatever caused the marked increases in CD and UC in the mid-20th century, these factors must have stabilised in the Oxford region. We also found no increase in CD or UC in those cohorts eligible for measles vaccine as infants (table 2).

Our study is not one of true incidence. Firstly, it includes only hospitalised patients. However, most young patients with CD or UC are likely to be admitted to hospital at least once. Secondly, we took five year period prevalence as a proxy for incidence. This was because the date of first-ever diagnosis of CD or UC was not recorded in routine hospital statistics. The older the patients, the more likely it is that our proxy for incidence will include some prevalent cases. This should not materially affect our comparisons of young people between different time periods. Even with these limitations, our data are the best available from routine morbidity statistics in England over such a long time span.

Our findings on trends contrast with studies from Scotland where a threefold rise in juvenile onset CD (less than 17 years of age) was reported from 1968 to 1983.3 This upward trend was subsequently reported to continue up to 1995.4 The initial increase in CD in Scotland was partially offset by a marginal decline in UC but, more recently, the incidence of UC in the young was also reported to have increased.5 However, the incidence of CD among adults in Scotland also has continued to increase,6 in contrast with stable rates in other westernised countries.7 Thus, the reported increase in CD in the young in Scotland may simply be a reflection of a general increase in CD there, and not be specific to the young. Trends and periods of stabilisation have varied between the age groups for CD and UC—the youngest and oldest showed a slight decrease and the remainder a slight increase (table 1). There were also no significant differences between the trends for males and females.
countries and populations.\textsuperscript{1} We suggest that the study of such variation could provide clues about the aetiology of IBD.

**Association between measles vaccine and IBD**

Our finding of a lack of association between the introduction of the measles vaccination programme and CD or UC is based on an ecological analysis. Findings from such analyses generally need to be interpreted with caution because of the potential for confounding. Might a true association between measles vaccine and IBD have been obscured by confounding in our study? If so, some factor(s) would have had to be highly associated with CD and UC, but to have acted in an equal but opposite way over the precise period that measles vaccination was introduced. This seems unlikely.

The original study\textsuperscript{1} that reported the positive association between measles vaccine and IBD had methodological weaknesses.\textsuperscript{12} In particular, case ascertainment differed between the vaccinated and unvaccinated cohorts, a limitation that could explain part, and perhaps all, of the higher rates found in the vaccinated individuals. A subsequent cohort study\textsuperscript{2} and two subsequent case-control studies\textsuperscript{3,4} found no increased risk (table 3). Our own results from the Oxford linkage data, together with those from the three other hypothesis testing studies, are inconsistent with the RRs of 3.0 and 2.5 found in the original study. The lack of any significant association between measles vaccine and CD or UC found by our ecological study and the other hypothesis testing studies, together with a plausible explanation (case-ascertainment bias) to account for the original finding of higher rates in the vaccinated cohort, provide strong evidence against measles vaccine being associated with an increased risk of CD or UC in later life.

In summary, we found little change in the overall incidence of CD or UC in the Oxford region over the 20 years 1979 to 1998, no increase in young people, or in cohorts covered by the measles vaccination programme. Studying variations in the incidence of CD and UC between calendar periods and between countries may offer clues to aetiological factors. Our findings show that the introduction of measles vaccination is unlikely to be one of these factors.
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